arXiv:0906.0158v3 [math.QA] 26 Aug 2009

UNIVERSAL COVERINGS OF LIE TORI
(A FINITE PRESENTATION)

SAEID AZAM, HIROYUKI YAMANE, MALIHE YOUSOFZADEH

Abstract. Using the well-known recognition and structural theorem(s) for root-graded Lie algebras and their universal coverings, we give a finite presentation for the universal covering algebra of a centerless Lie torus of type $X \neq A, C, BC$. We follow a unified approach for the types under consideration.

0. Introduction

The notion of a Lie torus arises in the study of extended affine Lie algebras which are natural generalizations of finite dimensional simple Lie algebras and affine Lie algebras. Extended affine Lie algebras and Lie tori have been under intensive investigation in recent years.

It is well understood that the study of an extended affine Lie algebra, in any aspect, somehow relates to the study of its core modulo the center, called the centerless core. Here is the place where the notion of Lie tori arises; the centerless core of an extended affine Lie algebra is a centerless Lie torus and conversely any centerless Lie torus is the centerless core of an extended affine Lie algebra ([Yos]). Lie tori as well as extended affine Lie algebras are defined axiomatically ([Yos], [AABGP]), and their structures are well studied. In particular, it is known that a Lie torus is a *root graded Lie algebra*, a notion which turns out to be essential in the structure theory of extended affine Lie algebras and Lie tori.

The structure of root graded Lie algebras of reduced types are recognized up to central isogeny by [BM] and [BZ]. Namely a root graded Lie algebra is centrally isogenous to a Lie algebra having a prescribed structure coming from some known algebra constructions, including the so called generalized Tits construction (see Section 1). We refer to these results as Recognition Theorem(s) (see Theorem 1.10). The Recognition Theorem together with several theorems from [ABG], [BZ], [AG] and [BGK] enables us to decompose the universal covering algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ of the centerless core of an extended affine

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B67, 17B65, 17B35, 17B70.

Key words and phrases. Extended affine Lie algebras, Lie tori, root graded Lie algebras, finite presentation, universal enveloping algebra.

This work is partially supported by the Center of Excellence for Mathematics, University of Isfahan.

Lie algebra of type $X \neq A_\ell, C_\ell, BC_\ell$ as

$$\mathfrak{A} = (\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{A}) \oplus (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{A}^m) \oplus \mathcal{D} \tag{$*$}$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra of type X, $\mathcal{V}$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{G}$-module whose highest weight is the highest short root of $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{A}$ is the algebra of Laurent polynomials in several variables, $\mathcal{A}^m$ is the direct sum of m copies of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is a known subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$ related to the inner derivations of the so called coordinate algebra of $\mathfrak{A}$.

In this work, we use decomposition $(*)$ to give a nullity-free finite presentation for the universal covering of the centerless core of a Lie torus of type X, $X \neq A_\ell$, C_ℓ, BC_ℓ. Since the ingredients appearing in $(*)$, and their algebra structures are completely known to us (see Theorems 1.13 and 1.11), we are able to select a (finite) set of generators for $\mathfrak{A}$ and deduce a certain (finite) set of relations among them. This then motivates the generators and defining relations of our presented Lie algebra.

There had been several attempts in presenting a Lie torus (up to center) by a (finite) set of generators and relations. Historically, we may name the works of [Ka] and [MRY] for toroidal Lie algebras; [SY] and [Yam] for elliptic Lie algebras (2-extended affine Lie algebras); and [You] for Lie tori of type B_ℓ, $(\ell \geq 3)$. It is worth mentioning that the universal covering of the centerless core of a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra or an affine Kac–Moody Lie algebra is just itself or its derived algebra, respectively. Therefore, for finite or affine case the well-known Serre's type presentation is in fact given for the universal covering of the centerless core. This might justify why we are considering the universal covering instead of a Lie torus itself.

The paper is arranged as follows. In Section 1, we record some necessary backgrounds and results needed for describing the universal covering of the centerless core of a Lie torus in the form $(*)$. In brief, we establish some minor results regarding finite root systems and irreducible modules of finite dimensional simple Lie algebras (Lemmas 1.2-1.3). The generalized Tits construction and basic properties of root graded Lie algebras are reviewed. The Recognition Theorem(s) from [BM] and [BZ] regarding the structure of root graded Lie algebras are recalled, and some results from [BGK], [AG] and [ABG] regarding the universal covering algebras of root graded Lie algebras and Lie tori are restated. These all together, enable us to have decomposition $(*)$. The section is concluded with obtaining from $(*)$ a finite set of generators and a certain set of relations for $\mathfrak{A}$.

In Section 2, we introduce the generators and defining relations of our presented Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$, associated to a Lie torus of type $X \neq A_\ell, C_\ell, BC_\ell$, and deduce ceratin immediate consequences from them which will be essential for the proof of our main theorem (Theorem 3.1). For the convenience of the reader, we have shifted the proofs of some of these results containing complicated technicalities to Section 4. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of our Main Theorem (Theorem 3.1); the universal covering algebra of the centerless Lie torus under consideration is centrally isogenous to its corresponding presented Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$. The proof is proceeded in steps. In step 1, we prove that $\mathcal{L}$ is root graded and we obtain some information regarding its center. In the remaining steps we complete the proof for simply laced types, types F_4 and G_2, respectively. For type B_ℓ ($\ell \geq 3$), we refer the reader to [You], though the proof for this type can also be deduced from our setting. This work continues the line of research appeared in [You] for type B_ℓ ($\ell \geq 3$) where here we have enlarged our setting in order to have a unified approach for the types under consideration. Needless to say, in both works the defining generators and relations of the considered presented Lie algebras are inspired from the algebra structures among the ingredients involved in decomposition $(*)$.

For non-simply laced types, we have used certain set of data (see (1.23)) which are obtained using several Mathematica programming.

1. Terminology and prerequisites

Throughout this paper we suppose that R is an irreducible reduced finite root system of rank greater than 3 which is not of type A, C. By $R^\times$, we mean $R \setminus \{0\}$. All vector spaces and tensor products are taken over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. For an algebra A which is not a Lie algebra, by $[a, b]$, $a, b \in A$, we mean $ab - ba$. If α, β are two elements of a unital algebra $\mathcal{A}$ which is commutative associative, alternative or Jordan, we denote by $D_{\alpha,\beta}$, the inner derivation of $\mathcal{A}$ based on α, β, we also use $D_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}}$ to denote the set of all inner derivations of $\mathcal{A}$ [Sc]. For a positive integer ν, we denote by $A_{[\nu]}$, the commutative associative algebra of Laurent polynomials in ν variables $t_1, \ldots, t_\nu$ and we use $A^m_{[\nu]}$, for a positive integer m, to denote the direct sum of m copies of $A_{[\nu]}$. Also by $A^0_{[\nu]}$, we mean the trivial vector space. For $\sigma = (n_1, \ldots, n_\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ we denote $t_1^{n_1} \ldots t_\nu^{n_\nu}$ by t^σ. We also make a convention that for elements $x, x_1, \ldots, x_m, y$ of a Lie algebra, by an expression of the form $[x_1, \ldots, x_m, y]$ we always mean $[x_1, \cdots [x_{m-1}, [x_m, y]] \cdots]$. In this case if $m = 0$ we interpret $[x_1, \ldots, x_m, y]$ as y, also by $[x^m, y]$, we mean $[x, \ldots, x, y]$ in which x appears m-times. In a vector space, we define $\sum_{i=1}^m \cdots$ to be zero if $m = 0$. For the sake of simplicity of notation we denote the set $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ be J_k, k a positive integer. For a Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$, by $Z(\mathcal{L})$, we mean the center of $\mathcal{L}$ and by a centerless Lie algebra, we mean a Lie algebra with trivial center. We start the paper by recalling some (in general) non-associative algebra constructions and some related theorems which are of our use throughout this work.

1.1. **General facts.** Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ of type $X \neq A, C$ and of rank ℓ, also suppose that R is the corresponding irreducible finite root system with a root base Δ. Let R^+, $R^+_{sh}(R_{sh})$ and $R^+_{lg}(R_{lg})$ be the set of positive roots, the set of positive short roots (the set of short roots), and the set of positive long roots (the set of long roots), respectively. If X is simply laced we assume by convention that $R^+_{lg} = \emptyset$. Also assume that n is the number of positive roots. We arrange the set of positive roots as follows:

$$\overbrace{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n_\ell}}^{R^+_{lg}\cap\Delta}, \overbrace{\alpha_{n_\ell+1}, \ldots, \alpha_\ell, \ldots, \alpha_{n_s}}^{R^+_{sh}}, \overbrace{\alpha_{n_s+1}, \ldots, \alpha_n}^{R^+_{lg}\setminus\Delta}. \tag{1.1}$$

Clearly if there is no long root ($n_\ell = 0$), then $n = n_s$. We may assume that α_{n_s} is the highest short root and α_n is the highest root. For $t \in J_n$, we fix $e_t \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha_t}$ and $f_t \in \mathcal{G}_{-\alpha_t}$ such that $(e_t, h_t := [e_t, f_t], f_t)$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$−triple.

Using the finite dimensional theory, one can prove the following lemma:

Lemma 1.2. *(i) If $R_{lg} \neq \emptyset$, $R_{sh} \subseteq R_{lg} + R_{sh}$.*

(ii) For $\alpha \in R^+_{sh}$, there is $\beta \in R^+_{sh}$ such that $\alpha + \beta \in R$.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{W}$ be an irreducible finite dimensional $\mathcal{G}$-module.*

(i) Suppose that highest weight $\mathcal{W}$ is $\lambda \in R$ and take Π to be the set of weights of $\mathcal{W}$. Then for $\mu \in \Pi \setminus \{0\}$, there are $\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_p} \in R^+$ such that $\mathcal{W}_\mu = \mathcal{G}_{-\alpha_{i_p}} \cdot \cdots \cdot \mathcal{G}_{-\alpha_{i_1}} \cdot \mathcal{W}_\lambda$ with $\alpha_{i_k} \neq \pm(\lambda - \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{i_r})$.

(ii) Suppose that the highest weight of $\mathcal{W}$ is a short root and consider the weight space decomposition $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_0 \oplus \sum_{i=n_\ell+1}^{n_s} \mathbb{C}w_{\pm i}$. for $\mathcal{W}$ in which for $n_\ell + 1 \leq i \leq n_s$, $w_{\pm i}$ is a weight vector of weight $\pm\alpha_i$. Then for $n_\ell + 1 \leq i \leq n_s$,

$$e_i \cdot f_i \cdot w_i = 2w_i, \quad e_i \cdot f_i \cdot f_i \cdot w_i = 2f_i \cdot w_i, \quad \mathbb{C}e_i \cdot w_{-i} = \mathbb{C}f_i \cdot w_i$$

and $\mathcal{W}_0$ is spanned by $f_i \cdot w_i$, $n_\ell + 1 \leq i \leq \ell$.

Proof. (i) Using a simple argument from the finite dimensional theory, we are done.

(ii) We first note that if $\beta \in \Pi \setminus \{0\}$, then $\dim(\mathcal{W}_\beta) = 1$, also if $\beta \in \Pi$ and $\alpha \in R$ are such that $\alpha + \beta \in \Pi$, then $\mathcal{G}_\alpha \cdot \mathcal{W}_\beta = \mathcal{W}_{\alpha+\beta}$. Now let $\mathcal{U}(N^-)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $N^- := \sum_{\alpha<0} \mathcal{G}_\alpha$. Then if w is a highest vector, we have $\mathcal{U}(N^-) \cdot w = \mathcal{W}$. Also for each $\beta \in R^+$ we have

$$\mathcal{G}_{-\beta} = [\mathcal{G}_{-\beta_s}, \ldots, \mathcal{G}_{-\beta_1}] \text{ for some } \beta_i \in \Delta. \tag{1.4}$$

Now combining these facts and using module action, the required expression for $\mathcal{W}_\mu$ with $\mu \in R^+$ follows. Note that the claim concerning α_{i_k}'s is automatically satisfied.

Next let $\mu \in -R^+$, then there is $\lambda \in R^+$ such that either $\mu - \lambda \in R^+$ or $\mu + \lambda \in R^+$. Moreover if $\mu \in R^+_{sh}$, λ can be chosen as an element of R^+_{sh} such that $\mu + \lambda \in R$.

$$\mathcal{W}_\mu = \begin{cases} \mathcal{G}_{-(\lambda+\mu)} \cdot \mathcal{W}_{-\mu} & \text{if } \lambda + \mu \in R^+, \\ \mathcal{G}_{\lambda-\mu} \cdot \mathcal{G}_\mu \cdot \mathcal{W}_{\mu-\lambda} & \text{if } \lambda - \mu \in R^+. \end{cases}$$

Now we may replace $\mathcal{W}_\mu$ or $\mathcal{W}_{\mu-\lambda}$ by an expression obtained from the previous step to get the required expression for $\mathcal{W}_\mu$. Note that from the way we found this expression for $\mathcal{W}_\mu$, it becomes clear that the claim concerning α_{j_k}'s holds. □

1.1.1. *Generalized Tits construction.* Let A be a unital commutative associative algebra and assume that X is a unital algebra over A. A *normalized trace* on X is an A-linear map $T : X \longrightarrow A$ satisfying, for $x, x', x'' \in X$,

$$T(1) = 1, \quad T(xx') = T(x'x), \quad T\big((xx')x''\big) = T\big(x(x'x'')\big).$$

If T is a normalized trace, the maps t and $*$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} t : X \times X &\longrightarrow A;\ (x, y) \mapsto T(xy), \\ * : X \times X &\longrightarrow X;\ (x, y) \mapsto xy - t(x, y)1, \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

are called, respectively, the *trace form* and the *$*$-operator* with respect to T. We use the same symbols T, t and $*$ to denote the normalized trace, the trace form and the corresponding $*$-operator for different algebras. We also have $X = A1 \oplus X_0$, where $X_0 := \{x \in X \mid T(x) = 0\}$. Let $\mathrm{Der}^0_A(X)$ be the Lie subalgebra of the A-derivations of X which send X_0 to X_0. Let D be a Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Der}^0_A(X)$ and assume there is an A-bilinear transformation $\alpha : X_0 \times X_0 \longrightarrow D$ which is skew-symmetric.

Suppose now that $\mathfrak{A}$ is another unital commutative associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and Y, Y_0, D' are similarly chosen over $\mathfrak{A}$. Assume $\beta : Y_0 \times Y_0 \longrightarrow D'$ is a $\mathfrak{A}$-bilinear transformation which is skew symmetric. Let the following relations hold:

$$[d, \alpha(x, x')] = \alpha(dx, x') + \alpha(x, dx') \quad \text{and} \quad [d', \beta(y, y')] = \beta(d'y, y') + \beta(y, d'y')$$

for $x, x' \in X_0$, $y, y' \in Y_0$, $d \in D$ and $d' \in D'$. Then the vector space

$$\mathcal{T}(X/A, Y/\mathfrak{A}) := (D \otimes \mathfrak{A}) \oplus (X_0 \otimes Y_0) \oplus (A \otimes D'),$$

provides an algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with the anticommutative multiplication given by

(1.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
&[d_1 \otimes b, a \otimes d'_1] = 0,\ \ [d_1 \otimes b, d_2 \otimes b'] = [d_1, d_2] \otimes bb', \\
&[a \otimes d'_1, a' \otimes d'_2] = aa' \otimes [d'_1, d'_2], \\
&[d_1 \otimes b, x \otimes y] = d_1x \otimes by = -[x \otimes y, d_1 \otimes b], \\
&[a \otimes d'_1, x \otimes y] = ax \otimes d'_1y = -[x \otimes y, a \otimes d'_1], \\
&[x \otimes y, x' \otimes y'] = \alpha(x, x') \otimes t(y, y') + (x * x') \otimes (y * y') + t(x, x') \otimes \beta(y, y')
\end{aligned}$$

for $d_1, d_2 \in D$, $b, b' \in \mathfrak{A}$, $a, a' \in A$, $d'_1, d'_2 \in D'$, $x, x' \in X_0$ and $y, y' \in Y_0$. This is called a *generalized Tits construction.* If X, Y are suitably chosen, then $\mathcal{T}(X/A, Y/\mathfrak{A})$ will be a Lie algebra [BZ, Proposition 3.9].

1.1.2. *Root-graded Lie algebras.* The main purpose of this work is to give a finite presentation for universal covering algebra of a Lie torus. Lie tori are centerless cores of extended affine Lie algebras. In [AG] and [BGK], the authors classify the Lie tori under consideration, using the so called recognition theorems for root graded Lie algebras. We recall here these theorems and some related topics which will be of use in the the proof of our main theorem. In what follows we denote the 8-dimensional Octonion (Cayley) algebra by $\mathfrak{C}$. We consider the usual normalized trace on $\mathfrak{C}$ and denote its subspace of trace zero elements with $\mathfrak{C}_0$. One knows that the algebra of inner derivations of $\mathfrak{C}$ is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra of type G_2. Also we denote by $\mathfrak{J}$ the exceptional simple Jordan algebra whose inner derivations form a finite dimensional simple algebra of type F_4. In fact if $\mathfrak{C}_{3\times 3}$ is the algebra of 3×3 matrices with entries from the Octonion algebra $\mathfrak{C}$, then $\mathfrak{J}$ is its subspace of self-adjoint elements, under transpose-conjugate involution $x\mapsto \bar{x}^t$, with the product $x\cdot y := (xy+yx)/2$. We also consider the usual normalized trace on $\mathcal{J}$ and denote the subspace of trace zero elements of $\mathfrak{J}$ by $\mathfrak{J}_0$ (see Section 5 for details).

Definition 1.7. Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with a Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ and root system R so that $\mathcal{G}$ has a root space decomposition $\mathcal{G}=\oplus_{\mu\in R}\mathcal{G}_\mu$ with $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{G}_0$. An *$R$-graded Lie algebra* $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with *grading pair* $(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{H})$ is a Lie algebra satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $\mathcal{L}$ contains $\mathcal{G}$ as a subalgebra,

(ii) $\mathcal{L}=\oplus_{\mu\in R}\mathcal{L}_\mu$, where $\mathcal{L}_\mu := \{x\in\mathcal{L}\mid [h,x]=\mu(h)x \text{ for all } h\in\mathcal{H}\}$,

(iii) $\mathcal{L}_0=\sum_{\mu\in R^\times}[\mathcal{L}_\mu,\mathcal{L}_{-\mu}]$.

For a positive integer ν, an R-graded Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ with grading pair $(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{H})$ is called *$(R,\mathbb{Z}^\nu)$-graded* if $\mathcal{L}=\oplus_{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}\mathcal{L}^\sigma$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$-graded Lie algebra such that $\mathcal{G}\subseteq\mathcal{L}^0$ and $\text{supp}(\mathcal{L}) := \{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu\mid \mathcal{L}^\sigma\neq\{0\}\}$ generates $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$. Since $\mathcal{G}\subseteq\mathcal{L}^0$, $\mathcal{L}^\sigma$ is an $\mathcal{H}$-module for $\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ and so we have $\mathcal{L}=\oplus_{\mu\in R}\oplus_{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}\mathcal{L}^\sigma_\mu$ where $\mathcal{L}^\sigma_\mu := \mathcal{L}^\sigma\cap\mathcal{L}_\mu$ for $\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ and $\mu\in R$. An $(R,\mathbb{Z}^\nu)$-graded Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is called *division $(R,\mathbb{Z}^\nu)$-graded* if for each $\mu\in R^\times$, $\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ and $0\neq x\in\mathcal{L}^\sigma_\mu$, there exists $y\in\mathcal{L}^{-\sigma}_{-\mu}$ such that modulo $Z(\mathcal{L})$, $[x,y]$ equals to the unique element of $\mathcal{H}$ representing μ through the induced form on the dual of $\mathcal{H}$. A division $(R,\mathbb{Z}^\nu)$-graded Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{L}^\sigma_\mu)\leq 1$ for all $\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ and $\mu\in R^\times$ is called a *Lie ν-torus* or simply a *Lie torus.* In this case the set $\{\alpha+\sigma\mid\alpha\in R,\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu,\mathcal{L}^\sigma_\alpha\neq\{0\}\}\subseteq\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}R\oplus\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ is called the *root system* of $\mathcal{L}$. For $\mu\in R^\times$, define $S_\mu := \{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu\mid\mathcal{L}^\sigma_\mu\neq\{0\}\}$, by [Yos, Theorem 1.5], $S_\mu=S_\nu$ if μ and ν have the same length. If two root lengths occur, we set $S := S_\mu$ for any choice of a short root μ and $L := S_\nu$ for any choice of a long root ν and call (S,L) the *corresponding pair* of $\mathcal{L}$.

Definition 1.8. Let B be a unital commutative associative algebra, $\mathcal{W}$ be a B-module and $g:\mathcal{W}\times\mathcal{W}\longrightarrow B$ be a symmetric B-bilinear form on $\mathcal{W}$.

Then $J(\mathcal{W}) := B1 \oplus \mathcal{W}$ with the multiplication, for $w, w' \in \mathcal{W},\ b, b' \in B$,

$$(b1 + w) \cdot (b'1 + w') = bb'1 + g(w, w')1 + bw' + b'w$$

is a Jordan algebra called the *Clifford Jordan algebra of* g.

Definition 1.9. *Two perfect Lie algebras are said to be centrally isogenous if they have the same universal covering algebra, up to isomorphism.*

Theorem 1.10 (Recognition Theorem). *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an R-graded Lie algebra with grading pair $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$.*

(i) [BM] *If R is simply laced, there is a commutative associative unital algebra A such that $\mathcal{L}$ is centrally isogenous with $\mathcal{G} \otimes A$.*

(ii) [BZ] *If R is of type B_ℓ, $\ell \geq 3$, there exists a unital commutative associative algebra A and a unital A-module B with a symmetric A-bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot) : B \times B \longrightarrow A$ such that $\mathcal{L}$ is centrally isogenous with*

$$\mathcal{T}\big(J(\mathcal{V})/\mathbb{C}, J(B)/A\big) = (\mathcal{G} \otimes A) \oplus (\mathcal{V} \otimes B) \oplus D_{J(B),J(B)}$$

where $\mathcal{V}$ is the $(2\ell+1)$-dimensional vector space equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form with respect to which skew symmetric endomorphisms of $\mathcal{V}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}$.

(iii) [BZ] *If R is of type G_2, then there is a unital commutative associative algebra A and a unital Jordan algebra J over A having a normalized trace T satisfying the identity*

$$ch_3(y) := y^3 - 3T(y)y^2 + (\frac{9}{2}T(y)^2 - \frac{3}{2}T(y^2))y + (T(y^3) - \frac{9}{2}T(y^2)T(y) + \frac{9}{2}T(y)^3)1 = 0$$

such that $\mathcal{L}$ is centrally isogenous with

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{C}/\mathbb{C}, J/A) = (\mathcal{G} \otimes A) \oplus (\mathfrak{C}_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus D_{J,J}$$

where $\mathfrak{C}_0$ and J_0 are the trace zero elements of the Octonion algebra $\mathfrak{C}$ and J respectively.

(iv) [BZ] *If R is of type F_4, there exists a unital commutative associative algebra A and a unital alternative algebra $\mathcal{A}$ over A having a normalized trace T satisfying the identity*

$$ch_2(y) := y^2 - 2T(y)y + (2T(y)^2 - T(y^2))1 = 0$$

such that $\mathcal{L}$ is centrally isogenous with

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{J}/\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{A}/A) = (\mathcal{G} \otimes A) \oplus (\mathfrak{J}_0 \otimes \mathcal{A}_0) \oplus D_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}},$$

where $\mathcal{A}_0$ is the subspace of trace zero elements of $\mathcal{A}$.

One knows that any R-graded Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ has a decomposition as

$$(\mathcal{G} \otimes A) \oplus (\mathcal{V} \otimes B) \oplus D$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is the finite dimensional simple Lie algebra of type R, $\mathcal{V}$ is the irreducible finite dimensional $\mathcal{G}$-module whose highest weight is the highest short root, equipped with a normalized trace whose highest weight is a short root and $\mathcal{D}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$. Also A, B are two vector spaces with, $B = 0$

in simply laced cases, such that $\mathfrak{a} := A \oplus B$ is an algebra which we refer to as the coordinate algebra of $\mathcal{L}$ (see [BGKN],[BM]).

Theorem 1.11 ([ABG, Theorem 4.13])**.** *Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{G}\otimes A)\oplus(\mathcal{V}\otimes B)\oplus D_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{a}}$ be a centerless R-graded Lie algebra where $\mathfrak{a} = A \oplus B$ is the coordinate algebra of $\mathcal{L}$. Take $\mathfrak{s}$ to be the subspace of $\mathfrak{a}\otimes\mathfrak{a}$ spanned by the elements of the form*

$$(\alpha\otimes\beta)+(\beta\otimes\alpha),\ (\alpha\beta\otimes\gamma)+(\beta\gamma\otimes\alpha)+(\gamma\alpha\otimes\beta),\ a\otimes b$$

for $a \in A, b \in B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathfrak{a}$. Consider the factor space

$$\{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{a}\} := (\mathfrak{a}\otimes\mathfrak{a})/\mathfrak{s}$$

and for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{a}$, let $\{\alpha,\beta\}$ denote $(\alpha\otimes\beta)+\mathfrak{s}$ in $\{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{a}\}$. Set $\hat{\mathcal{L}} := (\mathcal{G}\otimes A)\oplus(\mathcal{V}\otimes B)\oplus\{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{a}\}$. Define a multiplication on $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ by
(1.12)

$$\begin{aligned}
&[x\otimes a, x'\otimes a'] = [x,x']\otimes aa' + \kappa(x,x')\{a,a'\},\\
&[x\otimes a, v\otimes b] = xv\otimes ab = -[v\otimes b, x\otimes a],\\
&[x\otimes a, \{\alpha,\alpha'\}] = 0 = -[\{\alpha,\alpha'\}, x\otimes a],\\
&[v\otimes b, v'\otimes b'] = D_{v,v'}\otimes t(b,b') + (v*v')\otimes(b*b') + t(v,v')\{b,b'\},\\
&[\{\alpha,\alpha'\}, v\otimes b] = v\otimes D_{\alpha,\alpha'}b = -[v\otimes b,\{\alpha,\alpha'\}],\\
&[\{\alpha,\alpha'\},\{\beta,\beta'\}] = \{D_{\alpha,\alpha'}\beta,\beta'\} + \{\beta, D_{\alpha,\alpha'}\beta'\},
\end{aligned}$$

for $x, x' \in \mathcal{G},\ a, a' \in A,\ v, v' \in \mathcal{V},\ b, b' \in B$ and $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta' \in \mathfrak{a}$ where κ denotes the Killing form of $\mathcal{G}$. Also consider the map $\hat{\pi} : \hat{\mathcal{L}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ given by $x\otimes a \mapsto x\otimes a$; $u\otimes b \mapsto u\otimes b$; $\{\alpha,\alpha'\} \mapsto D_{\alpha,\alpha'}$. Then $(\hat{\mathcal{L}},\hat{\pi})$ is the universal covering algebra of $\mathcal{L}$.

Theorem 1.13. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Lie ν-torus of type R with grading pair $(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{H})$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is centrally isogenous with one of the followings*

(i) [BGK] $\mathcal{G}\otimes A_{[\nu]}$*, if R is simply laced.*

(ii) [AG] $\mathcal{T}(J(\mathcal{V})/\mathbb{C}, J(A^m_{[\nu]})/A_{[\nu]})$*, if R is of type B_ℓ ($\ell \geq 3$), where $\mathcal{V}$ is the $2\ell+1$-dimensional vector space having a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form with respect to which the set of skew symmetric endomorphisms of $\mathcal{V}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}$, $m \geq 2$, and $J(A^m_{[\nu]})$ is the Clifford Jordan algebra with respect to the symmetric $A_{[\nu]}$-bilinear form on $A^m_{[\nu]}$ given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
&g : A^m_{[\nu]}\times A^m_{[\nu]} \longrightarrow A_{[\nu]}\\
&g\big(\textstyle\sum_{r=1}^m a_r w_r, \sum_{r=1}^m b_r w_r\big) = \sum_{r=1}^m a_r b_r t^{\tau_r}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

where $\tau_0,\dots,\tau_m \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ satisfy $\tau_0 = 0$ and $\tau_r \not\equiv \tau_s \pmod{2\mathbb{Z}^\nu}$ for $0 \leq s \neq r \leq m$. Moreover the root system of $\mathcal{L}$ is of the form $(S+S)\cup(R_{sh}+S)\cup(R_{lg}+2\mathbb{Z}^\nu)$ where $S = \cup_{j=0}^m(2\mathbb{Z}^\nu+\tau_j)$. (Here $\{w_1,\dots,w_m\}$ is the standard basis of $A^m_{[\nu]}$ as a free $A_{[\nu]}$-module.)

(iii) [AG] $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{J}/\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{C}/A_{[\nu]})$ *if R is of type F_4, where $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}_p$ (see Subsection 5.3) for some $0 \leq p \leq 3$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}_p$, $0 \leq p \leq 3$, then the root system of $\mathcal{L}$ is $\mathbb{Z}^\nu \cup (R_{sh}+\mathbb{Z}^\nu)\cup(R_{lg}+(2\mathbb{Z}^p\oplus\mathbb{Z}^{\nu-p}))$.*

(iv) [AG] $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{C}/\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{J}/A_{[\nu]})$ *if* R *is of type* G_2, *where* $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_p$ *(see Subsection 5.3) for some* $0 \leq p \leq 3$. *Moreover, if* $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_p$, $0 \leq p \leq 3$, *then the root system of* $\mathcal{L}$ *is* $\mathbb{Z}^\nu \cup (R_{sh} + \mathbb{Z}^\nu) \cup (R_{lg} + (3\mathbb{Z}^p \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\nu-p}))$.

Remark 1.15. Using the same notation as before, if $\mathfrak{a} = A \oplus B$ is the coordinate algebra of a Lie torus $\mathcal{L}$ with the universal covering algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, one gets from [BZ] that A is a subset of the *associative center* of $\mathfrak{a}$ and so (1.12) implies that $\{A, A\} = \{\{a, a'\} \mid a, a' \in A\} \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{A})$.

1.2. **Induced relations.** As before we assume that R is a finite irreducible reduced root system of type $X \neq A, C$. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the universal covering algebra of a centerless Lie torus $\mathcal{L}$ of type X. Using Theorems 1.13 and 1.11, we may write

$$\mathfrak{A} = (\mathcal{G} \otimes A_{[\nu]}) \oplus (\mathcal{V} \otimes A^m_{[\nu]}) \oplus \mathcal{D} \tag{1.16}$$

for some nonnegative integer m, where $\mathcal{G}$ is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra of type X, $\mathcal{V}$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{G}$-module whose highest weight is the highest short root of $\mathcal{G}$, and $\mathcal{D}$ is a known subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$. We remark that if X is simply laced, we have by convention $m = 0$ and so the middle part in (1.16) vanishes. Since the ingredients appearing in (1.16), and their algebra structures are completely known to us (see [BGK], [AG] and [ABG]), we are able to select a set of generators for $\mathfrak{A}$ and deduce certain relations among them which in turn motivate the generators and defining relations of a presented Lie algebra (isomorphic to $\mathfrak{A}$) which we define in the next section.

If $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{\alpha \in R} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, $\mathcal{G} = \sum_{\alpha \in R} \mathcal{G}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{V} = \sum_{\alpha \in R_{sh}} \mathcal{V}_\alpha \oplus \mathcal{V}_0$, are the corresponding weight space decompositions of $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ respectively, then

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha = \begin{cases} \mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes A_{[\nu]} & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{lg} \\ (\mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes A_{[\nu]}) \oplus (\mathcal{V}_\alpha \otimes A^m_{[\nu]}) & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{sh} \\ \sum_{\beta \in R^\times} [\mathcal{L}_\beta, \mathcal{L}_{-\beta}] & \text{if } \alpha = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.17}$$

One knows that if X is not simply laced, $\mathcal{G}$ is the set of inner derivations of an algebra equipped with a normalized trace T, and that $\mathcal{V}$ is the set of zeros of this trace. We fix a highest weight vector $\mathbf{v} := v_{n_s}$ of $\mathcal{V}$. Next using Proposition 1.3(i), we fix $j^t_1, \ldots, j^t_{n_t}, k^t_1, \ldots, k^t_{n'_t} \in J_\ell$, $n_\ell + 1 \leq t \leq n_s$, such that

$$v_t := [f_{j^t_1}, \ldots, f_{j^t_{n_t}}, \mathbf{v}] \quad \text{and} \quad v_{-t} = [f_{k^t_1}, \ldots, f_{k^t_{n'_t}}, \mathbf{v}] \tag{1.18}$$

are nonzero elements of $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_t}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{-\alpha_t}$ respectively. Then

$$\mathcal{V} = (\bigoplus_{t=n_\ell+1}^{n_s} \mathbb{C}v_{\pm t}) \oplus \mathcal{V}_0,$$

where $\mathcal{V}_0$ is the corresponding zero weight space. We recall the trace form t as in (1.5) and ask the reader to check that

$$t(v_{n_s}, v_{\pm i}) = 0; \quad (n_\ell + 1 \leq i \leq n_s - 1). \tag{1.19}$$

Now consider the coordinate algebra $\mathfrak{a} = A_{[\nu]} \oplus A^m_{[\nu]}$ of $\mathcal{L}$. Using Theorem 1.10, we get that $A^m_{[\nu]}$ is the kernel of a normalized trace T of $\mathfrak{a}$. We recall the trace form $t(\cdot,\cdot) : \mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a} \longrightarrow A_{[\nu]}$ on $\mathfrak{a}$; $t(x,y) := T(xy)$ and the operator $* : \mathfrak{a}\times\mathfrak{a} \longrightarrow A^m_{[\nu]}$ defined by $x*y := xy - t(x,y)1$. Let $\{w_r \mid 1 \leq r \leq m\}$ be the standard basis for $A_{[\nu]}$-module $A^m_{[\nu]}$. Then one observes that for $1 \leq r,s \leq m$, there are $a_{r,s}, a'_{r,s} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\sigma_{r,s}, \sigma'_{r,s} \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ and $t_{r,s} \in J_m$ with

$$\begin{array}{l}\sigma_{r,s} = \sigma_{s,r},\ t_{r,s} = t_{s,r},\ a_{r,s} = -a_{s,r} \text{ for type } F_4,\ a_{r,s} = a_{s,r} \text{ for type}\\ B, G_2,\ (1-\delta_{r,s})a'_{r,s} + \delta_{r,s}a_{r,s} = 0 \text{ and } (1-\delta_{r,s})a_{r,s} + \delta_{r,s}a'_{r,s} \neq 0.\end{array} \tag{1.20}$$

such that

$$t(w_r, w_s) = a'_{r,s} t^{\sigma'_{r,s}} \quad \text{and} \quad w_r * w_s = a_{r,s} t^{\sigma_{r,s}} w_{t_{r,s}}. \tag{1.21}$$

We set

$$\mathfrak{D}_c := \{a_{r,s}, a'_{r,s}, \sigma_{r,s}, \sigma'_{r,s}, t_{r,s} \mid r,s \in J_m\}.$$

We consider $\mathfrak{D}_c$ as a data-set for the coordinate algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathcal{L}$. In fact, as $\{w_i \mid i \in J_m\}$ is an $A_{[\nu]}$-basis for $A^m_{[\nu]}$, also $*$-operator and $t(\cdot,\cdot)$ are $A_{[\nu]}$-bilinear, the data in $\mathfrak{D}_c$ can completely describe the structure of $\mathfrak{a} = A_{[\nu]} \oplus A^m_{[\nu]}$.

Next for $n_\ell + 1 \leq i \leq n_s - 1$, define $t'_{\pm i} \in J_n$, $n_\ell + 1 \leq t_i \leq n_s$ to be as follow. If $\alpha_{n_s} \pm \alpha_i$ is a root, take $t'_{\pm i}$ to be such that $\alpha_{n_s} \pm \alpha_i = \alpha_{t'_{\pm i}}$ and n, otherwise. Also if $\alpha_{n_s} - \alpha_i$ is a short root, take t_i to be such that $\alpha_{n_s} - \alpha_i = \alpha_{t_i}$ and n_s, otherwise. One observes that there are $m_{\pm i}, m'_{\pm i} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $m'_{\pm i'} = 0$ and $m_{\pm i} = 0$ if $\alpha_{n_s} \pm \alpha_i$ is not a root or a short root respectively such that

$$d_{v_{n_s}, v_{\pm i}} = m'_{\pm i} e_{t'_{\pm i}} \quad \text{and} \quad v_{n_s} * v_{\pm i} = m_{\pm i} v_{t_i}. \tag{1.22}$$

We set

$$\mathfrak{D}_m := \{t'_{\pm i}, t_i, m_{\pm i}, m'_{\pm i} \mid n_\ell + 1 \leq i \leq n_s - 1\}.$$

We drew the attention of the reader to the fact that the data appearing in $\mathfrak{D}_m$ are derived from the structure of the $\mathcal{G}$-module $\mathcal{V}$. We next take C to be the Cartan matrix of R with respect to Δ and call

$$\mathfrak{D} := \{C\} \cup \mathfrak{D}_m \cup \mathfrak{D}_c \tag{1.23}$$

the *structural data* associated to $\mathcal{L}$.

Now let $x_1, \ldots, x_p \in \mathcal{H}$ and $y_1, \ldots, y_q$ be some non-zero root vectors of $\mathcal{G}$. Let $j_1, \ldots, j_p \in J_\ell$ and set $t^\sigma = t^\pm_{j_1} \cdots t^\pm_{j_p}$. Now it follows from (1.12) that, for $1 \leq i \leq m$,

(1.24)

$$\begin{array}{l}[y_1 \otimes 1, \ldots, y_q \otimes 1, x_1 \otimes t^\pm_{j_1}, \ldots, x_p \otimes t^\pm_{j_p}, e_n \otimes 1] = [y_1, \ldots, y_q, x_1, \ldots, x_p, e_n] \otimes t^\sigma,\\ [y_1 \otimes 1, \ldots, y_q \otimes 1, x_1 \otimes t^\pm_{j_1}, \ldots, x_p \otimes t^\pm_{j_p}, v_{n_s} \otimes w_i] = y_1 \ldots y_q x_1 \ldots x_p v_{n_s} \otimes t^\sigma w_i.\end{array}$$

Next suppose that $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}$ is a set of Chevalley generators for $\mathcal{G}$. Then using (1.24) together with (1.17), we see that $\mathfrak{A}$ as a Lie algebra is generated by

$$\{e_i \otimes 1, f_i \otimes 1, h_i \otimes 1, h_i \otimes t_j^{\pm}, v \otimes w_t \mid i \in J_\ell,\ j \in J_\nu,\ t \in J_m\}. \tag{1.25}$$

For $n_\ell+1 \leq i \leq n_s-1$ and $r, s \in J_m$, take $\sigma_{r,s}, \sigma'_{r,s} \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, $m_{\pm i}, m'_{\pm i}, a_{r,s}, a'_{r,s} \in \mathbb{C}$, $t'_{\pm i} \in J_n$, $t_{r,s} \in J_m$, $n_\ell + 1 \leq t_i \leq n_s$ and $m_{\pm i}, m'_{\pm i} \in \mathbb{C}$ to be defined as in (1.21) and (1.22). Using(1.12) and (1.19), one sees that

$$[v_{n_s} \otimes w_r, v_{\pm i} \otimes w_s] = (m'_{\pm i} a'_{r,s} e_{t'_{\pm i}} \otimes t^{\sigma'_{r,s}}) + (m_{\pm i} a_{r,s} v_{t_i} \otimes t^{\sigma_{r,s}} w_{t_{r,s}}). \tag{1.26}$$

Following [You], we call this kind of relations *basic short part relations.*

Next let $\alpha \in R^\times$, $\beta \in R_{sh}$, $x_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$ and $v_\beta \in \mathcal{V}_\beta$. Then (1.12) implies that for $i, r \in J_\ell$, $j \in J_\nu$ and $s \in J_m$, the following relations are satisfied in $\mathfrak{A}$, the universal covering algebra of $\mathcal{L}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\alpha(h_r)(h_i \otimes t_j^{\pm 1}) - \alpha(h_i)(h_r \otimes t_j^{\pm 1}), x_\alpha \otimes 1] = 0,\\
&[\beta(h_r)(h_i \otimes t_j^{\pm 1}) - \beta(h_i)(h_r \otimes t_j^{\pm 1}), v_\beta \otimes w_s] = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

to which we refer as *quasi-diagonal relations*, and also

$$\begin{aligned}
&[h_r \otimes t_j, h_i \otimes t_j^{-1}, x \otimes 1] = \alpha(h_i)\alpha(h_r) x \otimes 1,\\
&[h_r \otimes t_j, h_i \otimes t_j^{-1}, y \otimes w_s] = \beta(h_i)\beta(h_r) y \otimes w_s,
\end{aligned}$$

that we refer to as *cancelling relations.*

2. A GENERIC PRESENTATION

From now on we fix a centerless Lie torus $\mathcal{L}$ of type $X \neq A, C, BC$ and we let $\mathfrak{A}$ be its universal covering algebra. Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be the structural data associated to $\mathcal{L}$. In this section starting from $\mathfrak{D}$, we introduce a presented Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} := \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{D})$, called the *presented Lie algebra associated to $\mathcal{L}$ (or $\mathfrak{D}$).* The main objective of this work is to show that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{A}$.

We use the same notation as in Section 1. In particular, we let $\mathcal{G}$ be the finite dimensional simple Lie algebra of rank ℓ corresponding to the Cartan matrix $C = (c_{i,j})$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a fixed Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}$ and $(\cdot,\cdot)$ be the killing form $(\cdot,\cdot)$. We recall that R is the root system of $\mathcal{G}$ and Δ is a base of R. Also $\mathcal{V}$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{G}$-module whose highest weight is a short root. We keep the same arrangement for roots as in (1.1). Throughout this work, by $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$, for roots $\alpha, \beta \in R$ with $\beta \neq 0$, we mean $2(\alpha,\beta)/(\beta,\beta)$. Let m be a non-negative integer which we take it to be zero if R is simply-laced. Whenever we use expressions containing a letter with subscripts going through $\{1, \ldots, m\}$, we understand that we are in the case $m \neq 0$. Now for a non-negative integer ν, let $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ be the Lie algebra defined by $3\ell + m + \ell\nu$ generators

$$\{e_i, f_i, h_i, h_{i,a}^{\pm}, v^r \mid i \in J_\ell,\ r \in J_m,\ a \in J_\nu\}, \tag{2.1}$$

subject to the relations (we collect our relations, depending on their natures, in groups (R1)-(R9) below and give a name to some of these groups, based on the role which play):

Serre's relations:

(R1)
$$\begin{gathered}[h_i,h_j]=0,\ [e_i,f_j]=\delta_{i,j}h_i,\ [h_i,e_j]=c_{j,i}e_j,\ [h_i,f_j]=-c_{j,i}f_j,\\ (\mathrm{ad}e_i)^{-c_{j,i}+1}(e_j)=0,\ (\mathrm{ad}f_i)^{-c_{j,i}+1}(f_j)=0,\\ (i,j\in J_\ell).\end{gathered}$$

Highest short weight relations:

(R2)
$$\begin{gathered}[e_i,v^r]=0,\ [h_i,v^r]=\langle\alpha_{n_s},\alpha_i\rangle v^r,\ [f_i^{\langle\alpha_{n_s},\alpha_i\rangle+1},v^r]=0,\\ i\in J_\ell,\ r\in j_m.\end{gathered}$$

Since $\{e_i,f_i,h_i\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$ satisfies Serre's relations, the subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ generated by 3ℓ elements $\{e_i,f_i,h_i\mid i\in J_\ell\}$ is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra of the same type of R [H, Theorem 18.3]. So we identify this subalgebra with the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ as in the Subsection 1.1 with Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{H}=\oplus_{i\in J_\ell}\mathbb{C}h_i$, and the corresponding root system R. This then also allows us to identify the 3ℓ generators e_i,f_i,h_i, $i\in J_\ell$ here with the corresponding elements as in Subsection 1.1. Next let $\ell+1\leq i\leq n$ and use Lemma 1.3(i) to fix $j_1,\ldots,j_{n_i}\in J_n$ such that

$$e_i:=[f_{j_1},\ldots,f_{j_{n_i}},e_n] \tag{2.2}$$

is a nonzero element of $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha_i}$. For $a\in J_\nu$ define

$$e^{\pm}_{i,a}:=(1/2)[f_{j_1},\ldots,f_{j_{n_i}},h^{\pm}_{n,a},e_n]. \tag{2.3}$$

Next we set

$$\begin{gathered}H:=\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{h^{\pm}_{i,a}\mid i\in J_\ell,\ a\in J_\nu\},\\ Z_h:=\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{[h^{\pm}_{i,a},h^{\pm}_{j,b}]\mid i,j\in J_\ell,\ a,b\in J_\nu\},\\ S_g:=\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_i,f_i,h_i,h^{\pm}_{i,a},v^r\mid i\in J_\ell,\ a\in J_\nu,\ r\in J_m\}.\end{gathered} \tag{2.4}$$

We also note that using (R2), one concludes that, for $r\in J_m$, the $\mathcal{G}-$submodule $\mathcal{V}^r$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ generated by v^r is an irreducible $\mathcal{G}$-module whose highest weight is the highest short root α_{n_s} of R (see [H, Theorem 21.4]). Considering (1.18), we set

$$v^r_t:=[f_{j^t_1},\ldots,f_{j^t_{n_t}},v^r]\quad\text{and}\quad v^r_{-t}=[f_{k^t_1},\ldots,f_{k^t_{n'_t}},v^r]. \tag{2.5}$$

Now we have the following weight space decomposition

$$\mathcal{V}^r=\bigoplus_{t=n_\ell+1}^{n_s}(\mathbb{C}v^r_{\pm t})\oplus\mathcal{V}^r_0,$$

where $\mathcal{V}^r_0$ is the corresponding zero weight space.

For $h=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} r_ih_i\in\mathcal{H}$ and $a\in J_\nu$, take

$$h^{\pm}_{a}:=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} r_ih^{\pm}_{i,a} \tag{2.6}$$

and for $\ell+1\leq t\leq n$, set

$$h^{\pm}_{t,a}:=(h_t)^{\pm}_{a}.$$

Let $\sigma=(m_1,\ldots,m_\nu)\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu$. We call $|\sigma|:=\sum_{t=1}^{\nu}|m_t|$ the *norm* of σ. Let $\sigma\neq 0$ and $1\leq i_1<\cdots<i_p\leq\nu$ be all $i_j\in J_\nu$ for which $m_{i_j}\neq 0$. Then $|\sigma|=\sum_{j=1}^{p}|m_{i_j}|$. For $1\leq t\leq n$, we set

$$\mathfrak{b}^t_\sigma:=(\underbrace{h^{\mathrm{sgn}(m_{i_1})}_{t,i_1},\ldots,h^{\mathrm{sgn}(m_{i_1})}_{t,i_1}}_{|m_{i_1}|},\ldots,\underbrace{h^{\mathrm{sgn}(m_{i_p})}_{t,i_p},\ldots,h^{\mathrm{sgn}(m_{i_p})}_{t,i_p}}_{|m_{i_p}|}) \tag{2.7}$$

where *sgn*(m) for $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ is the sign of m. In fact $\mathfrak{b}^t_\sigma=(b^t_1,\ldots,b^t_{|\sigma|})$ where for $k\in J_{|\sigma|}$, $b^t_k:=\begin{cases}h^{+}_{t,i_a} & \text{if } m_{i_a}>0\\ h^{-}_{t,i_a} & \text{if } m_{i_a}<0,\end{cases}$ in which a is the unique element of $\{1,\ldots,p\}$ with

$$1+\sum_{j=1}^{a-1}|m_{i_j}|\leq i\leq\sum_{j=1}^{a}|m_{i_j}|.$$

We also set $\mathfrak{b}^t_0:=(h^{-}_{t,1},h^{+}_{t,1})$. We call $\mathfrak{b}_\sigma:=\mathfrak{b}^n_\sigma$ the *norm-tuple* of σ.

Convention 2.8. *For $\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu$, we denote the norm-tuple of σ by $\mathfrak{b}_\sigma=(\mathfrak{b}^\sigma_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{b}^\sigma_{|\sigma|})$ and by $(\mathfrak{b}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{b}_{|\sigma|})$ if there is no confusion. For $h\in\mathcal{H}$, if $i\in J_{|\sigma|}$, define $h^{i,\sigma}$ to be $h^{\pm}_{a}$ (see (2.6)) if $\mathfrak{b}^\sigma_i=h^{\pm}_{n,a}$ for some $a\in J_\nu$. Also with the abuse of notation we write*

$$[r\mathfrak{b}^t_\sigma,x]:=[r\mathfrak{b}^t_1,\ldots,r\mathfrak{b}^t_{|\sigma|},x],\qquad(t\in J_n,x\in\tilde{\mathcal{L}},r\in\mathbb{C}).$$

We now introduce some more relations:

(**R3**) $[e^{\pm}_{i,a},f_i]=h^{\pm}_{i,a};\ \ i\in J_\ell,\ \ a\in J_\nu.$

(**R4**) $[H,\mathcal{H}]=\{0\},\ \ [S_g,Z_h]=\{0\}.$

(**R5**) $[\langle\alpha_t,\alpha_j\rangle h^{\pm}_{i,a}-\langle\alpha_t,\alpha_i\rangle h^{\pm}_{j,a},\mathbb{C}e_t+\mathbb{C}f_t]=0;\ a\in J_\nu,\ i,j\in J_\ell,\ t\in J_n.$

Canceling relations:

(**R6**) $[h^{-}_{i,a},h^{+}_{j,a},e_t]=\langle\alpha_t,\alpha_i\rangle\langle\alpha_t,\alpha_j\rangle e_t,\ \ [h^{-}_{i,a},h^{+}_{j,a},f_t]=\langle\alpha_t,\alpha_i\rangle\langle\alpha_t,\alpha_j\rangle f_t$
$a\in J_\nu,\ i,j,t\in J_n.$

We consider (2.5) to define our next two sets of relations:

(**R7**) $[\langle\alpha_t,\alpha_j\rangle h^{\pm}_{i,a}-\langle\alpha_t,\alpha_i\rangle h^{\pm}_{j,a},\mathbb{C}v^r_{\pm t}]=0,$
$a\in J_\nu,\ r\in J_m,\ i,j\in J_\ell,\ n_\ell+1\leq t\leq n_s.$

Canceling module relations:

(R8) $$[h^{-}_{i,a}, h^{+}_{j,a}, v^r_{\pm t}] = \langle \alpha_t, \alpha_i \rangle \langle \alpha_t, \alpha_j \rangle v^r_{\pm t},$$
$$a \in J_\nu,\ i, j \in J_\ell,\ r \in J_m,\ n_\ell + 1 \leq t \leq n_s.$$

Finally we recall (1.26) and (2.7) to state our last set of relations as follows:

Basic short part relations:

(R9) $$[v^r, v^s_{\pm i}] = m'_{\pm i} a'_{r,s} [(1/2)\mathfrak{b}^{t'_{\pm i}}_{\sigma'_{r,s}}, e_{t'_{\pm i}}] + m_{\pm i} a_{r,s} [(1/2)\mathfrak{b}^{t_i}_{\sigma_{r,s}}, v^{t_{r,s}}_{t_i}]$$
$$r, s \in J_m, n_\ell + 1 \leq i \leq n_s - 1.$$

Remark 2.9. One knows that $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = \alpha_i(h_j)$ for $i, j \in J_n$, so we may use relations (R1)-(R9) with $\alpha_i(h_j)$ instead of $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle$.

Definition 2.10. *Starting from a centerless Lie torus $\mathcal{L}$ with the structural data $\mathfrak{D}$, we call the Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ defined by generators (2.1) and relations (R1)-(R9), the presented Lie algebra associated to $\mathcal{L}$ (or $\mathfrak{D}$).*

We are now ready to state our main theorem.

Main Theorem: Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a centerless Lie torus of type $X \neq A, C, BC$ with the universal covering $\mathfrak{A}$, and associated presented Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \cong \mathfrak{A}$. In particular $\mathfrak{A}$ is a finitely presented Lie algebra.

In the remaining part of this section we establish several results which are needed prior to the proof of the main theorem. The proof of the main theorem will be presented in Section 3. An outline of our plan for completing this work is as follows. We first show that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ as a $\mathcal{G}$-module ($\mathcal{G}$ is a subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$) is a direct sum of irreducible $\mathcal{G}$-modules whose highest weights belong to R. We then use this to show that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is an R-graded Lie algebra. Using the fact that both $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathfrak{A}$ are R-graded Lie algebras and appealing the structure of R-graded Lie algebras we prove that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is a central extension of $\mathfrak{A}$ and finally we prove that $\mathfrak{A}$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$.

We recall that $\mathfrak{A}$ is generated by (1.25) and so one can easily get from Subsection 1.2 that there exists a Lie algebra epimorphism from $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ to $\mathfrak{A}$ as follows:

(2.11)
$$\psi : \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$$
$$e_i \mapsto e_i \otimes 1,\ f_i \mapsto f_i \otimes 1,\ h_i \mapsto h_i \otimes 1,\ h^{\pm}_{i,a} \mapsto h_i \otimes t_a^{\pm 1},\ v^r \mapsto v_{n_s} \otimes w_r,$$
$$a \in J_\nu, i \in J_\ell, r \in J_m.$$

From now on we fix $\sigma = (m_1, \ldots, m_\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. We associate to σ the following elements of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$

(2.12) $$e_\sigma := [\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{b}_\sigma, e_n] \quad \text{and} \quad v^r_\sigma := [\mathfrak{b}_\sigma, v^r];\ \ r \in J_m \text{ (see Convention 2.8).}$$

Using (R6) and (R8), we have

$$e_0 = e_n \quad \text{and} \quad v^r_0 = v^r.$$

Now considering $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ as a $\mathcal{G}$-module, we set

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{G}_\sigma := \text{the } \mathcal{G}\text{-submodule of } \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \text{ generated by } e_\sigma \text{ and}\\ &\mathcal{V}_\sigma^r := \text{ the } \mathcal{G}\text{-submodule of } \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \text{ generated by } v_\sigma^r.\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Proposition 2.14. *For* $\alpha \in R^\times$, *set*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\alpha^0 := \begin{cases} \mathcal{G}_\alpha + \sum_{r=1}^{m} \mathcal{V}_\alpha^r & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{sh} \\ \mathcal{G}_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{lg}. \end{cases}$$

(i) *Recall (2.6) and let* $a \in J_\nu$, $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ *and* $t \in J_n$, *then*

$$[\alpha_t(x)y_a^\pm - \alpha_t(y)x_a^\pm, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\pm\alpha_t}^0] = \{0\},$$

in particular if $x \in \mathcal{H}$ *is such that* $\alpha_t(x) = 0$, *we get*

$$[x_a^\pm, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\pm\alpha_t}^0] = \{0\}.$$

(ii) *Let* $p \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$, $a_1, \ldots, a_p \in J_\nu$, *and* $t \in J_n$, *suppose that* $x_j, y_j \in \mathcal{H}$ *are such that* $\alpha_t(y_j) \neq 0$ *for* $j \in J_p$. *Then for* $e \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\pm\alpha_t}^0$, *we have*

$$[(x_1)_{a_1}^\pm, \ldots, (x_p)_{a_p}^\pm, e] = \prod_{j=1}^{p} (\alpha_t(x_j)/\alpha_t(y_j))[(y_1)_{a_1}^\pm, \ldots, (y_p)_{a_p}^\pm, e]$$

with the same sign in $(x_j)_{a_j}^\pm$ *and* $(y_j)_{a_j}^\pm$ *for* $j \in J_p$.

(iii) *Let* $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$, $a_1, \ldots, a_p, b_1, \ldots, b_q \in J_\nu$, *and* $t, t' \in J_n$ *with* $t \neq t'$, *suppose that* $x_j, y_j \in \mathcal{H}$, $j \in J_p$, *are such that* $\alpha_t(y_j) \neq 0$ *and* $\alpha_{t'}(y_j) = 0$. *Then for* $z_j \in \mathcal{H}$ *with* $\alpha_t(z_j) = 0$, $j \in J_q$, $e \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\pm\alpha_t}^0$ *and* $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\pm\alpha_{t'}}^0$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&[[(z_1)_{b_1}^\pm, \ldots, (z_q)_{b_q}^\pm, f], [(x_1)_{a_1}^\pm, \ldots, (x_p)_{a_p}^\pm, e]] = \\ &\prod_{j=1}^{p} (\alpha_t(x_j)/\alpha_t(y_j))[(z_1)_{b_1}^\pm, \ldots, (z_q)_{b_q}^\pm, (y_1)_{a_1}^\pm, \ldots, (y_p)_{a_p}^\pm, [f, e]]\end{aligned}$$

with the same sign in $(x_j)_{a_j}^\pm$ *and* $(y_j)_{a_j}^\pm$ *for* $j \in J_p$.

Proof. (i) The first expression is immediate using (R5) and (R7). For the second expression, we note that as $\alpha_t \neq 0$, one finds $y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\alpha_t(y) \neq 0$. Now the statement is fulfilled by considering the first expression.

(ii) Using part (i), we have for all $j \in J_p$,

$$[(x_j)_{a_j}^\pm, e] = (\alpha_t(x_j))/(\alpha_t(y_j))[(y_j)_{a_j}^\pm, e].$$

Now we are done using the Jacobi identity together with (R4).

(iii) Using part (i), we get that for all $i \in J_p, j \in J_q$, $[(y_i)_{a_i}^\pm, f] = 0$ and $[(z_j)_{b_j}^\pm, e] = 0$. Now (R4) together with the Jacobi identity implies that $[[(z_1)_{b_1}^\pm, \ldots, (z_q)_{b_q}^\pm, f], (y_i)_{a_i}^\pm] = 0$, $i \in J_p$. Also using part (ii), we can replace $[(x_1)_{a_1}^\pm, \ldots, (x_p)_{a_p}^\pm, e]$ with an expression as in the right hand side of the display appearing in part (ii). Now we are done using these together with the Jacobi identity.

The following proposition determines, up to isomorphism, the modules $\mathcal{G}_\sigma$ and $\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma$ appearing in (2.13).

Proposition 2.15. *(i)* $\mathcal{G}_\sigma$, *is an irreducible finite dimensional* $\mathcal{G}$*-module with highest pair* (e_σ, α_n). *In fact as* $\mathcal{G}$*-modules,* $\mathcal{G}_\sigma \cong \mathcal{G}$.

(ii) For $r \in J_m$, $\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma$ *is an irreducible finite dimensional* $\mathcal{G}$*-module with highest pair* $(v^r_\sigma, \alpha_{n_s})$. *In fact as* $\mathcal{G}$*-modules* $\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma \cong \mathcal{V}$.

Proof. (i) Contemplating (2.11), one can see that $\psi(e_\sigma) = e_n \otimes t^\sigma \neq 0$. Therefore $e_\sigma \neq 0$. Thus by [H, Theorem 21.4], it is enough to show that, for all $i \in J_\ell$,

$$[h_i, e_\sigma] = \alpha_n(h_i)e_\sigma, \quad [e_i, e_\sigma] = 0, \; [f_i^{\alpha_n(h_i)+1}, e_\sigma] = 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Fix $i \in J_\ell$. We first note that the equalities in (2.16) hold for $\sigma = 0$ as e_n is a maximal vector in the $\mathcal{G}$-module $\mathcal{G}$. Now let σ is nonzero with norm-tuple $\mathfrak{b}_\sigma = (\mathfrak{b}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{b}_{|\sigma|})$. Considering Convention 2.8, one gets from (R4) together with (an iterated use of) the Jacobi identity that

$$[h_i, e_\sigma] = [h_i, [\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{b}_\sigma, e_n]] = -[\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{b}_\sigma, [e_n, h_i]] = \alpha_n(h_i)e_\sigma.$$

To prove the next two equalities in (2.16), we use induction on $|\sigma|$. Assume $0 \neq \sigma$ is nonzero with $[e_i, e_\sigma] = 0 = [f_i^{\alpha_n(h_i)+1}, e_\sigma]$. We are done if we show that for $a_0 \in J_\nu$,

$$[e_i, [\frac{1}{2}h^\pm_{n,a_0}, e_\sigma]] = 0 = [f_i^{\alpha_n(h_i)+1}, [\frac{1}{2}h^\pm_{n,a_0}, e_\sigma]].$$

Since α_n and α_i are not proportional, there exists $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\alpha_n(x) \neq 0$ and $\alpha_i(x) = 0$. Therefore using (R4) and Proposition 2.14(i), we have

$$[\frac{1}{2}h^\pm_{n,a_0}, e_\sigma] \in \mathbb{C}[x^\pm_{a_0}, e_\sigma] \quad \text{and} \quad [x^\pm_{a_0}, e_i] = 0.$$

Now using these together with the Jacobi identity and the induction hypothesis, we get

$$[e_i, [\frac{1}{2}h^\pm_{n,a_0}, e_\sigma]] \in \mathbb{C}[e_i, [x^\pm_{a_0}, e_\sigma]] = \mathbb{C}[[x^\pm_{a_0}, e_i], e_\sigma] = 0 = [[x^\pm_{a_0}, f_i], e_\sigma].$$

and

$$[f_i^{\alpha_n(h_i)+1}, \frac{1}{2}h^\pm_{n,a_0}, e_\sigma] \in \mathbb{C}[f_i^{\alpha_n(h_i)+1}, x^\pm_{a_0}, e_\sigma] = \mathbb{C}[x^\pm_{a_0}, f_i^{\alpha_n(h_i)+1}, e_\sigma] = 0.$$

(ii) Using an argument analogous to the one in part (i), we are done. □

Using Proposition 2.15(i), one concludes that there exists a $\mathcal{G}$-module isomorphism $\varphi_\sigma : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_\sigma$ mapping e_n to e_σ. Therefore $\mathcal{G}_\sigma$ admits a weight space decomposition $\mathcal{G}_\sigma = \sum_{\gamma\in R}(\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_\gamma$, where $(\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_\gamma = \varphi_\sigma(\mathcal{G}_\gamma)$, $\gamma \in R$. For $a \in J_\nu$, we set

$$\sigma_a^\pm := (0,\ldots,0,\underbrace{\pm 1}_{a\text{-th}},0,\ldots,0) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_a^\pm := \varphi_{\sigma_a^\pm}. \tag{2.17}$$

From (2.12) we have $\varphi_a^\pm(e_n) = e_{\sigma_a^\pm} = (1/2)[h_{n,a}^\pm, e_n]$. Now considering (2.2), (2.3) and (R3) we have, for $i \in J_\ell$ and $a \in J_\nu$,

$$e_{i,a}^\pm = \varphi_a^\pm(e_i) \quad \text{and} \quad h_{i,a}^\pm = \varphi_a^\pm(h_i). \tag{2.18}$$

Now set, for $t \in J_n$, $a \in J_\nu$ and $\ell + 1 \le j \le n$,

$$\begin{gathered} e_{t,\sigma} := \varphi_\sigma(e_t), \;\; f_{t,\sigma} := \varphi_\sigma(f_t), \;\; h_{t,\sigma} := \varphi_\sigma(h_t), \\ f_{t,a}^\pm := \varphi_a^\pm(f_t), \;\; e_{j,a}^\pm := \varphi_a^\pm(e_j), \;\; h_{j,a} := \varphi_a^\pm(h_j) \end{gathered} \tag{2.19}$$

and finally set

$$\mathcal{Z} := \mathrm{span}_\mathbb{C}\{[h_{i,\sigma}, h_{i,\tau}] \mid i \in J_\ell,\ \sigma,\tau \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu\}. \tag{2.20}$$

We next note that as φ_σ, $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, is a $\mathcal{G}$-module isomorphism and $[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{i,j}h_i$, $i,j \in J_\ell$, we get for $a \in J_\nu$ and $i,j \in J_\ell$,

$$[e_{i,\sigma}, f_j] = -[f_{i,\sigma}, e_j] = \delta_{i,j}h_{i,\sigma}. \tag{2.21}$$

Now let $r \in J_m$, then Proposition 2.15(*ii*) guarantees the existence of a $\mathcal{G}$-module isomorphism

$$\psi_\sigma^r : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_\sigma^r \text{ such that } \mathbf{v} = v_{n_s} \mapsto v_\sigma^r \text{ (see (2.12)).} \tag{2.22}$$

Set $\psi_r := \psi_0^r$. Since $\psi_r(\mathbf{v}) = v^r$, (1.18) and (2.5) imply that

$$v_{\pm t}^r = \psi_r(v_{\pm t}); \quad n_\ell + 1 \le t \le n_s. \tag{2.23}$$

Also as $\mathcal{V}_\sigma^r$ is an irreducible finite dimensional $\mathcal{G}$-module of highest weight α_{n_s}, we have

$$\begin{gathered} \mathcal{V}_\sigma^r = (\mathcal{V}_\sigma^r)_0 \oplus \textstyle\bigoplus_{t=n_\ell+1}^{n_s}(\mathcal{V}_\sigma^r)_{\pm t} \text{ where} \\ (\mathcal{V}_\sigma^r)_{\pm t} := (\mathcal{V}_\sigma^r)_{\pm\alpha_t} = \mathbb{C}v_{\sigma,\pm t}^r \text{ with } v_{\sigma,\pm t}^r := \psi_\sigma^r(v_{\pm t}); \quad n_\ell + 1 \le t \le n_s. \end{gathered} \tag{2.24}$$

Proposition 2.25. *Let* $t \in J_n$ *and* $\alpha = \pm\alpha_t$. *Suppose for* $j \in J_{|\sigma|}$, $x_j \in \mathcal{H}$ *is such that* $\alpha(x_j) \neq 0$. *For* $j \in J_{|\sigma|}$, *recall Convention 2.8 and set* $c_j := (x_j)^{j,\sigma}$. *Then for* $e \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\alpha^0$ *(see Proposition 2.14), we have*

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{|\sigma|}(\pm\alpha(x_j))}[c_1,\ldots,c_{|\sigma|},e] = \begin{cases} \varphi_\sigma(e) & \text{if } e \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha \\ \psi_\sigma^r(e) & \text{if } e \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha^r \text{ for some } r \in J_m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using Proposition 2.14(*ii*), it is enough to show that the right hand side of the expression equals to $[y_1^{1,\sigma},\ldots,y_{|\sigma|}^{|\sigma|,\sigma},e]$ for some $y_1,\ldots,y_{|\sigma|} \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\alpha(y_i) = 1$, $i \in J_{|\sigma|}$. Take

$$\begin{cases} v := e_n \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda := \alpha_n & \text{if } e \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha \\ v := v^r \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda := \alpha_{n_s} & \text{if } e \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha^r \text{ for some } r \in J_m. \end{cases}$$

Using Lemma 1.3(i), we may suppose $e=[f_{i_p},\dots,f_{i_1},v]$ where $p\in\mathbb{N}$, $i_1,\dots,i_p\in J_n$ and for $q\in J_p$, $\alpha_{i_q}\neq\pm(\lambda-\sum_{r=1}^{q-1}\alpha_{i_r})$.

For $1\leq q\leq p$, $\alpha_{i_q}\neq\pm(\lambda-\sum_{r=1}^{q-1}\alpha_{i_r})$, so there is $x_q\in\mathcal{H}$, such that $\alpha_{i_q}(x_q)=0$ and $(\lambda-\sum_{r=1}^{q-1}\alpha_{i_r})(x_q)=1$. Now we recall Convention 2.8 and note that Proposition 2.24(i) implies that $[f_{i_q},x_q^{i,\sigma}]=0$, $i\in J_{|\sigma|}$. So contemplating Proposition 2.14(ii) together with the Jacobi identity, we get

$$\begin{aligned}[f_{i_q},x_q^{1,\sigma},\dots,x_q^{|\sigma|,\sigma},f_{i_{q-1}},\dots,f_{i_1},v] &= [x_q^{1,\sigma},\dots,x_q^{|\sigma|,\sigma},f_{i_q},f_{i_{q-1}},\dots,f_{i_1},v]\\ &\overset{\text{if } q\neq p}{=} [x_{q+1}^{1,\sigma},\dots,x_{q+1}^{|\sigma|,\sigma},f_{i_q},f_{i_{q-1}},\dots,f_{i_1},v].\end{aligned}$$

Using this repeatedly, one has

$$\begin{aligned}[x_p^{1,\sigma},\dots,x_p^{|\sigma|,\sigma},e] &= [x_p^{1,\sigma},\dots,x_p^{|\sigma|,\sigma},f_{i_p},\dots,f_{i_1},v]\\ &= [f_{i_p},\dots,f_{i_1},x_1^{1,\sigma},\dots,x_1^{|\sigma|,\sigma},v]\\ &= \begin{cases}[f_{i_p},\dots,f_{i_1},e_\sigma] & \text{if } e\in\mathcal{G}_\alpha\\ [f_{i_p},\dots,f_{i_1},v_\sigma^r] & \text{if } e\in\mathcal{V}_\alpha^r \text{ for some } r\in J_m.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Now we are done as φ_σ and ψ_σ^r are $\mathcal{G}$-module homomorphisms. □

Recall from Section 1 that we have fixed an specific order for (simple) roots, in terms of their lengths. Accordingly, for type F_4, we consider the following fundamental system

$$\{\alpha_1=\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3,\alpha_2=\varepsilon_3-\varepsilon_4,\alpha_3=\varepsilon_4,\alpha_4=\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3-\varepsilon_4)\},\tag{2.26}$$

where as usual ε_i's are the standard orthogonal basis for $\mathbb{R}^4$. Using the module theory for type F_4, we may find fix complex numbers $a,b,a',b',a_3'',b_3'',a_4'',b_4''$ satisfying

$$\begin{gathered}(a_3''a'+b_3''a)b=-(a_3''b'+b_3''b)a,(a_4''a'+b_4''a)b'=-(a_4''b'+b_4''b)a',\\ t(a'f_3\cdot v_3+af_4\cdot v_4,b'f_3\cdot v_3+bf_4\cdot v_4)=1,\\ (a'f_3\cdot v_3+af_4\cdot v_4)*(b'f_3\cdot v_3+b,f_4\cdot v_4)=0,\\ e_i\cdot(f_3\cdot v_3)=a_i''v_i,e_i\cdot(f_4\cdot v_4)=b_i''v_i.\end{gathered}\tag{2.27}$$

where $3\leq i\leq 4$ and t is the trace form introduced in Section 1 (see Subsection 5.2). We then define

$$D_{\sigma,\tau}^{r,s}:=\begin{cases}[a'[f_3,v_{\sigma,3}^r]+a[f_4,v_{\sigma,4}^r],b'[f_3,v_{\tau,3}^s]+b[f_4,v_{\tau,4}^s]] & \text{for type } F_4,\\ [[f_\ell,v_{\sigma,\ell}^r],[f_\ell,v_{\tau,\ell}^s]] & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

where $r,s\in J_m$ and $\sigma,\tau\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu$. Now set

$$\mathcal{D}:=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{D_{\sigma,\tau}^{r,s}\mid r,s\in J_m,\quad \sigma,\tau\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu\}.\tag{2.28}$$

In Propositions 2.29 and 2.30, we prove several crucial relations among the elements of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, which reveals the algebra structure between parts $\mathcal{G}_\sigma$, $\mathcal{V}_\sigma^r$, $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ (see (2.13), (2.20) and (2.28)) of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Since the proofs of these two propositions are quite technical and a bit long, we found it more convenient to records their statements here and postpone their proofs until Section 4.

Proposition 2.29. *Let $r \in J_m$, $a \in J_\nu$, $i \neq j \in J_\ell$ and $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. Set $\tau^{\pm a} = \tau \pm \sigma_a$, then considering (2.19), we have*

(i) $[e_{i,\tau}, e_{i,\sigma}] = 0 = [f_{i,\tau}, f_{i,\sigma}]$,

(ii) $[e_{i,\sigma}, h_{j,\tau}] = -\alpha_i(h_j)e_{i,\sigma+\tau}$ *and* $[f_{i,\sigma}, h_{j,\tau}] = \alpha_i(h_j)f_{i,\sigma+\tau}$,

(iii) $[h_{i,\tau}, e^{\pm}_{i,a}] = [h^{\pm}_{i,a}, e_{i,\tau}] = 2e_{i,\tau^{\pm a}}$ *and* $[h_{i,\tau}, f^{\pm}_{i,a}] = [h^{\pm}_{i,a}, f_{i,\tau}] = -2f_{i,\tau^{\pm a}}$,

(iv) $[h^{\pm}_{j,a}, h_{i,\sigma}] = (\alpha_i(h_j)/2)[h^{\pm}_{i,a}, h_{i,\sigma}]$,

(v) $[e^{\pm}_{i,a}, f_{i,\tau}] = \frac{1}{2}[h^{\pm}_{i,a}, h_{i,\tau}] + h_{i,\tau^{\pm a}}$,

(vi) $[v^r, h_{i,\sigma}] = -\alpha_{n_s}(h_i)v^r_\sigma$, *in particular*

$$[h_{i,\sigma}, h_{i,\tau}, v^r] = [h_{i,\tau}, h_{i,\sigma}, v^r].$$

Proposition 2.30. *For $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^\star \setminus \{0\}$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ and $r \in J_m$, define $(\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_\alpha$ and $(\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_\alpha$ to be zero if α is not a root or a short root respectively. Let $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, $r, s \in J_m$ and $t \in J_n$, then we have*

(i) $[H, \sum_{t'=1}^{n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} (\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_{\pm\alpha_{t'}}] \subseteq \sum_{t'=1}^{n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} (\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_{\pm\alpha_{t'}}$.

(ii) $[v^r, (\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_{\pm\alpha_t}] \subseteq (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_{\pm\alpha_t+\alpha_{n_s}}$ *and* $[v^r, (\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_0] \subseteq (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_{\alpha_{n_s}}$.

(iii) $[H, (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_0] = \{0\}$.

(iv) $[H, \sum_{p=1}^{m} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} \mathcal{V}^p_\delta] \subseteq \sum_{p=1}^{m} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} \mathcal{V}^p_\delta$.

(v) $[v^s, v^r_\sigma] = 0$.

(vi) For $\alpha \in R_{sh} \cup \{0\} \setminus \{\pm\alpha_{n_s}\}$, we have

$$[v^s, (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_\alpha] \subseteq \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} (\mathcal{G}_\delta)_{\alpha+\alpha_{n_s}} + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq m} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} (\mathcal{V}^p_\delta)_{\alpha+\alpha_{n_s}}.$$

Moreover only the first (second) summation on the right hand side happens if $r = s$ ($r \neq s$).

(vii) $[v^s, v^r_{\sigma,-n_s}] \subseteq \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} (\mathcal{G}_\delta)_0 + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} (\mathcal{V}^k_\delta)_0 + \mathcal{D}$.

(viii) $[v^r, \mathcal{D}] \subseteq \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} \mathcal{V}^k_\delta$.

(ix) $[H, \mathcal{D}] = \{0\}$.

(x) $\mathcal{D}$ is a trivial $\mathcal{G}$-module.

(xi) Considering (2.20), we have $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$.

3. MAIN THEOREM

In this section we state and prove the main theorem of this work. The notation and terminology will be as in the previous sections.

Theorem 3.1 (Main Theorem). *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a centerless Lie torus of type $X \neq A, C, BC$ with the universal covering $\mathfrak{A}$, and associated presented Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \cong \mathfrak{A}$. In particular $\mathfrak{A}$ is a finitely presented Lie algebra.*

Proof. We proceed with the proof in a few steps.

Step1. Considering (2.18) and Propositions 2.15, 2.29(iv) together with Proposition 2.30 and using the same argument as in [You, Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 2.11], we get that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}\mathcal{G}_\sigma+\sum_{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}\sum_{r\in J_m}\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma+\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{Z}\quad\text{and}\quad Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})\subseteq\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{Z}.$$

and that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is an R-graded Lie algebra. Moreover $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}=\oplus_{\alpha\in R}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\alpha$ where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\alpha=\begin{cases}\displaystyle\sum_{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_0+\sum_{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}\sum_{r\in J_m}(\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_0+\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{Z} & \text{if } \alpha=0,\\ \displaystyle\sum_{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_\alpha+\sum_{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}\sum_{r\in J_m}(\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha\in R_{sh},\\ \displaystyle\sum_{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha\in R_{lg}.\end{cases}$$

Now we note that as $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is an R-graded Lie algebra, so is $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(LL)$ and as $Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})\subseteq\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{Z}$, one can identify $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}\mathcal{G}_\sigma+\sum_{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}\sum_{r\in J_m}\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma+\mathcal{D}'\ \text{ where } \mathcal{D}':=\mathcal{D}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}).\tag{3.2}$$

We shall keep the same notation for the images of $e_i,f_i,h_i,h^{\pm}_{i,j}$ and v^r in $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ and use $[\cdot,\cdot]^{\bar{}}$ for the Lie bracket on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$. Now using (2.11), (1.16) and Theorem 1.13, we have an epimorphism

$$\psi':\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})\longrightarrow\mathfrak{A}/Z(\mathfrak{A})=(\mathcal{G}\otimes A_{[\nu]})\oplus(\mathcal{V}\otimes A^m_{[\nu]})\oplus\mathcal{D}_{A^m_{[\nu]},A^m_{[\nu]}}\ \text{with}$$

$$e_i\mapsto e_i\otimes 1,\ f_i\mapsto f_i\otimes 1,\ h_i\mapsto h_i\otimes 1,\ h^{\pm}_{i,j}\mapsto h_i\otimes t_j^{\pm 1},\ v^r\mapsto v_{n_s}\otimes w_r.$$

for $i\in J_\ell,\ j\in J_\nu$ and $r\in J_m$. Next let $\alpha,\beta,\alpha+\beta\in R^\times,\ \gamma,\alpha+\gamma\in R_{sh},\ \sigma,\tau\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ and $r\in J_m$. It follows using Proposition 2.25 and (1.12) that $\psi'((\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_\alpha)=\mathcal{G}_\alpha\otimes t^\sigma$ and $\psi'((\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_\gamma)=\mathcal{V}_\gamma\otimes t^\sigma w_r$. Therefore by (1.12), we have

(3.3)

$$\psi'([(\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_\alpha,(\mathcal{G}_\tau)_\beta]^{\bar{}})=[\psi'((\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_\alpha),\psi'((\mathcal{G}_\tau)_\beta)]=\mathcal{G}_{\alpha+\beta}\otimes t^{\sigma+\tau}\neq\{0\},$$

$$\psi'([(\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_\alpha,(\mathcal{V}^r_\tau)_\gamma]^{\bar{}})=[\psi'((\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_\alpha),\psi'((\mathcal{V}^r_\tau)_\gamma)]=\mathcal{V}_{\alpha+\gamma}\otimes t^{\sigma+\tau}w_r\neq\{0\},$$

$$\psi'([(\mathcal{V}^s_\eta)_\zeta,(\mathcal{V}^r_\tau)_\gamma]^{\bar{}})=[\psi'((\mathcal{V}^s_\eta)_\zeta),\psi'((\mathcal{V}^r_\tau)_\gamma)]=[\mathcal{V}_\eta\otimes t^\zeta w_s,\mathcal{V}_\tau\otimes t^\gamma w_r].$$

Step2 (Simply-laced types). We define a $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$-gradeding on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ as follows. Recalling (2.17), we set

$$deg(e_i)=deg(f_i)=deg(h_i):=0,\ \ deg(h^{\pm}_{i,a}):=\pm 2\sigma_a$$

and note that this defines a $\mathbb{Z}^\nu-$grading on the free Lie algebra generated by the generating set $\{e_i, f_i, h_i, h^{\pm}_{i,a} \mid i \in J_\ell, a \in J_\nu\}$, then as the relations (R1)-(R9) are generated by homogenous elements, the defined grading induces a grading on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ which naturally defines a grading on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$. We set

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\sigma_\alpha := (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))_\alpha \cap (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\sigma;\ \ \alpha \in R,\ \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu, \tag{3.4}$$

so

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\sigma_\alpha := (\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_\alpha;\ \ \alpha \in R,\ \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu. \tag{3.5}$$

We next note that as $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ is a centerless R-graded Lie algebra, using Recognition Theorem results in the existence of a unital commutative associative algebra A such that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathcal{G} \otimes A$ and so the multiplication on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ obeys the Lie bracket on $\mathcal{G} \otimes A$ which implies that

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))_\alpha = \mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes A;\ \alpha \in R^\times.$$

Using the same argument as in [You, Subsection 2.6], one can identify A with $A_{[\nu]}$ such that $h^{\pm}_{i,a}$ is identified with $h_i \otimes t_a^{\pm 1}$. This in particular implies that ψ' is an isomorphism from $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ to $\mathfrak{A}/Z(\mathfrak{A})$. Now taking $\pi_1 : \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\pi_2 : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}/Z(\mathfrak{A})$ to be the natural projection maps and considering (2.11), we get that $\psi'^{-1} \circ \pi_2 \circ \psi = \pi_1$. Now one concludes that $\psi : \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ is a central extension of $\mathfrak{A}$, but $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is perfect, so by [MP, Proposition I.9.3], we get that $\psi : \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ is an isomorphism and so $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \simeq \mathfrak{A}$.

Step3 (Type G_2). We recall that for type G_2, there is $0 \leq p \leq 3$ such that the corresponding pair of the R-graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{A}/Z(\mathfrak{A})$ is (S, L) where $S := \mathbb{Z}\sigma_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\sigma_\nu$, $L := 3\mathbb{Z}\sigma_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus 3\mathbb{Z}\sigma_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}\sigma_{p+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\sigma_\nu$ and that $m = 3^p - 1$. For $r \in J_m$, define

$$\sigma^r := \sum_{i=1}^{p} s_i\sigma_i \quad \text{where } r = \sum_{i=1}^{p} 3^{i-1}s_i \text{ for } s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \{0, 1, 2\}. \tag{3.6}$$

Let $\sigma = (n_1, \ldots, n_\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, for $1 \leq i \leq p$, suppose that $m_i, r_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ are such that $r_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $n_i = 3m_i + r_i$, set

$$\begin{gathered} r_\sigma := \sum_{i=1}^{p} 3^{i-1} r_i,\ \delta_\sigma := \sum_{i=1}^{p} m_i\sigma_i + \sum_{i=p+1}^{\nu} n_i\sigma_i \\ \theta_\sigma := \delta_\sigma + \sigma^{r_\sigma} = \delta_\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^{p} r_i\sigma_i. \end{gathered} \tag{3.7}$$

Note that $\delta_\sigma = \theta_\sigma$ if $\sigma \in L$. Now we would like to define a $\mathbb{Z}^\nu-$grading on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, for this, we recall (2.17), (3.7) and set for $i \in J_\ell,\ a \in J_p,\ p + 1 \leq b \leq \nu$ and $r \in J_m$,

$$\begin{gathered} deg(e_i) = deg(f_i) = deg(h_i) := 0,\ deg(h^{\pm}_{i,a}) := \pm 3\sigma_a, \\ deg(h^{\pm}_{i,b}) := \pm\sigma_b,\ \deg(v^r) := \sigma^r. \end{gathered}$$

This defines a $\mathbb{Z}^\nu-$grading on the free Lie algebra generated by

$$\{e_i, f_i, h_i, h_{i,a}^{\pm}, v^r \mid i \in J_\ell,\ a \in J_\nu,\ r \in J_m\}.$$

As before relations (R1)-(R9) are generated by homogeneous elements, so this grading is naturally transferred to $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ and also to $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$. Next we note that if $\sigma = (n_1, \ldots, n_\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ and $r \in J_m$ be with $\sigma^r = \sum_{i=1}^{p} s_i \sigma_i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{G}_\sigma \text{ is homogeneous of degree } \sum_{i=1}^{p} 3n_i\sigma_i + \sum_{i=p+1}^{\nu} n_i\sigma_i \text{ and} \\ &\mathcal{V}_\sigma^r \text{ is homogenous of degree } \sum_{i=1}^{p}(3n_i + s_i)\sigma_i + \sum_{i=p+1}^{\nu} n_i\sigma_i. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

As before set

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))_\alpha^\sigma := (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))_\alpha \cap (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\sigma;\ \ \alpha \in R,\ \ \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu.$$

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is a G_2-graded Lie algebra, Recognition Theorem states that there are a unital commutative associative algebra A and a unital Jordan algebra $\mathcal{J}$ over A having a normalized trace satisfying the ch_3-identity (see Theorem 1.10) such that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is centrally isogenous with

$$(\mathcal{G} \otimes A) \oplus (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{J}_0) \oplus D_{\mathcal{J},\mathcal{J}}$$

where $\mathcal{V} = \mathfrak{C}_0$ (see §1.1.2). Next set $B := \mathcal{J}_0$ and note that $D_{\mathcal{J},\mathcal{J}} = D_{\mathcal{J}_0,\mathcal{J}_0}$. Now we have

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))_\alpha = \begin{cases} (\mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes A) \oplus (\mathcal{V}_\alpha \otimes B) & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{sh}, \\ \mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes A & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{lg}. \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

On the other hand, using (3.2), (3.8), (3.6) and (3.7), we have for $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ and $\alpha \in R^\times$ that

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))_\alpha^\sigma = \begin{cases} (\mathcal{V}_{\delta_\sigma}^{r_\sigma})_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{sh} \text{ and } \sigma \in S \setminus L, \\ (\mathcal{G}_{\delta_\sigma})_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in R^\times \text{ and } \sigma \in L. \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

Next let $\alpha \in R_{lg}$ and $\sigma \in L$, then using (3.9), we get from the one dimensionality of $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))_\alpha^\sigma$ and $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ that there is a one dimensional subspace $A_\alpha^{\delta_\sigma}$ of A such that

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))_\alpha^\sigma = \mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes A_\alpha^{\delta_\sigma}.$$

Using the same argument as in simply laced types,we get that $\alpha, \beta \in R_{lg}$ and $\sigma \in L$. Set

$$A^{\delta_\sigma} := A_\alpha^{\delta_\sigma} \text{ for } \sigma \in L \text{ and any choice of } \alpha \in R_{lg}.$$

Now let $\sigma \in L$ and α be a short root, take β to be a long root such that $\alpha - \beta \in R^\times$, then recalling (1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\sigma_\alpha = (\mathcal{G}_{\delta_\sigma})_\alpha = \varphi_{\delta_\sigma}([\mathcal{G}_{\alpha-\beta}, \mathcal{G}_\beta]) = [\mathcal{G}_{\alpha-\beta}, \varphi_{\delta_\sigma}(\mathcal{G}_\beta)] &= [\mathcal{G}_{\alpha-\beta}, (\mathcal{G}_{\delta_\sigma})_\beta] \\ &= [\mathcal{G}_{\alpha-\beta}, (\mathcal{G}_{\delta_\sigma})_\beta]^- \\ &= [\mathcal{G}_{\alpha-\beta}, \mathcal{G}_\beta \otimes A^{\delta_\sigma}]^- \\ &= \mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes A^{\delta_\sigma}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\sigma_\alpha = \mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes A^{\delta_\sigma};\ \alpha \in R^\times,\ \sigma \in L. \tag{3.11}$$

Next suppose that $\alpha \in R_{sh}$ and $\sigma \in S \setminus L$, then the one dimensionality of $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\sigma_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$ together with (3.9) and (3.10) implies that there is a one dimensional subspace $B^{\theta_\sigma}_\alpha$ such that

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\sigma_\alpha = \mathcal{V}_\alpha \otimes B^{\theta_\sigma}_\alpha. \tag{3.12}$$

If $\sigma \in S \setminus L$ and $\alpha, \beta \in R_{sh}$ such that $\alpha - \beta \in R^\times$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}_\alpha \otimes B^{\theta_\sigma}_\alpha = (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\sigma_\alpha = (\mathcal{V}^{r_\sigma}_{\delta_\sigma})_\alpha = \psi^{r_\sigma}_{\delta_\sigma}(\mathcal{G}_{\alpha-\beta} \cdot \mathcal{V}_\beta) &= [\mathcal{G}_{\alpha-\beta}, \psi^{r_\sigma}_{\delta_\sigma}(\mathcal{V}_\beta)] \\ &= [\mathcal{G}_{\alpha-\beta}, (\mathcal{V}^{r_\sigma}_{\delta_\sigma})_\beta] \\ &= [\mathcal{G}_{\alpha-\beta}, \mathcal{V}_\beta \otimes B^{\theta_\sigma}_\beta]^- \\ &= \mathcal{G}_{\alpha-\beta} \cdot \mathcal{V}_\beta \otimes B^{\theta_\sigma}_\beta \\ &= \mathcal{V}_\alpha \otimes B^{\theta_\sigma}_\beta,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $B^{\theta_\sigma}_\alpha = B^{\theta_\sigma}_\beta$. Use [AG, (5.11)] to conclude that $B^{\theta_\sigma}_\alpha = B^{\theta_\sigma}_\beta$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in R_{sh}$ and define

$$B^{\theta_\sigma} := B^{\theta_\sigma}_\alpha \text{ for } \sigma \in S \setminus L \text{ and any choice of } \alpha \in R_{sh}. \tag{3.13}$$

Now take $\sigma, \tau \in L$ and $\alpha, \beta \in R^\times$ to be such that $\alpha + \beta \in R^\times$, then (3.3), (3.11), (1.12) and Remark 1.15 imply that

$$0 \neq [(\tfrac{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}{Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})})^\sigma_\alpha, (\tfrac{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}{Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})})^\tau_\beta]^- \subseteq (\tfrac{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}{Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})})^{\sigma+\tau}_{\alpha+\beta} = \mathcal{G}_{\alpha+\beta} \otimes A^{\delta_{\sigma+\tau}} \quad \text{and}$$

$$[(\tfrac{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}{Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})})^\sigma_\alpha, (\tfrac{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}{Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})})^\tau_\beta]^- = [\mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes A^{\delta_\sigma}, \mathcal{G}_\beta \otimes A^{\delta_\tau}]^- \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{\alpha+\beta} \otimes A^{\delta_\sigma} A^{\delta_\tau}.$$

Also for $\sigma \in L$, $\tau \in S \setminus L$, $\alpha \in R_{lg}$ and $\beta \in R_{sh}$ such that $\alpha + \beta \in R_{sh}$, (3.3), (3.12), (3.11), (1.12) and Remark 1.15 imply that

$$0 \neq [(\tfrac{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}{Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})})^\sigma_\alpha, (\tfrac{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}{Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})})^\tau_\beta]^- \subseteq (\tfrac{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}{Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})})^{\sigma+\tau}_{\alpha+\beta} = \mathcal{V}_{\alpha+\beta} \otimes B^{\theta_{\sigma+\tau}} = \mathcal{V}_{\alpha+\beta} \otimes B^{\delta_\sigma + \theta_\tau},$$

$$[(\tfrac{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}{Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})})^\sigma_\alpha, (\tfrac{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}{Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})})^\tau_\beta]^- = [\mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes A^{\delta_\sigma}, \mathcal{V}_\beta \otimes B^{\theta_\tau}]^- \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\alpha+\beta} \otimes A^{\delta_\sigma} \cdot B^{\theta_\tau}.$$

Therefore the one dimensionality of the subspaces appearing on the right hand sides implies that

$$\begin{array}{ll}(i) & A^{\delta_\sigma}\cdot A^{\delta_\tau}=A^{\delta_\sigma+\delta_\tau}=A^{\delta_{\sigma+\tau}};\ \ \sigma,\tau\in L,\\ (ii) & A^{\delta_\sigma}\cdot B^{\theta_\tau}=B^{\delta_\sigma+\theta_\tau}=B^{\theta_{\sigma+\tau}};\ \sigma\in L,\tau\in S\setminus L.\end{array}\tag{3.14}$$

One can see that there are short roots α,β such that $\alpha+\beta\in R_{sh}$ and $x\in\mathcal{V}_\alpha$, $y\in\mathcal{V}_\beta$ are such that

$$D_{x,y}\neq 0\quad\text{and}\quad x*y\neq 0$$

(see Subsection 5.1). Now if $\sigma,\gamma\in S\setminus L$, considering (3.7) and using (1.12), (1.20) and (1.21), we have

$$[\mathcal{V}_\alpha\otimes t^{\delta_\sigma}w_{r_\sigma},\mathcal{V}_\beta\otimes t^{\delta_\gamma}w_{r_\gamma}]\neq 0$$

and so (3.10) and (3.3) imply that $0\neq[(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\sigma_\alpha,(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\gamma_\beta]\subseteq(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^{\sigma+\gamma}_{\alpha+\beta}$ and so one dimensionality of the subspaces implies that

$$[(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\sigma_\alpha,(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\gamma_\beta]=(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^{\sigma+\gamma}_{\alpha+\beta}.\tag{3.15}$$

This together with (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13) implies that

$$[\mathcal{V}_\alpha\otimes B^{\theta_\sigma},\mathcal{V}_\beta\otimes B^{\theta_\gamma}]=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\mathcal{V}_{\alpha+\beta}\otimes B^{\theta_{\sigma+\gamma}} & \text{if }\sigma+\gamma\in S\setminus L\\ \mathcal{G}_{\alpha+\beta}\otimes A^{\delta_{\sigma+\gamma}} & \text{if }\sigma+\gamma\in L.\end{array}\right.$$

Now if $0\neq a\in B^{\theta_\sigma}$ and $0\neq b\in B^{\theta_\gamma}$, then $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\sigma_\alpha=\mathbb{C}x\otimes a$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\tau_\beta=\mathbb{C}y\otimes b$. Also using (1.12), we have

$$[x\otimes a,y\otimes b]=D_{x,y}\otimes t(a,b)+(x*y)\otimes(a*b)+t(x,y)D_{a,b}.$$

Therefore if $\sigma+\gamma\in L$, we have $0\neq t(a,b)\in A^{\delta_{\sigma+\tau}}$ and $a*b=0$ which result in the fact that $ab=a*b+t(a,b)\in A^{\delta_{\sigma+\gamma}}=A^{\theta_{\sigma+\gamma}}$. Also if $\sigma+\gamma\in S\setminus L$, then $0\neq a*b\in B^{\theta_{\sigma+\gamma}}$ and $t(a,b)=0$ which imply that $ab=a*b+t(a,b)\in B^{\theta_{\sigma+\gamma}}$. Summarizing our results, we have

$$B^{\theta_\sigma}\cdot B^{\theta_\gamma}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}A^{\theta_{\sigma+\gamma}} & \text{if }\sigma+\gamma\in L,\\ B^{\theta_{\sigma+\gamma}} & \text{if }\sigma+\gamma\in S\setminus L.\end{array}\right.\tag{3.16}$$

Next set

$$\mathcal{J}^\sigma:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}A^{\theta_\sigma} & \text{if }\sigma\in L,\\ B^{\theta_\sigma} & \text{if }\sigma\in S\setminus L.\end{array}\right.$$

Now as $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))_\alpha=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\sigma_\alpha$, $\alpha\in R^\times$, one can use (3.14) and (3.16) to get the fact that $\mathcal{J}=A\oplus B=\oplus_{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}\mathcal{J}^\sigma$ is a graded Jordan algebra with one dimensional summands satisfying $\mathcal{J}^\sigma\cdot\mathcal{J}^\tau=\mathcal{J}^{\sigma+\tau}$. Therefore using the same argument as in [AG, Proposition 5.58], we get that A is graded isomorphic to $A_{[\nu]}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ is graded isomorphic to $\mathcal{J}_p$. Therefore considering Remark 1.15 and using the same argument as in [You, Subsection 2.6], we may identify A with $A_{[\nu]}$ such that

$$h^{\pm}_{i,a}=h_i\otimes t_a^{\pm1};\ i\in J_n,\ j\in J_\nu.\tag{3.17}$$

Now let $r \in J_m = J_{p^3-1}$, considering (3.7) and (3.6), one finds that $r_{\sigma^r} = r$ and $\delta_{\sigma^r} = 0$, so $\mathcal{V}^{r_{\sigma^r}}_{\delta_{\sigma^r}} = \mathcal{V}^{r_{\sigma^r}}_0 = \mathcal{V}^r$ and $\theta_{\sigma^r} = \sigma^r$. Therefore using (3.10), (3.12), (3.13) and (2.24), we have

$$(\mathcal{V}^r)_{n_s} = (\mathcal{V}_0^{r_{\sigma^r}})_{n_s} = (\mathcal{V}^{r_{\sigma^r}}_{\delta_{\sigma^r}})_{n_s} = (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^{\sigma^r}_{\alpha_{n_s}} = \mathcal{V}_{\alpha_{n_s}} \otimes B^{\theta_{\sigma^r}} = \mathcal{V}_{\alpha_{n_s}} \otimes B^{\sigma^r}. \tag{3.18}$$

Thus considering (1.18) for each $r \in J_m$, one finds $\beta^r \in B^{\sigma^r}$ such that $v^r = v_{n_s} \otimes \beta^r$. Now since $\mathcal{V}$, as a $\mathcal{G}$-module, is generated by v_{n_s}, (1.6) implies that

$$v^r_{\pm i} = v_{\pm i} \otimes \beta^r; \;\; n_\ell + 1 \leq i \leq n_s, \; r \in J_m. \tag{3.19}$$

Now one can use Subsection 5.1 to see that there is $n_\ell + 1 \leq i \leq n_s - 1$ such that $v_{n_s} * v_{-i} \neq 0$ and $D_{v_{n_s}, v_{-i}} \neq 0$. Using (R9), we have for $r, s \in J_m$ that

$$[v^r, v^s_{-i}] = m'_{-i} a'_{r,s} [(1/2)\mathfrak{b}^{t'_{-i}}_{\sigma'_{r,s}}, e_{t'_{-i}}] + m_{-i} a_{r,s} [(1/2)\mathfrak{b}^{t_i}_{\sigma_{r,s}}, v^{t_{r,s}}_{t_i}]. \tag{3.20}$$

Now if $q_1 := |\sigma_{r,s}|$ and $q_2 := |\sigma'_{r,s}|$, then there are $j_1, \ldots, j_{q_1}, i_1, \ldots, i_{q_2} \in J_\nu$ such that $t^{\sigma_{r,s}} = t^{\pm}_{j_1} \cdots t^{\pm}_{j_{q_1}}$ and $t^{\sigma'_{r,s}} = t^{\pm}_{i_1} \cdots t^{\pm}_{i_{q_2}}$. Now (2.7), Convention 2.8, (3.17), (R4), (3.19), (1.12) and (1.22) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{-i} a_{r,s} [(1/2)\mathfrak{b}^{t_i}_{\sigma_{r,s}}, v^{t_{r,s}}_{t_i}] &= m_{-i} a_{r,s} [\frac{1}{2} h_{t_i} \otimes t^{\pm}_{j_{q_1}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2} h_{t_i} \otimes t^{\pm}_{j_1}, v_{t_i} \otimes \beta^{t_{r,s}}] \\ &= m_{-i} a_{r,s} v_{t_i} \otimes t^{\pm}_{j_1} \ldots t^{\pm}_{j_{q_1}} \beta^{t_{r,s}} \\ &= m_{-i} a_{r,s} v_{t_i} \otimes t^{\sigma_{r,s}} \beta^{t_{r,s}} \\ &= v_{n_s} * v_{-i} \otimes a_{r,s} t^{\sigma_{r,s}} \beta^{t_{r,s}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} m'_{-i} a'_{r,s} [(1/2)\mathfrak{b}^{t'_{-i}}_{\sigma'_{r,s}}, e_{t'_{-i}}] &= m'_{-i} a'_{r,s} [\frac{1}{2} h_{t'_{-i}} \otimes t^{\pm}_{i_{q_2}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2} h_{t'_{-i}} \otimes t^{\pm}_{i_1}, e_{t'_{-i}} \otimes 1] \\ &= m'_{-i} a'_{r,s} e_{t'_{-i}} \otimes t^{\pm}_{i_{q_2}} \ldots t^{\pm}_{i_1} \\ &= d_{v_{n_s}, v_{-i}} \otimes a'_{r,s} t^{\sigma'_{r,s}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Also (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22) imply that

$$[v^r, v^s_{-i}] = d_{v_{n_s}, v_{-i}} \otimes a'_{r,s} t^{\sigma'_{r,s}} + (v_{n_s} * v_{-i}) \otimes a_{r,s} t^{\sigma_{r,s}} \beta^{t_{r,s}},$$

on the other hand (3.19) together with (1.12) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} [v^r, v^s_{-i}] &= [v_{n_s} \otimes \beta^r, v_{-i} \otimes \beta^s] \\ &= d_{v_{n_s}, v_{-i}} \otimes t(\beta^r, \beta^s) + (v_{n_s} * v_{-i}) \otimes (\beta^r * \beta^s) + d_{\beta^r, \beta^s}. \end{aligned}$$

These together imply that

$$t(\beta^r, \beta^s) = a'_{r,s} t^{\sigma'_{r,s}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^r * \beta^s = a_{r,s} t^{\sigma_{r,s}} \beta^{t_{r,s}}. \tag{3.23}$$

Now (1.21) and (3.23) imply that we can identify β^r with w_r for $r \in J_m$. Therefore we get that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{A}/Z(\mathfrak{A})$. Now using the same argument as in simply-laced types, one get that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \simeq \mathfrak{A}$.

Step3 (Type F_4). We recall that for type F_4, there is $0 \leq p \leq 3$ such that the corresponding pair of the R-graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{A}/Z(\mathfrak{A})$ is (S, L) where $S := \mathbb{Z}\sigma_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\sigma_\nu$ and $L := 2\mathbb{Z}\sigma_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus 2\mathbb{Z}\sigma_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}\sigma_{p+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\sigma_\nu$ and that $m = 2^p - 1$. For $r \in J_m$, define

$$\sigma^r := \sum_{i=1}^{p} s_i\sigma_i \quad \text{where } r = \sum_{i=1}^{p} 2^{i-1}s_i \text{ for } s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \{0, 1\}. \tag{3.24}$$

Let $\sigma = (n_1, \ldots, n_\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, for $1 \leq i \leq p$, suppose that $m_i, r_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ are such that $r_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $n_i = 2m_i + r_i$, set

$$\begin{gathered} r_\sigma := \sum_{i=1}^{p} 2^{i-1}r_i,\ \delta_\sigma := \sum_{i=1}^{p} m_i\sigma_i + \sum_{i=p+1}^{\nu} n_i\sigma_i \\ \theta_\sigma := \delta_\sigma + \sigma^{r_\sigma} = \delta_\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^{p} r_i\sigma_i. \end{gathered} \tag{3.25}$$

We mention that $\delta_\sigma = \theta_\sigma$ if $\sigma \in L$. Now we would like to define a $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$−grading on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, for this, we note that for $i \in J_\ell,\ a \in J_p,\ p + 1 \leq b \leq \nu$ and $r \in J_m$,

$$\begin{gathered} deg(e_i) = deg(f_i) = deg(h_i) := 0,\ deg(h^{\pm}_{i,a}) := \pm 2\sigma_a, \\ deg(h^{\pm}_{i,b}) := \pm\sigma_b,\ \deg(v^r) := \sigma^r, \end{gathered}$$

define a $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$−grading on the free Lie algebra generated by $\{e_i, f_i, h_i, h^{\pm}_{i,a}, v^r \mid i \in J_\ell,\ a \in J_\nu,\ r \in J_m\}$. As before relations (R1)-(R9) are generated by homogeneous elements, so this grading is naturally transferred to $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ and also on $Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$. Next we note that if $\sigma = (n_1, \ldots, n_\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, and if $i \in J_p$, $s_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $r := \sum_{i=1}^{p} 2^{i-1}s_i$, then

$$\begin{gathered} \mathcal{G}_\sigma \text{ is homogeneous of degree } \sum_{i=1}^{p} 2n_i\sigma_i + \sum_{i=p+1}^{\nu} n_i\sigma_i \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{V}^r_\sigma \text{ is homogenous of degree } \sum_{i=1}^{p} (2n_i + s_i)\sigma_i + \sum_{i=p+1}^{\nu} n_i\sigma_i. \end{gathered} \tag{3.26}$$

As before set

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\sigma_\alpha := (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))_\alpha \cap (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\sigma;\ \ \alpha \in R,\ \ \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu.$$

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is a F_4-graded Lie algebra, Recognition Theorem states that there is a unital commutative associative algebra A and an alternative algebra $\mathcal{C}$ over A having a normalized trace T satisfying the ch_2-identity (see Theorem 1.10) such that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is centrally isogenous with

$$(\mathcal{G} \otimes A) \oplus (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{C}_0) \oplus D_{\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C}}$$

where $\mathcal{V} = \mathfrak{J}_0$ (see §1.1.2). Next set $B := \mathcal{C}_0$ and note that $D_{\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C}} = D_{\mathcal{C}_0,\mathcal{C}_0}$. Now we have

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))_\alpha = \begin{cases} (\mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes A) \oplus (\mathcal{V}_\alpha \otimes B) & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{sh}, \\ \mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes A & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{lg}. \end{cases} \tag{3.27}$$

Also for $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ and $\alpha \in R^\times$ by (3.26) and (3.2), we have

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\sigma_\alpha = \begin{cases} (\mathcal{V}^{r_\sigma}_{\delta_\sigma})_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{sh} \text{ and } \sigma \in S \setminus L, \\ (\mathcal{G}_{\delta_\sigma})_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in R^\times \text{ and } \sigma \in L. \end{cases} \tag{3.28}$$

Using the same argument as in type G_2, one finds one dimensional subspaces A^{δ_σ}, $\sigma \in L$ and B^{θ_σ}, $\sigma \in S \setminus L$, of A and B respectively satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &(i) \quad A^{\delta_\sigma} \cdot A^{\delta_\tau} = A^{\delta_\sigma + \delta_\tau} = A^{\delta_{\sigma+\tau}}; \quad \sigma, \tau \in L, \\ &(ii) \quad A^{\delta_\sigma} \cdot B^{\theta_\tau} = B^{\delta_\sigma + \theta_\tau} = B^{\theta_{\sigma+\tau}}; \ \sigma \in L, \tau \in S \setminus L, \\ &(iii) \quad B^{\theta_\sigma} \cdot B^{\theta_\tau} = \begin{cases} A^{\theta_{\sigma+\tau}} & \text{if } \sigma, \tau \in S \setminus L, \ \sigma + \tau \in L, \\ B^{\theta_{\sigma+\tau}} & \text{if } \sigma, \tau \in S \setminus L, \ \sigma + \tau \in S \setminus L \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

such that

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))^\sigma_\alpha = \begin{cases} \mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes A^{\delta_\sigma} & \alpha \in R^\times, \ \ \sigma \in L \\ \mathcal{V}_\alpha \otimes B^{\theta_\sigma} & \alpha \in R_{sh}, \ \ \sigma \in S \setminus L. \end{cases} \tag{3.30}$$

Now for $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, set

$$\mathcal{C}^\sigma := \begin{cases} A^{\theta_\sigma} & \text{if } \sigma \in L, \\ B^{\theta_\sigma} & \text{if } \sigma \in S \setminus L. \end{cases}$$

Then (3.16) implies that $\mathcal{C} := \oplus_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} \mathcal{C}^\sigma$ is a graded alternative algebra with one dimensional summands satisfying $\mathcal{C}^\sigma \cdot \mathcal{C}^\tau = \mathcal{C}^{\sigma+\tau}$. Therefore using the same argument as in [AG, Proposition 5.45], we have that A is graded isomorphic to $A_{[\nu]}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is graded isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_p$. Therefore as before we may identify A with $A_{[\nu]}$ such that

$$h^\pm_{i,a} = h_i \otimes t_a^{\pm 1}; \ i \in J_n, \ j \in J_\nu. \tag{3.31}$$

Using the same argument as in type G_2, one gets that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}/Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{A}/Z(\mathfrak{A})$, and so as before, one concludes that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \simeq \mathfrak{A}$.

4. POSTPONED PROOFS

In this section we present the proofs of Propositions 2.29 and 2.30. For this, we first need to prove the following claim about type F_4.

Claim. Suppose that R is of type F_4. For $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, $1 \leq r, s \leq m$ with $r \neq s$, we have

(i) $[v^s_{\sigma,3}, [f_3, v^r_{\tau,3}]] = 0$.
(ii) $[v^s_{\sigma,4}, [f_4, v^r_{\tau,4}]] = 0$.
(iii) $[v^s_{\sigma,3}, [f_4, v^r_{\tau,4}]] = -[v^r_{\sigma,3}, [f_4, v^s_{\tau,4}]]$.
(iv) $[v^s_{\sigma,4}, [f_3, v^r_{\tau,3}]] = -[v^r_{\sigma,4}, [f_3, v^s_{\tau,3}]]$.

Proof of the Claim. (i) One knows that $\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4$ is a positive long root, take $i \in J_n$ to be such that $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4$. Now as $-\alpha_i + \alpha_{n_s} = \varepsilon_4 = \alpha_3$

(see (2.26)), we have $\mathbb{C}[f_i, v^s_\sigma] = \mathbb{C}v^s_{\sigma,3}$. Also as $\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4$ is not a short root, $[f_3, v^s_\sigma] = 0$ and as α_i is a long root $[f_i, f_3, v^r_{\tau,3}] = 0$. Therefore using the Jacobi identity together with Propositions 2.25, 2.14(*iii*) and (R9), we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [v^s_{\sigma,3}, [f_3, v^r_{\tau,3}]] \in \mathbb{C}[[f_i, v^s_\sigma], [f_3, v^r_{\tau,3}]] & = & \mathbb{C}([f_i, v^s_\sigma, f_3, v^r_{\tau,3}] - [v^s_\sigma, f_i, f_3, v^r_{\tau,3}]) \\ & = & \mathbb{C}[f_i, v^s_\sigma, f_3, v^r_{\tau,3}] - 0 \\ & = & \mathbb{C}[f_i, f_3, v^s_\sigma, v^r_{\tau,3}] \\ & \subseteq & \mathbb{C}[f_i, f_3, \underbrace{H, \dots, H}_{|\sigma|+|\tau|}, v^s, v^r_3] = 0. \end{array}$$

(*ii*) We know that $\alpha_4 = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)$. Take $j, t \in J_n$ be such that $\alpha_j = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)$ and $\alpha_t = \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3$, which are positive roots. Now we have

$$\alpha_4 + \alpha_j = \alpha_i = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_j - \alpha_4 = \alpha_t$$

which are long roots and so $[f_t, [e_4, \mathcal{V}^r_{\tau,-4}]] = 0$ and $[e_4, \mathcal{V}^s_{\sigma,j}] = 0$. Now the Jacobi identity together with Propositions 2.25 and 2.14(*iii*) implies that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [v^s_{\sigma,4}, [f_4, v^r_{\tau,4}]] & \in & [v^s_{\sigma,4}, [e_4, \mathcal{V}^r_{\tau,-4}]] \\ & = & [[f_t, \mathcal{V}^s_{\sigma,j}], [e_4, \mathcal{V}^r_{\tau,-4}]] \\ & = & [f_t, \mathcal{V}^s_{\sigma,j}, [e_4, \mathcal{V}^r_{\tau,-4}]] + [\mathcal{V}^s_{\sigma,j}, f_t, [e_4, \mathcal{V}^r_{\tau,-4}]] \\ & = & [f_t, [\mathcal{V}^s_{\sigma,j}, e_4], \mathcal{V}^r_{\tau,-4}] + [f_t, e_4, \mathcal{V}^s_j, \mathcal{V}^r_{\tau,-4}] \\ & = & [f_t, e_4, \mathcal{V}^s_{\sigma,j}, \mathcal{V}^r_{\tau,-4}] \\ & \subseteq & \mathbb{C}[f_t, e_4, \underbrace{H, \dots, H}_{|\sigma|+|\tau|}, \mathcal{V}^s_j, \mathcal{V}^r_{-4}] = 0. \end{array}$$

(iii) Consider Lemma 1.2 and take $i, j \in J_n$ be such that $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4$ and $-\alpha_j = \frac{1}{2}(-\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4) = -\alpha_i + \alpha_4$. Next we note that $-\alpha_4 + \alpha_3$ is not a root and that $\alpha_i + \alpha_{n_s} = \varepsilon_4 = \alpha_3$. Therefore $[f_4, v^s_{\sigma,3}] = 0$ and $f_i \cdot v_{n_s} = z v_3$ for some $z \in \mathbb{C}$ which in turn implies that $z[f_i, v^s_\sigma] = v^s_{\sigma,3}$. We next note that as $\alpha_{n_s} \neq \alpha_j$, there are $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\alpha_{n_s}(h_1) = 1 = \alpha_j(h_2)$ and $\alpha_{n_s}(h_2) = 0 = \alpha_j(h_1)$. We take $c_i := (h_1)^{i,\sigma}$, $c'_j := (h_2)^{j,\tau}$ for $1 \leq i \leq |\sigma|, 1 \leq j \leq |\tau|$ (see Convention 2.8). Also as $\alpha_{n_s} + \alpha_4$ is not a root, Propositions 2.25, 2.14(*iii*) together with (R9) imply that $[v^s_\sigma, v^r_{\tau,4}] = 0$. So the Jacobi identity and Proposition 2.14(*iii*) imply that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [v^s_{\sigma,3}, [f_4, v^r_{\tau,4}]] = [f_4, v^s_{\sigma,3}, v^r_{\tau,4}] & = & z[f_4, [f_i, v^s_\sigma], v^r_{\tau,4}] \\ & = & z[f_4, f_i, [v^s_\sigma, v^r_{\tau,4}]] - z[f_4, v^s_\sigma, f_i, v^r_{\tau,4}] \\ & = & -z[f_4, v^s_\sigma, f_i, v^r_{\tau,4}] \\ & = & -z[f_4, c_1, \dots, c_{|\sigma|}, c'_1, \dots, c'_{|\tau|}, v^s, f_i, v^r_4]. \end{array}$$

Using (R4) together with the same argument as above, we conclude that

$$[v^r_{\sigma,3}, [f_4, v^s_{\tau,4}]] = -z[f_4, c_1, \dots, c_{|\sigma|}, c'_1, \dots, c'_{|\tau|}, v^r, f_\gamma, v^s_2].$$

But F_4, $a_{r,s} = -a_{s,r}$ (see (1.21)), so using (R9), we are done.

(*iv*) Use the same argument as in part (*i*). $\square$

We are now ready to present proofs of the postponed propositions.

Proof of Proposition 2.29.

(*i*) We prove the first equality, as the argument for the second equality is similar. We use induction on $|\tau|$. If $|\tau| = 0$, then $e_{i,\tau} = e_i$, and $[e_i, e_{i,\sigma}] = \varphi_\sigma([e_i, e_i]) = 0$. Now let the result holds for all τ with $|\tau| = s$. Suppose that $\sigma' = (m_1, \ldots, m_\nu)$ be such that $|\sigma'| = s + 1$, then there is $a \in J_\nu$, such that $m_a \neq 0$. Set $\tau := \sigma' - \sigma_a^{sgn(m_a)}$ and $\gamma := \sigma + \sigma_a^{sgn(m_a)}$ (see (2.17)), then $|\tau| = |\sigma'| - 1 = s$. Now Proposition 2.25, the Jacobi identity and probably (R4), (R6) together with the induction hypothesis give

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_{i,\sigma'}, e_{i,\sigma}] &= [[\frac{1}{2}h_{i,a}^{sgn(m_a)}, e_{i,\tau}], e_{i,\sigma}] \\
&= [\frac{1}{2}h_{i,a}^{sgn(m_a)}, e_{i,\tau}, e_{i,\sigma}] - [e_{i,\tau}, \frac{1}{2}h_{i,a}^{sgn(m_a)}, e_{i,\sigma}] \\
&= [\frac{1}{2}h_{i,a}^{sgn(m_a)}, e_{i,\tau}, e_{i,\sigma}] - [e_{i,\tau}, e_{i,\gamma}] = 0 - 0 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(*ii*) Since $i \neq j$, there are $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\alpha_j(x) = 1,\ \alpha_i(x) = 0,\ \alpha_j(y) = 0,\ \alpha_i(y) = 1.$$

Now using (2.21), the Jacobi identity and Propositions 2.25, 2.14(*iii*),(*ii*), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_{i,\sigma}, h_{j,\tau}] &= -[e_{i,\sigma}, f_j, e_{j,\sigma}] \\
&= [f_j, e_{j,\sigma}, e_{i,\sigma}] \\
&= [f_j, [x^{1,\tau}, \ldots, x^{|\tau|,\tau}, e_j], [y^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, y^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, e_i]] \\
&= [f_j, x^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, x^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, y^{1,\tau}, \ldots, y^{|\tau|,\tau}, e_j, e_i] \\
&= [f_j, y^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, y^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, y^{1,\tau}, \ldots, y^{|\tau|,\tau}, e_j, e_i] \\
&= [y^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, y^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, y^{1,\tau}, \ldots, y^{|\tau|,\tau}, f_j, e_j, e_i] \\
&= -\alpha_i(h_j)[y^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, y^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, y^{1,\tau}, \ldots, y^{|\tau|,\tau}, e_i] = -\alpha_i(h_j)e_{i,\tau+\sigma}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that to get the last equality, we may use (R6), (R4) (Canceling relations). The second assertion is similarly proved.

(*iii*) We first note that by (2.21), the Jacobi identity and part (*i*) of the proposition, we have
(4.1)

$$[e_{i,\tau}, h_{i,\sigma}] = [e_{i,\tau}, [e_{i,\sigma}, f_i]] = [f_i, \overbrace{e_{i,\sigma}, e_{i,\tau}}^{0}] - [e_{i,\sigma}, \varphi_\tau[f_i, e_i]] = [e_{i,\sigma}, h_{i,\tau}].$$

Therefore using Proposition 2.25, we have

$$[h_{i,\tau}, e_{i,a}^{\pm}] = [h_{i,a}^{\pm}, e_{i,\tau}] = 2e_{i,\tau^{\pm a}}.$$

The second claim is similarly proved.

(iv) For $t \in J_{|\sigma|}$, using (R5), one finds that $(\alpha_i(h_j)/2)[h^{\pm}_{i,a}, f_i] = [h^{\pm}_{j,a}, f_i]$ and $(\alpha_i(h_j)/2)[h^{\pm}_{i,a}, e_i] = [h^{\pm}_{j,a}, e_i]$. Also by Proposition 2.25, we get that $[(\frac{-1}{2}h_i)^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, (\frac{-1}{2}h_i)^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, f_i] = f_{i,\sigma}$. Now these together with the Jacobi identity and (R4) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
[h^{\pm}_{j,a}, e_i, f_{i,\sigma}] &= [e_i, h^{\pm}_{j,a}, f_{i,\sigma}] + [[h^{\pm}_{j,a}, e_i], f_{i,\sigma}]\\
&= [e_i, (\frac{-1}{2}h_i)^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, (\frac{-1}{2}h_i)^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, h^{\pm}_{j,a}, f_i] + [[h^{\pm}_{j,a}, e_i], f_{i,\sigma}]\\
&= \frac{\alpha_i(h_j)}{2}([e_i, (\frac{-1}{2}h_i)^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, (\frac{-1}{2}h_i)^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, h^{\pm}_{i,a}, f_i] + [[h^{\pm}_{i,a}, e_i], f_{i,\sigma}])\\
&= \frac{\alpha_i(h_j)}{2}([e_i, h^{\pm}_{i,a}, f_{i,\sigma}] + [[h^{\pm}_{i,a}, e_i], f_{i,\sigma}]) = \frac{\alpha_i(h_j)}{2}[h^{\pm}_{i,a}, e_i, f_{i,\sigma}].
\end{aligned}$$

Now we are done as by (2.21), $[e_i, f_{i,\sigma}] = h_{i,\sigma}$.

(v) Using Proposition 2.25 together with the Jacobi identity and 2.21, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[e^{\pm}_{i,a}, f_{i,\tau}] = [[\frac{1}{2}h^{\pm}_{i,a}, e_i], f_{i,\tau}] &= [\frac{1}{2}h^{\pm}_{i,a}, e_i, f_{i,\tau}] - [e_i, \frac{1}{2}h^{\pm}_{i,a}, f_{i,\tau}]\\
&= [\frac{1}{2}h^{\pm}_{i,a}, h_{i,\tau}] + [e_i, f_{i,\tau^{\pm a}}]\\
&= \frac{1}{2}[h^{\pm}_{i,a}, h_{i,\tau}] + h_{i,\tau^{\pm a}}.
\end{aligned}$$

(vi) We first note that as $\alpha_{n_s} \neq \alpha_i$, there are $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\alpha_{n_s}(y) = 0$, $\alpha_i(y) = 1$, $\alpha_{n_s}(x) = 1$, $\alpha_i(x) = 0$ and so using Proposition 2.14(i), we have $[v^r, y^{i,\sigma}] = 0$, $i \in J_{|\sigma|}$, which together with Proposition 2.25 implies that $[v^r, f_{i,\sigma}] = [y^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, y^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, v^r, f_i]$. Now using this, (2.21), the Jacobi identity, (R2), Propositions 2.14(iii) and 2.25, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
[v^r, h_{i,\sigma}] = [v^r, e_i, f_{i,\sigma}] = [e_i, v^r, f_{i,\sigma}] &= [x^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, x^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, e_i, v^r, f_i]\\
&= -\alpha_{n_s}(h_i)[x^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, x^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, v^r]\\
&= -\alpha_{n_s}(h_i)v^r_{\sigma}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the first assertion. Now one knows that $[v^r, h_{i,\sigma}] = -\alpha_{n_s}(h_i)[x^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, x^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, v^r]$ and $[v^r, h_{i,\tau}] = -\alpha_{n_s}(h_i)[x^{1,\tau}, \ldots, x^{|\tau|,\tau}, v^r]$. Next we note that using (2.21), Propositions 2.25, 2.14(i), the Jacobi identity and (R4), we get that $[h_{i,\tau}, x^{j,\sigma}] = 0$, $j \in J_{|\sigma|}$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
[h_{i,\tau}, h_{i,\sigma}, v^r] &= \alpha_{n_s}(h_i)[x^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, x^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, h_{i,\tau}, v^r]\\
&= (\alpha_{n_s}(h_i))^2[x^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, x^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, x^{1,\tau}, \ldots, x^{|\tau|,\tau}, v^r].
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly $[h_{i,\tau}, h_{i,\sigma}, v^r] = (\alpha_{n_s}(h_i))^2[x^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, x^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, x^{1,\tau}, \ldots, x^{|\tau|,\tau}, v^r]$. Now using (R4), we are done. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Proposition 2.30

(i) It is immediate using Proposition 2.25 together with (R4), (R6).

(ii) We first suppose that $t \neq n_s$, then there exists $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\alpha_{n_s}(x) = 0$ and $\alpha_t(x) = 1$. Recall Convention 2.8, and set $c_j := x^{j,\sigma}$ for $j \in J_{|\sigma|}$. Then using Propositions 2.25 and 2.14(i), we have

$$\begin{aligned}[v^r, (\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_{\pm\alpha_t}] = [v^r, c_1, \ldots, c_{|\sigma|}, \mathcal{G}_{\pm\alpha_t}] &= [c_1, \ldots, c_{|\sigma|}, v^r, \mathcal{G}_{\pm\alpha_t}] \\ &\subseteq [c_1, \ldots, c_{|\sigma|}, (\mathcal{V}^r)_{\pm\alpha_t+\alpha_{n_s}}] \\ &= (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_{\pm\alpha_t+\alpha_{n_s}}.\end{aligned}$$

Next let $t = n_s$, then as α_t is not a simple root, there is $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\alpha_t - \alpha_j = \alpha_i$. Now $(\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_{\alpha_t} = [e_j, (\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_{\alpha_i}]$ and so by (R2), the Jacobi identity and the first part of the proof, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[v^r, (\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_{\alpha_t}] = [v^r, e_j, (\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_{\alpha_i}] = [e_j, v^r, (\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_{\alpha_i}] &\subseteq [e_j, (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_{\alpha_i+\alpha_{n_s}}] \\ &\subseteq (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_{\alpha_t+\alpha_{n_s}}.\end{aligned}$$

Also as $\alpha_t = \alpha_{n_s}$ is a short root, Lemma 1.2 implies that there are $i, j \in J_n$ such that $\alpha_t + \alpha_j = \alpha_i$, so $(\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_{-\alpha_t} = [e_j, (\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_{-\alpha_i}]$. Now as before we have

$$\begin{aligned}[v^r, (\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_{-\alpha_t}] = [v^r, e_j, (\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_{-\alpha_i}] = [e_j, v^r, (\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_{-\alpha_i}] &\subseteq [e_j, (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_{-\alpha_i+\alpha_{n_s}}] \\ &\subseteq (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_{-\alpha_t+\alpha_{n_s}}.\end{aligned}$$

Next using (R2), the Jacobi identity and the first part of the proof, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[v^r, (\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_0] = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell}[v^r, e_i, (\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_{-\alpha_i}] &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell}[e_i, v^r, (\mathcal{G}_\sigma)_{-\alpha_i}] \\ &\subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell}[e_i, (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_{-\alpha_i+\alpha_{n_s}}] \subseteq (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_{\alpha_{n_s}}.\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Using Lemma 1.3(ii), One knows that $(\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_0$ is spanned by $[f_i, v^r_{\sigma,i}]$ for $n_\ell + 1 \leq i \leq \ell$. So it is enough to show that there is a basis $\{x_j \in \mathcal{H} \mid j \in J_\ell\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $[(x_j)^{\pm}_a, f_i, v^r_{\sigma,i}] = 0$ for all $j \in J_\ell$ and $a \in J_\nu$. Fix $n_\ell + 1 \leq i \leq \ell$. By Lemma 1.2$(i)$, $\alpha_i = \beta + \gamma$ where β is a short root and γ is a long root. Take $t, t' \in J_n$ be such that $\beta = \pm\alpha_t$ and $\gamma = \pm\alpha_{t'}$ and set

$$e := \begin{cases} f_{t'} & \text{if } \gamma = \alpha_{t'} \\ e_{t'} & \text{if } \gamma = -\alpha_{t'}, \end{cases} \quad f := \begin{cases} f_t & \text{if } \beta = \alpha_t \\ e_t & \text{if } \beta = -\alpha_t, \end{cases} \quad v := \begin{cases} v^r_t & \text{if } \beta = \alpha_t \\ v^r_{-t} & \text{if } \beta = -\alpha_t, \end{cases}$$

then $e \in \mathcal{G}_{-\gamma}, f \in \mathcal{G}_{-\beta}$, $[e, f] \in \mathcal{G}_{-\alpha_i}$ and $v \in (\mathcal{V}^r)_\beta$ and so we have

$$[e, v^r_{\sigma,i}] \in \mathbb{C}\psi^r_\sigma(v) \quad \text{and} \quad [f, v^r_{\sigma,i}] = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Consider a basis $\{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1\}$ for $\ker(\alpha_i)$. Also note that since $\alpha_{t'} \neq \alpha_i$, there is $x_\ell \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\alpha_t(x_\ell) = 0$ and $\alpha_i(x_\ell) \neq 0$. Therefore $\{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{H}$. Now we note that using Proposition 2.25, $v^r_{\sigma,i} \in [\underbrace{H, \ldots, H}_{|\sigma|-times}, v^r_i]$ and $\psi^r_\sigma(v) \in [\underbrace{H, \ldots, H}_{|\sigma|-times}, v]$. Now using the Jacobi identity together with Proposition 2.14(i), (R4) and (4.2), we have for $1 \leq j' \leq \ell - 1$,

$$[(x_{j'})^{\pm}_a, f_i, v^r_{\sigma,i}] \in \mathbb{C}[f_i, (x_{j'})^{\pm}_a, \underbrace{H, \ldots, H}_{|\sigma|-times}, v^r_i] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[f_i, \underbrace{H, \ldots, H}_{|\sigma|-times}, (x_{j'})^{\pm}_a, v^r_i] = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [(x_\ell)^{\pm}_a, f_i, v^r_{\sigma,i}] \in \mathbb{C}[(x_\ell)^{\pm}_a, [e,f], v^r_{\sigma,i}] &\subseteq \mathbb{C}[(x_\ell)^{\pm}_a, [f, \psi^r_\sigma(v)]] \\ &\subseteq \mathbb{C}([f, (x_\ell)^{\pm}_a, \underbrace{H, \ldots, H}_{|\sigma|-times}, v] \\ &\subseteq \mathbb{C}([f, \underbrace{H, \ldots, H}_{|\sigma|-times}, (x_\ell)^{\pm}_a, v] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

These all together complete the proof of this part.

(iv) It follows from part (iii) of the proposition together with Proposition 2.25, (R4) and (R7).

(v) Using Remark 1.2(i), one finds $t, t' \in J_n$ such that $\alpha_{t'}$ is a short root, α_t is a long root and $\alpha_{n_s} = \pm\alpha_{t'} + \alpha_t$. We note that v^r_σ is a weight vector of weight α_{n_s} and so $v^r_\sigma \in \mathbb{C}[e_t, v^r_{\sigma,\pm t'}]$. Now using (R2), we have

$$[v^s, v^r_\sigma] \in \mathbb{C}[v^s, [e_t, v^r_{\sigma,\pm t'}]] = [[e_t, v^s], \mathcal{V}^r_{\sigma,\pm t'}] + [e_t, v^s, v^r_{\sigma,\pm t'}] = [e_t, v^s, v^r_{\sigma,\pm t'}].$$

Since $t' \neq n_s$, there is $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\alpha_{t'}(h) = 1$ and $\alpha_{n_s}(h) = 0$. For $i \in J_{|\sigma|}$ set $c_i := h^{i,\sigma}$ (Convention 2.8). Now using Proposition 2.25, we have $\mathbb{C}v^r_{\sigma,\pm t'} = \mathbb{C}[c_1, \ldots, c_{|\sigma|}, v^r_{\pm t'}]$ and so by Proposition 2.14(i),

$$[v^s, v^r_{\sigma,\pm t'}] \in \mathbb{C}[v^s, c_1, \ldots, c_{|\sigma|}, v^r_{\pm t'}] = \mathbb{C}[c_1, \ldots, c_{|\sigma|}, v^s, v^r_{\pm t'}].$$

Now as $t' \neq n_s$ and $2\alpha_{n_s}$ is not a root, we are done using (R9) together with Proposition 2.25.

(vi) Suppose that α is a short root not equal to $\pm\alpha_{n_s}$, then there is $n_\ell + 1 \leq t \leq n_s - 1$ such that $\alpha = \pm\alpha_t$. Since $\alpha \neq \alpha_{n_s}$, there is $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\alpha(h) = 1$ and $\alpha_{n_s}(h) = 0$. Now using Proposition 2.25, one gets that $(\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_{\pm\alpha_t} = \mathbb{C}[h^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, h^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, v^r_{\pm t}]$ and so Proposition 2.14(iii) implies that

$$[v^s, (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_{\pm\alpha_t}] = \mathbb{C}[v^s, h^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, h^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, v^r_{\pm t}] = \mathbb{C}[h^{1,\sigma}, \ldots, h^{|\sigma|,\sigma}, v^s, v^r_{\pm t}].$$

Now we are done using (R9) together with Proposition 2.25. Next suppose $\alpha = 0$. Using Lemma 1.3(ii), $(\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_0$ is spanned by $[e_i, v^r_{\sigma,-i}]$, $n_\ell + 1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Fix $n_\ell + 1 \leq i \leq \ell$, then we have

$$[v^s, [e_i, v^r_{\sigma,-i}]] = [e_i, [v^s, v^r_{\sigma,-i}]].$$

This together with the previous step completes the proof.

(vii) We first consider type F_4. Take $i, j, t', p, q \in J_n$ to be such that

$$\begin{gathered} \alpha_i = \tfrac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4),\ \alpha_j = \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3,\ \alpha_{t'} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4), \\ \alpha_p = \tfrac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4),\ \alpha_q = \tfrac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4). \end{gathered}$$

We recall b, b' as in (2.27), then one observes that there are nonzero scalars $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, y, z \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\frac{-za'}{a_2} + \frac{ya}{a_3a_1} \neq 0$ and

(4.3)
$$\begin{array}{c}[[f_j, f_i], v_p] = a_1[f_4, v_4],\ [v_{n_s}, f_{n_s}] = a_2[v_3, f_3],\ v_{n_s} = a_3[e_{t'}, v_p],\\ [f_{t'}, f_j, f_i, b'[f_3, v_3] + b[f_4, v_4]] = yv_{-n_s},\ [f_{n_s}, b'[f_3, v_3] + b[f_4, v_4]] = zv_{-n_s}.\end{array}$$

Now we recall that $\mathcal{V}^s$ and $\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma$ are $\mathcal{G}-$modules whose weights are short roots and note that $\alpha_p - \alpha_i, \alpha_{t'} + \alpha_q$ are not short roots and $\alpha_i + \alpha_q = \varepsilon_1 = \alpha_{n_s}$. So the Jacobi identity together with part (vi) of the proposition implies that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X := y[e_{t'}, v^s_p, v^r_{\sigma,-n_s}] & \in & \mathbb{C}[e_{t'}, v^s_p, f_i, v^r_{\sigma,-q}]\\ & = & \mathbb{C}([e_{t'}, \underbrace{[v^s_p, f_i]}_{0}, v^r_{\sigma,-q}] + [e_{t'}, f_i, v^s_p, v^r_{\sigma,-q}])\\ & = & \mathbb{C}[e_{t'}, f_i, [f_{t'}, v^s], v^r_{\sigma,-q}]\\ & \subseteq & \mathbb{C}[e_{t'}, f_i, f_{t'}, v^s, v^r_{\sigma,-q}] + \mathbb{C}[e_{t'}, f_i, v^s, \underbrace{f_{t'}, v^r_{\sigma,-q}}_{0}]\\ & \subseteq & [e_{t'}, f_i, f_{t'}, \sum_{\tau\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\mathcal{G}_\tau)_{\alpha_i} + \sum_{1\leq p\leq m}\sum_{\tau\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\mathcal{V}^p_\tau)_{\alpha_i}]\\ & \subseteq & \sum_{\tau\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\mathcal{G}_\tau)_0 + \sum_{1\leq k\leq m}\sum_{\tau\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\mathcal{V}^k_\tau)_0.\end{array} \tag{4.4}$$

Next we note that $\alpha_j + \alpha_i = \alpha_p = \alpha_{n_s} - \alpha_{t'}$, so the Jacobi identity, Proposition 2.15 and part (vi) of the proposition imply that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [[f_j, f_i], v^s_p, (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_0] & \subseteq & \mathbb{C}[f_p, v^s_p, (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_0]\\ & \subseteq & \mathbb{C}[f_p, [f_{t'}, v^s], (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_0]\\ & = & \mathbb{C}([f_p, f_{t'}, v^s, (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_0] - [f_p, v^s, f_{t'}, (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_0])\\ & = & \mathbb{C}([f_p, f_{t'}, v^s, (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_0] - [f_p, v^s, (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_{-\alpha_{t'}}])\\ & \subseteq & \sum_{\tau\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\mathcal{G}_\tau)_0 + \sum_{1\leq k\leq m}\sum_{\tau\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\mathcal{V}^k_\tau)_0.\end{array} \tag{4.5}$$

Now set $v_{0,1} := [f_3, v^r_{\sigma,3}], v_{0,2} := [f_4, v^r_{\sigma,4}]$, we mention that as ψ^s and ψ^r_σ are $\mathcal{G}-$module homomorphisms, (4.3) implies that

$$[[f_j, f_i], v^s_p] = a_1[f_4, v^s_4],\ v^s_{n_s} = a_3[e_{t'}, v^s_p],\ [f_{t'}, f_j, f_i, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}] = yv^r_{-\alpha_{n_s}}.$$

Next we note that $b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2} \in (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_0$, so Therefore $[f_j, f_i, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}] \in (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_{-\alpha_i-\alpha_j}$ and so $[h_{t'}, f_j, f_i, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}] = [f_j, f_i, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}]$. Also as α_j and $\alpha_i + \alpha_j - \alpha_{t'}$ are not short roots, $[e_{t'}, f_j, f_i, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}] = 0$ and $[f_j, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}] = 0$. These all together with (4.4) and (4.5) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
[v^s, v^r_{\sigma,-n_s}] &= \frac{a_3}{y}[[e_{t'}, v^s_p], f_{t'}, f_j, f_i, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}]\\
&= \frac{a_3}{y}([e_{t'}, v^s_p, f_{t'}, f_j, f_i, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}] - [v^s_p, e_{t'}, f_{t'}, f_j, f_i, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}])\\
&= \frac{a_3}{y}(X - [v^s_p, h_{t'}, f_j, f_i, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}] - [v^s_p, f_{t'}, e_{t'}, f_j, f_i, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}])\\
&= \frac{a_3}{y}(X - [v^s_p, f_j, f_i, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}])\\
&= \frac{a_3}{y}(X - [v^s_p, [f_j, f_i], b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}] - [v^s_p, f_i, f_j, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}])\\
&= \frac{a_3}{y}(X - [[v^s_p, [f_j, f_i]], b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}] - [[f_j, f_i], v^s_p, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}])\\
&\in \frac{a_3}{y}(X + a_1[[f_4, v^s_4], b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}] - [[f_j, f_i], v^s_p, (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_0]\\
&\equiv \frac{a_1 a_3}{y}[[f_4, v^s_2], b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}] \pmod{\sum_{\tau\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\mathcal{G}_\tau)_0 + \sum_{1\le k\le m}\sum_{\tau\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\mathcal{V}^k_\tau)_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand using part (vi) of the Proposition, we have $[f_{n_s}, v^s, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}] \in \sum_{\tau\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\mathcal{G}_\tau)_0 + \sum_{1\le k\le m}\sum_{\tau\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\mathcal{V}^k_\tau)_0$, so the Jacobi identity implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
[v^s, v^r_{\sigma,-n_s}] &= \frac{1}{z}[v^s, f_{n_s}, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}]\\
&= \frac{1}{z}([[v^s, f_{n_s}], b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}] + [f_{n_s}, v^s, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}])\\
&= \frac{a_2}{z}([[v^s_3, f_3], b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}] + [f_{n_s}, v^s, b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}])\\
&\equiv \frac{a_2}{z}[[v^s_3, f_3], b'v_{0,1} + bv_{0,2}] \pmod{\sum_{\tau\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\mathcal{G}_\tau)_0 + \sum_{1\le k\le m}\sum_{\tau\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\mathcal{V}^k_\tau)_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have the following congruences modulo $\displaystyle\sum_{\tau\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\mathcal{G}_\tau)_0 + \sum_{1\le k\le m}\sum_{\tau\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}(\mathcal{V}^k_\tau)_0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{-za'}{a_2} + \frac{ya}{a_3 a_1}\right)[v^s, v^r_{\sigma,-\alpha_{n_s}}] &\equiv \frac{-za'}{a_2}[v^s, v^r_{\sigma,-\alpha_{n_s}}] + \frac{ya}{a_3 a_1}[v^s, v^r_{\sigma,-\alpha_{n_s}}]\\
&\equiv [a'[f_3, v^s_1] + a[f_4, v^s_2], b'[f_3, v^r_{\sigma,3}] + b[f_4, v^r_{\sigma,4}]] \in \mathcal{D}.
\end{aligned}$$

For types other than F_4, we first note that $\mathbb{C}[f_\ell, v^s_\ell] = \mathbb{C}[f_{n_s}, v^s]$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[v^s, v^r_{\sigma,-n_s}] \in [v^s, [f_{n_s}, (\mathcal{V}^r_\sigma)_0]] &= \mathbb{C}[v^s, [f_{n_s}, [f_\ell, v^r_{\ell,\sigma}]]]\\
&\subseteq \mathbb{C}[[v^s, f_{n_s}], [f_\ell, v^r_{\ell,\sigma}]] + \mathbb{C}[f, v^s, [f_\ell, v^r_{\ell,\sigma}]]\\
&\subseteq \mathbb{C}[[f_\ell, v^s_\ell], [f_\ell, v^r_{\ell,\sigma}]] + \mathbb{C}[f_{n_s}, v^s, [f_\ell, v^r_{\ell,\sigma}]].
\end{aligned}$$

Now we are done using part (vi) of the proposition.

$(viii)$,(ix) Use the same argument as in [You, Lemma 2.5].

(x) We fix $p, q \in J_m$ and $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ and show that

$$[e_i, D^{p,q}_{\gamma,\delta}] = [f_i, D^{p,q}_{\gamma,\delta}] = 0;\ 1 \leq i \leq \ell.$$

We know that $\mathcal{V}^p_\gamma$, $\mathcal{V}^q_\delta$ are $\mathcal{G}-$modules, so for $n_\ell + 1 \leq j \leq \ell$, $[f_j, v^p_{\gamma,j}] \in (\mathcal{V}^p_\gamma)_0$ and $[f_j, v^q_{\delta,j}] \in (\mathcal{V}^q_\delta)_0$, therefore $[e_i, f_j, v^p_{\gamma,j}] = 0$ and $[e_i, f_j, v^q_{\delta,j}] = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n_\ell$ which together with the Jacobi identity implies that

$$[e_i, D^{p,q}_{\gamma,\delta}] = [f_i, D^{p,q}_{\gamma,\delta}] = 0;\ 1 \leq i \leq n_\ell.$$

Therefore it remains to prove that

$$[e_i, D^{p,q}_{\gamma,\delta}] = [f_i, D^{p,q}_{\gamma,\delta}] = 0;\ n_\ell + 1 \leq i \leq \ell.$$

We first consider type F_4. In this case the only simple short roots appearing in our fixed basis are α_3, α_4. Therefore it is enough to show that $[e_i, D^{p,q}_{\gamma,\delta}] = 0$ for $i = 3, 4$. Using the Jacobi identity, the Claim and appealing (2.27), we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
[e_3, D^{p,q}_{\gamma,\delta}] & = & [e_3, [a'[f_3, v^p_{\gamma,3}] + a[f_4, v^p_{\gamma,4}], b'[f_3, v^q_{\delta,3}] + b[f_4, v^q_{\delta,4}]]] \\
& = & (a''_3 a' + b''_3 a)[v^p_{\gamma,3}, b'[f_3, v^q_{\delta,3}] + b[f_4, v^q_{\delta,4}]] \\
& - & (a''_3 b' + b''_3 b)[v^q_{\gamma,3}, a'[f_3, v^p_{\delta,3}] + a[f_4, v^p_{\delta,4}]] \\
& = & (a''_3 a' + b''_3 a)b[v^p_{\gamma,3}, [f_4, v^q_{\delta,4}]] - (a''_3 b' + b''_3 b)a[v^q_{\gamma,3}, [f_4, v^p_{\delta,4}]] \\
& = & 0.
\end{array}$$

Also

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
[e_4, D^{p,q}_{\gamma,\delta}] & = & [e_4, [a'[f_3, v^p_{\gamma,3}] + a[f_4, v^p_{\gamma,4}], b'[f_3, v^q_{\delta,3}] + b[f_4, v^q_{\delta,4}]]] \\
& = & (a''_4 a' + b''_4 a)[v^p_{\gamma,4}, b'[f_3, v^q_{\delta,3}] + b[f_4, v^q_{\delta,4}]] \\
& - & (a''_4 b' + b''_4 b)[v^q_{\gamma,4}, a'[f_3, v^p_{\delta,3}] + a[f_4, v^p_{\delta,4}]] \\
& = & (a''_4 a' + b''_4 a)b'[v^q_{\gamma,4}, [f_3, v^q_{\delta,3}]] - (a''_4 b' + b''_4 b)a'[v^q_{\gamma,4}, [f_3, v^p_{\delta,3}]]] \\
& = & 0.
\end{array}$$

Using (R9) together with (1.20), we get that

$$[v^p, v^q_{\pm j}] = [v^q, v^p_{\pm j}];\ n_{\ell+1} \leq j \leq n_s. \tag{4.6}$$

We note that in the cases under consideration, $(\mathcal{V}^q_\gamma)_0$ is a one dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{V}^q_\gamma$. Let $n_\ell + 1 \leq i \leq \ell$, then there is $x \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$x[f_i, v^q_{\gamma,i}] = [f_\ell, v^q_{\gamma,\ell}] \quad \text{and} \quad x[f_i, v^p_{\delta,i}] = [f_\ell, v^p_{\delta,\ell}].$$

Now using Propositions 2.25, 2.14(*iii*) and (4.6), we have $[f_{n_s}, v^p_\delta, v^q_{\gamma,i}] = [f_{n_s}, v^q_\gamma, v^p_{\delta,i}]$. This together with the Jacobi identity implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_i,[f_\ell,v^p_{\delta,\ell}],[f_\ell,v^q_{\gamma,\ell}]] &= [[f_{n_s},v^p_\delta],[e_i,[f_{n_s},v^q_\gamma]]] - [[f_{n_s},v^q_\gamma],[e_i,[f_{n_s},v^p_\delta]]]\\
&= x[[f_{n_s},v^p_\delta],[e_i,[f_i,v^q_{\gamma,i}]] - x[[f_{n_s},v^q_\gamma],[e_i,[f_i,v^p_{\delta,i}]]\\
&= x[[f_{n_s},v^p_\delta],[[e_i,f_i],v^q_{\gamma,i}]] - x[[f_{n_s},v^q_\gamma],[[e_i,f_i],v^p_{\delta,i}]] \qquad (4.7)\\
&= 2x[[f_{n_s},v^p_\delta],v^q_{\gamma,i}]-2x[[f_{n_s},v^q_\gamma],v^p_{\delta,i}]\\
&= 2x([f_{n_s},v^p_\delta,v^q_{\gamma,i}]-[v^p_\delta,f_{n_s},v^q_{\gamma,i}]-[f_{n_s},v^q_\gamma,v^p_{\delta,i}]+[v^q_\gamma,f_{n_s},v^p_{\delta,i}])\\
&= 2x(-[v^p_\delta,f_{n_s},v^q_{\gamma,i}]+[v^q_\gamma,f_{n_s},v^p_{\delta,i}]).
\end{aligned}$$

Now if $\alpha_\ell - \alpha_i$ is not a short root then $[f_{n_s}, v^p_{\delta,i}] = [f_{n_s}, v^q_{\gamma,i}] = 0$ and so we are done, otherwise there are $n_\ell + 1 \le k \le n_s$ and $y \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$[f_{n_s}, v^p_{\delta,i}] = y v^p_{\delta,\pm k} \quad \text{and} \quad [f_{n_s}, v^q_{\gamma,i}] = y v^q_{\gamma,\pm k}.$$

This together with (4.7), Propositions 2.25, 2.14(*iii*) and (4.6) implies that

$$[e_i, D^{p,q}_{\gamma,\delta}] \in \mathbb{C}[e_i,[f_\ell,v^p_{\delta,\ell}],[f_\ell,v^q_{\gamma,\ell}]] = \mathbb{C}(-[v^p_\delta, v^q_{\gamma,\pm k}] + [v^q_\gamma, v^p_{\delta,\pm k}]) = 0.$$

Using the same argument as above, one can show that

$$[f_i, D^{p,q}_{\gamma,\delta}] = 0.$$

(*xi*) Let $i \in J_\ell$ and $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ and fix $j \in J_\ell$, $r \in J_m$ and $a \in J_\nu$. We need to prove

$$[e_j,[h_{i,\gamma},h_{i,\delta}]] = [f_j,[h_{i,\gamma},h_{i,\delta}]] = [h^\pm_{j,a},[h_{i,\gamma},h_{i,\delta}]] = [v^p,[h_{i,\gamma},h_{i,\delta}]] = 0.$$

Using the Jacobi identity together with Proposition 2.29(*ii*), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_j,[h_{i,\gamma},h_{i,\delta}]] = [h_{i,\delta},h_{i,\gamma},e_j] - [h_{i,\gamma},h_{i,\delta},e_j] &= \alpha_j(h_i)([h_{i,\delta},e_{j,\gamma}] - [h_{i,\gamma},e_{j,\delta}])\\
&= (\alpha_j(h_i))^2(e_{j,\gamma+\delta} - e_{j,\gamma+\delta}) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof for the second term in the statement is similar and the last assertion in the statement is immediate using Proposition 2.29(*vi*). Now it remains to prove $[h^\pm_{j,a},[h_{i,\gamma},h_{i,\delta}]] = 0$, for this we first prove $[h^\pm_{i,a}, h^\pm_{i,b}, h_{i,\delta}] = 0$ for all $b \in J_\nu$. Fix $b \in J_\nu$ and use Proposition 2.29(*v*),(*iii*) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
[f^\pm_{i,a},h^\pm_{i,b},h_{i,\delta}] &= 2[f^\pm_{i,a},[e^\pm_{i,b},f_{i,\delta}] - h_{i,\delta+\gamma^\pm_b}]\\
&= 2[f^\pm_{i,a},[e^\pm_{i,b},f_{i,\delta}]] - 4f_{i,\delta+\gamma^\pm_a+\gamma^\pm_b}\\
&= 2[f_{i,\delta},e^\pm_{i,b},f^\pm_{i,a}] - 2[e^\pm_{i,b},f_{i,\delta},f^\pm_{i,a}] - 4f_{i,\delta+\gamma^\pm_b+\gamma^\pm_a}\\
&= 2[f_{i,\delta},e^\pm_{i,b},f^\pm_{i,a}] - 4f_{i,\delta+\gamma^\pm_b+\gamma^\pm_a}.
\end{aligned}$$

But again using Proposition 2.29(v),(iii), we obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 2[f_{i\delta},[e^{\pm}_{i,b},f^{\pm}_{i,a}]] &=& 2[f_{i\delta},[e^{\pm}_{i,b},f_{i,\gamma^{\pm}_a}]] \\ &=& [f_{i,\delta},[h^{\pm}_{i,b},h^{\pm}_{i,a}]]+2[f_{i,\delta},h_{i,\gamma^{\pm}_a+\gamma^{\pm}_b}] \\ &=& [h^{\pm}_{i,a},h^{\pm}_{i,b},f_{i,\delta}]-[h^{\pm}_{i,b},h^{\pm}_{i,a},f_{i,\delta}]+4f_{i,\gamma^{\pm}_b+\gamma^{\pm}_b+\delta} \\ &=& -2[h^{\pm}_{i,a},f_{i,\delta+\gamma^{\pm}_b}]+2[h^{\pm}_{i,b},f_{i,\delta+\gamma^{\pm}_a}]+4f_{i,\gamma^{\pm}_b+\gamma^{\pm}_j+\delta} \\ &=& 4f_{i,\delta+\gamma^{\pm}_a+\gamma^{\pm}_b}-4f_{i,\delta+\gamma^{\pm}_a+\gamma^{\pm}_b}+4f_{i,\gamma^{\pm}_b+\gamma^{\pm}_a+\delta} \\ &=& 4f_{i,\gamma^{\pm}_b+\gamma^{\pm}_a+\delta}. \end{array}$$

Therefore

$$[f^{\pm}_{i,a},h^{\pm}_{i,b},h_{i,\delta}]=0$$

and so by (2.21), the Jacobi identity and the first part of the proof, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [h^{\pm}_{i,a},h^{\pm}_{i,b},h_{i,\delta}] &=& [[e_i,f^{\pm}_{i,a}],h^{\pm}_{i,b},h_{i,\delta}] \\ &=& [e_i,f^{\pm}_{i,a},h^{\pm}_{i,b},h_{i,\delta}]-[f^{\pm}_{i,a},e_i,h^{\pm}_{i,b},h_{i,\delta}] \\ &=& 0-0=0. \end{array}$$

Now using Proposition 2.29(iv), our obtained information and the fact that (2.1) is a generating set for the Lie algebra, one can conclude that $[h^{\pm}_{j,b},h_{i,\delta}]\in Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ for all $b\in J_\nu$. Similarly one can get $[h^{\pm}_{j,b},h_{i,\gamma}]\in Z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$. Therefore the Jacobi identity implies that

$$[h^{\pm}_{j,a},[h_{i,\gamma},h_{i,\delta}]]=[h_{i,\gamma},[h^{\pm}_{j,a},h_{i,\delta}]]-[h_{i,\delta},[h^{\pm}_{j,a},h_{i,\gamma}]]=0.$$

This completes the proof. □

5. Appendix

5.1. **The exceptional simple Lie algebra of type G_2.** Suppose that $\mathfrak{C}$ is the 8-dimensional (non-associative) Octonion algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. It is a celebrated theorem that all the derivations of $\mathfrak{C}$ are inner and $\mathfrak{g}:=\mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{C})$ is the 14-dimensional simple Lie algebra of type G_2. Each element of $\mathfrak{C}$ can be represented in a matrix form as $\begin{pmatrix} a & v \\ w & b \end{pmatrix}$ where $a,b\in\mathbb{C}$ and $v,w\in\mathbb{C}^3$. The add and the scalar product on $\mathfrak{C}$ is as usual for matrices and the product on $\mathfrak{C}$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & v \\ w & b \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a' & v' \\ w' & b' \end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} aa'-v\cdot w' & av'+b'v+w\times w' \\ a'w+bw'+v\times v' & bb'-w\cdot v' \end{pmatrix}$$

where " $\cdot$ " and " $\times$ " are respectively the usual inner product and cross product on $\mathbb{C}^3$. Take $\{e_i \mid 1\le i\le 3\}$ to be the standard basis for $\mathbb{C}^3$ and fix the basis $\{c_1,\ldots,c_8\}$ for $\mathfrak{C}$ as follows:

$$c_1:=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, c_2:=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, c_{2+i}:=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, c_{5+i}:=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ e_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

For $i = 1, 2, 3$. The normalized trace on $\mathfrak{C}$ is

$$t : \mathfrak{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C};\quad \begin{pmatrix} a & v \\ w & b \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (1/2)(a+b).$$

Set

$$h_1 := D_{c_3,c_6} \quad \text{and} \quad h_2 := D_{c_4,c_7};\ \mathfrak{h} := \mathbb{C}h_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}h_2$$

and define $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathfrak{h}^\star$ by

$$\begin{array}{rl} \alpha_1 : & h_1 \mapsto 2,\ h_2 \mapsto -3 \\ \alpha_2 : & h_1 \mapsto -1,\ h_2 \mapsto 3. \end{array}$$

One knows that $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and that $\mathfrak{g}$ has a weight space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \oplus_{\alpha \in R}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$ where

$$R := \{0, \pm\alpha_1, \pm\alpha_2, \pm(\alpha_1+\alpha_2), \pm(2\alpha_1+\alpha_2), \pm(3\alpha_1+\alpha_2), \pm(3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2)\}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{lll} \boxed{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1} = \mathbb{C}D_{c_5,c_4},} & \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_1} = \mathbb{C}D_{c_1,c_3}, & \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_2} = \mathbb{C}D_{c_3,c_7}, \\ \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_2} = \mathbb{C}D_{c_4,c_6}, & \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = \mathbb{C}D_{c_1,c_7}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} = \mathbb{C}D_{c_1,c_4} \\ \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = \mathbb{C}D_{c_1,c_5}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(2\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} = \mathbb{C}D_{c_1,c_8}, & \mathfrak{g}_{3\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = \mathbb{C}D_{c_5,c_6}, \\ \mathfrak{g}_{-(3\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} = \mathbb{C}D_{c_1,c_3}, & \mathfrak{g}_{3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2} = \mathbb{C}D_{c_5,c_7}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2)} = \mathbb{C}D_{c_4,c_8}. \end{array}$$

The subspace $\mathfrak{C}_0$ of $\mathfrak{C}$ consisting of all elements of trace 0, is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}-$module whose highest weight is a short root. Indeed setting $\mathcal{V} := \mathfrak{C}_0$, we have the weight space decomposition $\mathcal{V} = \oplus_{\alpha \in R_{sh}\cup\{0\}}\mathcal{V}_\alpha$ with $\mathcal{V}_0 = c_1 - c_2$ and

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha_1} = \mathbb{C}c_6, & \mathcal{V}_{-\alpha_1} = \mathbb{C}c_3, & \mathcal{V}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = \mathbb{C}c_7, \\ \mathcal{V}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} = \mathbb{C}c_4, & \mathcal{V}_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = \mathbb{C}c_5, & \mathcal{V}_{-(2\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} = \mathbb{C}c_8. \end{array}$$

Now we would like to state some facts which we will use for our presentation. We note that c_5 is a maximal vector of weight $2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ and c_8 is a weight vector of weight $-(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$. We also have

$$\begin{array}{c} t(c_5, c_t) = 0 \text{ for } 3 \le t \le 7 \text{ with } t \neq 5 \quad \text{and} \\ c_5 * c_3 = c_7,\quad \boxed{c_5 * c_4 = -c_6,}\quad c_5 * c_6 = 0,\quad c_5 * c_7 = 0. \end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

5.2. **The exceptional simple Lie algebra of type F_4.** Take $\mathfrak{C}_{3\times3}$ to be the algebra of all matrices with entries in the Octonion algebra $\mathfrak{C}$. Consider the conjugate transpose involution $x \mapsto \bar{x}^t,\ x \in \mathfrak{C}_{3\times3}$ on $\mathfrak{C}_{3\times3}$ where $\bar{x}$ is induced from the usual involution on $\mathfrak{C}$ and take $\mathfrak{J}$ to be the self-adjoint elements of $\mathfrak{C}_{3\times3}$ with respect to the involution. Then $\mathfrak{J}$ is an exceptional simple Jordan algebra under the multiplication $x \cdot y := (1/2)(xy + yx)$ where the product by juxtaposition is the multiplication in $\mathfrak{C}_{3\times3}$. It is well known fact that all derivations of $\mathfrak{J}$ are inner and $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{J})$ is a simple Lie algebra of type F_4. Each element of $\mathfrak{J}$ is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 & c & b \\ \bar{c} & \zeta_2 & a \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} & \zeta_3 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3 \in$

$\mathbb{C}$ and $a, b, c \in \mathfrak{C}$. Such an element can be denoted as $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, a, b, c)$. One can see that $(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$ is the unit of the algebra $\mathfrak{J}$. The map

$$t : \mathfrak{J} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C};\ (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, a, b, c) \mapsto (1/3)(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \zeta_3). \tag{5.2}$$

is a normalized trace form. We fix a basis for $\mathfrak{J}$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathfrak{j}_1 := (1, 0, 0, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}), & \mathfrak{j}_2 := (0, 1, 0, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}), \\ \mathfrak{j}_3 := (0, 0, 1, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}), & \mathfrak{j}_{3+i} := (0, 0, 0, c_i, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}), \\ \mathfrak{j}_{11+i} := (0, 0, 0, \mathbf{0}, c_i, \mathbf{0}), & \mathfrak{j}_{19+i} := (0, 0, 0, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, c_i);\ 1 \leq i \leq 8. \end{array} \tag{5.3}$$

Set
(5.4)

$$\dot{h}_1 := D_{\mathfrak{j}_4, \mathfrak{j}_5},\ \dot{h}_2 := D_{\mathfrak{j}_6, \mathfrak{j}_9},\ \dot{h}_3 := D_{\mathfrak{j}_7, \mathfrak{j}_10},\ \dot{h}_4 := D_{\mathfrak{j}_8, \mathfrak{j}_{11}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{h} := \sum_{i=1}^{4} \mathbb{C}\dot{h}_i,$$

then $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{J}$. Next define $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in \mathfrak{h}^\star$ by

$$\begin{array}{ll} \alpha_1 : & \dot{h}_1 \mapsto 0, \dot{h}_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{2}, \dot{h}_3 \mapsto \frac{-1}{2}, \dot{h}_4 \mapsto 0, \\ \alpha_2 : & \dot{h}_1 \mapsto 0, \dot{h}_2 \mapsto 0, \dot{h}_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{2}, \dot{h}_4 \mapsto \frac{-1}{2}, \\ \alpha_3 : & \dot{h}_1 \mapsto 0, \dot{h}_2 \mapsto 0, \dot{h}_3 \mapsto 0, \dot{h}_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{2}, \\ \alpha_4 : & \dot{h}_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{4}, \dot{h}_2 \mapsto \frac{-1}{4}, \dot{h}_3 \mapsto \frac{-1}{4}, \dot{h}_4 \mapsto \frac{-1}{4}. \end{array} \tag{5.5}$$

We have the weight space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \oplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$ where $R = \{0\} \cup R_{sh} \cup R_{lg}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{sh} = \pm\{ & \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \\ & \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \\ & \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4\}, \\ R_{lg} = \pm\{ & 0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3, \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3, \\ & \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4, \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4, \\ & \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4, \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4, 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_6,\mathfrak{j}_{10}}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_1} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_7,\mathfrak{j}_9}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_2} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_7,\mathfrak{j}_{11}}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_2} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_8,\mathfrak{j}_{10}}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_3} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_8}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_3} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_{11}}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_2,\mathfrak{j}_{21}}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_2,\mathfrak{j}_{20}}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_6,\mathfrak{j}_{11}}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_8,\mathfrak{j}_9}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_7}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_2-\alpha_3} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_{10}}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_7,\mathfrak{j}_8}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_{10},\mathfrak{j}_{11}}, \\
\boxed{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_3+\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_4,\mathfrak{j}_{24}},} & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_{19}}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_6}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_2}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_6,\mathfrak{j}_8}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_9,\mathfrak{j}_{11}}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_6,\mathfrak{j}_7}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_9,\mathfrak{j}_{10}}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_{15}}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_{18}}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_2,\mathfrak{j}_{25}}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_2,\mathfrak{j}_{22}}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+2\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_4,\mathfrak{j}_9}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+2\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_5,\mathfrak{j}_6}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_{14}}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_{17}}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_2,\mathfrak{j}_{26}}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_2,\mathfrak{j}_{23}}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+2\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_4,\mathfrak{j}_{10}}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+2\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_5,\mathfrak{j}_7}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_2,\mathfrak{j}_{27}}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_2,\mathfrak{j}_{24}}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+2\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_4,\mathfrak{j}_{11}}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+2\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_5,\mathfrak{j}_8}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_{13}}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_{12}}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+2\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_4}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+2\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_5}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_4,\mathfrak{j}_8}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_5,\mathfrak{j}_{11}}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_4,\mathfrak{j}_7}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_5,\mathfrak{j}_{10}}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_4,\mathfrak{j}_6}, & \mathfrak{g}_{-(2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}D_{\mathfrak{j}_5,\mathfrak{j}_9}
\end{array}$$

The subspace $\mathcal{V} := \mathfrak{J}_0$ of trace zero elements of $\mathfrak{J}$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module whose highest weight is a short root, with the weight space decomposition, with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$, $\mathcal{V} = \oplus_{\alpha \in R_{sh} \cup \{0\}} \mathcal{V}_\alpha$, where $\mathcal{V}_0 := \mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{j}_1 - \mathfrak{j}_2) \oplus \mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{j}_2 - \mathfrak{j}_3)$ and

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_3} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_8 & \mathcal{V}_{-\alpha_3} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{11} \\
\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{21} & \mathcal{V}_{-\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{20} \\
\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_7 & \mathcal{V}_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{10} \\
\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_3+\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{16} & \mathcal{V}_{-(\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{19} \\
\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_6 & \mathcal{V}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_9 \\
\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{15} & \mathcal{V}_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{18} \\
\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{25} & \mathcal{V}_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{22} \\
\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{14} & \mathcal{V}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{17} \\
\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{26} & \mathcal{V}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{23} \\
\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{27} & \mathcal{V}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{24} \\
\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{13} & \mathcal{V}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_{12} \\
\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+2\alpha_4} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_4 & \mathcal{V}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+2\alpha_4)} = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{j}_5.
\end{array} \tag{5.6}$$

Now we state some information suitable for our presentation. We note that $\mathfrak{j}_4$ is a maximal vector of weight $\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4$ and $\mathfrak{j}_5$ is a weight vector of weight $-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+2\alpha_4)$. We also have

(5.7)

$$t(\mathfrak{j}_4,\mathfrak{j}_t)=0 \text{ for } 6\le t\le 27 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_6=0 & \mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_7=0 & \mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_8=0 & \mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_9=0 & \mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{10}=0\\
\mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{11}=0 & \mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{12}=\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{j}_{20} & \mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{13}=0 & \mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{14}=0 & \mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{15}=0\\
\mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{16}=0 & \mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{17}=\frac{-1}{2}\mathfrak{j}_{24} & \mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{18}=\frac{-1}{2}\mathfrak{j}_{25} & \mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{19}=0 & \mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{20}=\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{j}_{12}\\
\mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{21}=0 & \mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{22}=\frac{-1}{2}\mathfrak{j}_{13} & \mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{23}=\frac{-1}{2}\mathfrak{j}_{15} & \boxed{\mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{24}=\frac{-1}{2}\mathfrak{j}_{16}} & \mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{25}=0\\
 & \mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{26}=0 & \mathfrak{j}_4*\mathfrak{j}_{27}=0. & &
\end{array}$$

Consider the normalized trace on $\mathfrak{J}$ and take $\zeta=e^{2\pi i/3}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&t\big(4D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_{11}}(\mathfrak{j}_8)-4\zeta D_{\mathfrak{j}_2,\mathfrak{j}_{20}}(\mathfrak{j}_{21}),4D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_{11}}(\mathfrak{j}_8)-4\zeta^2 D_{\mathfrak{j}_2,\mathfrak{j}_{20}}(\mathfrak{j}_{21})\big)=1,\\
&\big(4D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_{11}}(\mathfrak{j}_8)-4\zeta D_{\mathfrak{j}_2,\mathfrak{j}_{20}}(\mathfrak{j}_{21})\big)*\big(4D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_{11}}(\mathfrak{j}_8)-4\zeta^2 D_{\mathfrak{j}_2,\mathfrak{j}_{20}}(\mathfrak{j}_{21})\big)=0,
\end{aligned}\tag{5.8}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ll}
D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_8}(D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_{11}}(\mathfrak{j}_8))=\frac{-1}{8}\mathfrak{j}_8 & D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_8}(D_{\mathfrak{j}_2,\mathfrak{j}_{20}}(\mathfrak{j}_{21}))=\frac{1}{16}\mathfrak{j}_8\\
D_{\mathfrak{j}_2,\mathfrak{j}_{21}}(D_{\mathfrak{j}_1,\mathfrak{j}_{11}}(\mathfrak{j}_8))=\frac{1}{16}\mathfrak{j}_{21} & D_{\mathfrak{j}_2,\mathfrak{j}_{21}}(D_{\mathfrak{j}_2,\mathfrak{j}_{20}}(\mathfrak{j}_{21}))=\frac{-1}{8}\mathfrak{j}_{21}.
\end{array}\tag{5.9}$$

Now setting

$$a:=-4\zeta,\ a':=4,\ b:=-4\zeta^2,\ b':=4,\ b''_4=a''_3:=\frac{-1}{8},\ a''_4=b''_3:=\frac{1}{16},$$

we have

$$(a''_3a'+b''_3a)b=-(a''_3b'+b''_3b)a \quad \text{and} \quad (a''_4a'+b''_4a)b'=-(a''_4b'+b''_4b)a'.\tag{5.10}$$

5.3. **The algebras $\mathcal{A}_p,\mathcal{J}_p(\le p\le 3)$.** We recall these algebras from [BGKN] and [AABGP]. Suppose that ν is a positive integer, for $1\le p\le 3$ with $p\le\nu$, take $\mathcal{A}_0$ to be $A_{[\nu]}$ and

$$\mathcal{A}_p:=\mathcal{A}_{p-1}\oplus\mathcal{A}_{p-1}x_p$$

to be the algebra obtained from $\mathcal{A}_{p-1}$ using the *Cayley-Dickson* process with

$$x_p^2=t_p.$$

The last one is called the *Cayley torus* (or *Octonion torus*). We mention that the Cayley torus is alternative but not associative, also the center and the nucleus of this algebra coincides with $A_{[\nu]}$. We know that $\mathcal{A}_{p-1}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_p$ for $1\le p\le 3$. Moreover these four algebras are algebras over $A_{[\nu]}$, in fact they are free $A_{[\nu]}$-modules. Next note that for $1\le i\le 8$, there exist unique $s_1,s_2,s_3\in\{0,1\}$ such that $i=1+s_1+2s_2+4s_3$. Take $w_i:=(x_1^{s_1}x_2^{s_2})x_3^{s_3}$. Then $\{w_i\mid 1\le i\le 2^p\}$ is an $A_{[\nu]}$-basis for $\mathcal{A}_p$, $0\le p\le 3$. We consider the normalized trace

$$T:\mathcal{A}_p\longrightarrow A_{[\nu]};\ \sum_{i=1}^{2^p}r_iw_i\mapsto r_1\tag{5.11}$$

on $\mathcal{A}_p$, $0\le p\le 3$ and define t and $*$ to be as before.

Next take $\mathcal{J}_o:=A_{[\nu]}$, $\mathcal{J}_1$ to be the commutative associative algebra over $A_{[\nu]}$ with generator x_1 subject to the relation $x_1^3=t_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_2$ to be the plus algebra of the associative algebra over $A_{[\nu]}$ generated by x_1, x_2 subject to the relations $x_1^3 = t_1, x_2^3 = t_2, x_1x_2 = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} x_2x_1$. Let $\mathcal{J}_3 := \mathcal{J}_2 \oplus (\mathcal{J}_2 \cdot x_3) \oplus (\mathcal{J}_2 \cdot x_3^2)$ be the Jordan algebra obtained from $\mathcal{J}_2$ using Tit's first Jordan algebra construction [J, Chapter IX] with $x_3^3 = t_3$. We mention that whenever we use $\mathcal{J}_p$, $1 \leq p \leq 3$, we assume $p \leq \nu$.

One sees that for $1 \leq i \leq 27$, there exist unique $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that $i = 1 + s_1 + 3s_2 + 9s_3$. Take $w_i := (x_1^{s_1} \cdot x_2^{s_2}) \cdot x_3^{s_3}$. Then $\{w_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 3^p\}$ is an $A_{[\nu]}$-basis for $\mathcal{J}_p$, $0 \leq p \leq 3$. Define the following normalized trace on $\mathcal{J}_p$, $0 \leq p \leq 3$:

$$T : \mathcal{J}_p \longrightarrow A_{[\nu]};\ \sum_{i=1}^{3^p} r_i w_i \mapsto r_1. \tag{5.12}$$

Note that the algebra products on $\mathcal{A}_p$ and $\mathcal{J}_p$, the trace form on these algebras and the corresponding $*$-operators are $A_{[\nu]}$-bilinear. Therefore each of these are uniquely determined by its table of multiplication on the corresponding $A_{[\nu]}$-basis. For the convenience of the reader, in what follows we accomplish the tables for $\mathcal{A}_3$ and $\mathcal{J}_3$ and we note that the tables for $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ and $\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2$ are sub-tables of the ones for $\mathcal{A}_3$ and $\mathcal{J}_3$ respectively as $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_3$ and $\mathcal{J}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{J}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{J}_3$.

TABLE 1. the table of the products (for $\mathcal{A}_p$)

	w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	w_6	w_7	w_8
w_1	$\mathbf{1}$	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	w_6	w_7	w_8
w_2	w_2	$\mathbf{t_1}$	w_4	t_1w_3	w_6	t_1w_5	$-w_8$	$-t_1w_7$
w_3	w_3	$-w_4$	$\mathbf{t_2}$	$-t_2w_2$	w_7	w_8	t_2w_5	t_2w_6
w_4	w_4	$-t_1w_3$	t_2w_2	$-\mathbf{t_1t_2}$	w_8	t_1w_7	$-t_2w_6$	$-t_1t_2w_5$
w_5	w_5	$-w_6$	$-w_7$	$-w_8$	$\mathbf{t_3}$	$-t_3w_2$	$-t_3w_3$	$-t_3w_4$
w_6	w_6	$-t_1w_5$	$-w_8$	$-t_1w_7$	t_3w_2	$-\mathbf{t_1t_3}$	t_3w_4	$t_1t_3w_3$
w_7	w_7	w_8	$-t_2w_5$	t_2w_6	t_3w_3	$-t_3w_4$	$-\mathbf{t_2t_3}$	$-t_2t_3w_2$
w_8	w_8	t_1w_7	$-t_2w_6$	$t_1t_2w_5$	t_3w_4	$-t_1t_3w_3$	$t_2t_3w_2$	$\mathbf{t_1t_2t_3}$

TABLE 2. the table of the trace form (for $\mathcal{A}_p$)

$t(\cdot,\cdot)$	w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	w_6	w_7	w_8
w_1	$\mathbf{1}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
w_2	0	$\mathbf{t_1}$	0	0	0	0	0	0
w_3	0	0	$\mathbf{t_2}$	0	0	0	0	0
w_4	0	0	0	$-\mathbf{t_1t_2}$	0	0	0	0
w_5	0	0	0	0	$\mathbf{t_3}$	0	0	0
w_6	0	0	0	0	0	$-\mathbf{t_1t_3}$	0	0
w_7	0	0	0	0	0	0	$-\mathbf{t_2t_3}$	0
w_8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\mathbf{t_1t_2t_3}$

TABLE 3. the table of the operator $*$ (for $\mathcal{A}_p$)

$*$	w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	w_6	w_7	w_8
w_1	0	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	w_6	w_7	w_8
w_2	w_2	0	w_4	t_1w_3	w_6	t_1w_5	$-w_8$	$-t_1w_7$
w_3	w_3	$-w_4$	0	$-t_2w_2$	w_7	w_8	t_2w_5	t_2w_6
w_4	w_4	$-t_1w_3$	t_2w_2	0	w_8	t_1w_7	$-t_2w_6$	$-t_1t_2w_5$
w_5	w_5	$-w_6$	$-w_7$	$-w_8$	0	$-t_3w_2$	$-t_3w_3$	$-t_3w_4$
w_6	w_6	$-t_1w_5$	$-w_8$	$-t_1w_7$	t_3w_2	0	t_3w_4	$t_1t_3w_3$
w_7	w_7	w_8	$-t_2w_5$	t_2w_6	t_3w_3	$-t_3w_4$	0	$-t_2t_3w_2$
w_8	w_8	t_1w_7	$-t_2w_6$	$t_1t_2w_5$	t_3w_4	$-t_1t_3w_3$	$t_2t_3w_2$	0

Setting

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
b_{2,2} := 1 & b_2^3 = b_3^2 := t_1 \\
b_2^4 = b_4^2 := 1 & b_2^5 = b_5^2 := \frac{(1+e^{\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})} \\
b_2^6 = b_6^2 := \frac{t_1(1+e^{\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})}{4} & b_2^7 = b_7^2 := 1 \\
b_2^8 = b_8^2 := \frac{(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})} & b_2^9 = b_9^2 := \frac{t_1(1+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{4\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i})}{4} \\
b_2^{10} = b_{10}^2 := \frac{-1}{2} & b_2^{11} = b_{11}^2 := \frac{-1}{2} \\
b_2^{12} = b_{12}^2 := \frac{-t_1}{2} & b_2^{13} = b_{13}^2 := \frac{-e^{\pi i/3}}{1+e^{\pi i/3}} \\
b_2^{14} = b_{14}^2 := \frac{-e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})} & b_2^{15} = b_{15}^2 := \frac{-t_1e^{\pi i/3}}{4} \\
b_2^{16} = b_{16}^2 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}}{1+e^{2\pi i/3}} & b_2^{17} = b_{17}^2 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})} \\
b_2^{18} = b_{18}^2 := \frac{-t_1e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{4} & b_2^{19} = b_{19}^2 := 1 \\
b_2^{20} = b_{20}^2 := \frac{-1}{2} & b_2^{21} = b_{21}^2 := \frac{-t_1}{2} \\
b_2^{22} = b_{22}^2 := \frac{-1}{1+e^{\pi i/3}} & b_2^{23} = b_{23}^2 := \frac{-(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}
\end{array}
$$

$$b_2^{24} = b_{24}^2 := \frac{-t_1(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4} \qquad b_2^{25} = b_{25}^2 := \frac{-1}{1+e^{2\pi i/3}}$$

$$b_2^{26} = b_{26}^2 := \frac{-(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})} \qquad b_2^{27} = b_{27}^2 := \frac{-t_1(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{4}$$

$$b_3^3 := t_1 \qquad b_3^4 = b_4^3 := 1$$

$$b_3^5 = b_5^3 := \frac{(1+e^{\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})t_1}{4} \qquad b_3^6 = b_6^3 := \frac{(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2 t_1}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$$

$$b_3^7 = b_7^3 := 1 \qquad b_3^8 = b_8^3 := \frac{(1+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{4\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i})t_1}{4}$$

$$b_3^9 = b_9^3 := \frac{(e^{4\pi i/3})^2 t_1}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})} \qquad b_3^{10} = b_{10}^3 := \frac{-1}{2}$$

$$b_3^{11} = b_{11}^3 := \frac{-t_1}{2} \qquad b_3^{12} = b_{12}^3 := \frac{-t_1}{2}$$

$$b_3^{13} = b_{13}^3 := \frac{-1}{(1+e^{2\pi i/3})} \qquad b_3^{14} = b_{14}^3 := \frac{-t_1(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{4}$$

$$b_3^{15} = b_{15}^3 := \frac{-t_1(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})} \qquad b_3^{16} = b_{16}^3 := \frac{-1}{1+e^{4\pi i/3}}$$

$$b_3^{17} = b_{17}^3 := \frac{-(1+e^{2\pi i/3})t_1}{4} \qquad b_3^{18} = b_{18}^3 := \frac{-t_1(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$$

$$b_3^{19} = b_{19}^3 := 1 \qquad b_3^{20} = b_{20}^3 = \frac{-t_1}{2}$$

$$b_3^{21} = b_{21}^3 := \frac{-t_1}{2} \qquad b_3^{22} = b_{22}^3 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}}{1+e^{2\pi i/3}}$$

$$b_3^{23} = b_{23}^3 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})t_1}{4} \qquad b_3^{24} = b_{24}^3 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})t_1}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$$

$$b_3^{25} = b_{25}^3 := \frac{-e^{4\pi i/3}}{1+e^{4\pi i/3}} \qquad b_3^{26} = b_{26}^3 := \frac{-e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})t_1}{4}$$

$$b_3^{27} = b_{27}^3 := \frac{-e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_1}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})} \qquad b_4^4 := 1$$

$$b_4^5 = b_5^4 := \frac{(1+e^{\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})} \qquad b_4^6 = b_6^4 := \frac{(1+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$$

$$b_4^7 = b_7^4 := t_2 \qquad b_4^8 = b_8^4 := \frac{(1+e^{\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})t_2}{4}$$

$$b_4^9 = b_9^4 := \frac{(1+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{4\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i})t_2}{4} \qquad b_4^{10} = b_{10}^4 := \frac{-1}{2}$$

$$b_4^{11} = b_{11}^4 := \frac{-e^{\pi i/3}}{1+e^{\pi i/3}} \qquad b_4^{12} = b_{12}^4 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}}{1+e^{2\pi i/3}}$$

$$b_4^{13} = b_{13}^4 := \frac{-1}{2} \qquad b_4^{14} = b_{14}^4 := \frac{-e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$$

$$b_4^{15} = b_{15}^4 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})} \qquad b_4^{16} = b_{16}^4 := \frac{-t_2}{2}$$

$$b_4^{17} = b_{17}^4 := \frac{-e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})t_2}{4}) \qquad b_4^{18} = b_{18}^4 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_2}{4})$$

$$b_4^{19} = b_{19}^4 := 1 \qquad b_4^{20} = b_{20}^4 := \frac{-1}{1+e^{\pi i/3}}$$

$$b_4^{21} = b_{21}^4 := \frac{-1}{1+e^{2\pi i/3}} \qquad b_4^{22} = b_{22}^4 := \frac{-1}{2}$$

$$b_4^{23} = b_{23}^4 := \frac{-(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})} \qquad b_4^{24} = b_{24}^4 := \frac{-(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$$

$$b_4^{25} = b_{25}^4 := \frac{-t_2}{2} \qquad b_4^{26} = b_{26}^4 := \frac{-(1+e^{2\pi i/3})t_2}{4}$$

$$b_4^{27} = b_{27}^4 := \frac{-(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_2}{4} \qquad b_5^5 := \frac{e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$$

$$b_5^6 = b_6^5 := \frac{t_1(1+e^{\pi i/3})^2(e^{\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})}{8} \qquad b_5^7 = b_7^5 := \frac{(1+e^{\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})}{4}$$

$$b_5^8 = b_8^5 := \frac{t_2(1+e^{\pi i/3})^2(e^{\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})}{8} \qquad b_5^9 = b_9^5 := \frac{t_1 t_2 e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3}}{4}$$

$$b_5^{10} = b_{10}^5 := \frac{-1}{2} \qquad b_5^{11} = b_{11}^5 := \frac{-e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$$

$$b_5^{12} = b_{12}^5 := \frac{-t_1 e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{4} \qquad b_5^{13} = b_{13}^5 := \frac{-(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$$

$$b_5^{14} = b_{14}^5 := \frac{-e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})^2}{4(1+e^{4\pi i/3})} \qquad b_5^{15} = b_{15}^5 := \frac{-t_1 e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{8}$$

$$b_5^{16} = b_{16}^5 := \frac{-t_2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{4} \qquad b_5^{17} = b_{17}^5 := \frac{-t_2 e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{8}$$

$$b_5^{18} = b_{18}^5 := \frac{-t_1 t_2 e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{8} \qquad b_5^{19} = b_{19}^5 := 1$$

$b_5^{20} = b_{20}^5 := \frac{-(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_5^{21} = b_{21}^5 := \frac{-t_1(1+e^{\pi/3})}{4}$

$b_5^{22} = b_{22}^5 := \frac{-e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_5^{23} = b_{23}^5 := \frac{-e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})^2}{4(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$

$b_5^{24} = b_{24}^5 := \frac{-t_1e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{8}$

$b_5^{25} = b_{25}^5 := \frac{-t_2e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_5^{26} = b_{26}^5 := \frac{-t_2e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{8}$

$b_5^{27} = b_{27}^5 := \frac{-t_1t_2e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{8}$

$b_6^6 := \frac{t_1e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2}$

$b_6^7 = b_7^6 := \frac{(1+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{4\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i})t_2}{4}$

$b_6^8 = b_8^6 := \frac{e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2(1+e^{\pi i})t_1t_2}{8}$

$b_6^9 = b_9^6 := \frac{(e^{\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})^2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_1t_2}{8}$

$b_6^{10} = b_{10}^6 := \frac{-1}{2}$

$b_6^{11} = b_{11}^6 := \frac{-t_1e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_6^{12} = b_{12}^6 := \frac{-t_1e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$

$b_6^{13} = b_{13}^6 := \frac{-(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$

$b_6^{14} = b_{14}^6 := \frac{-t_1e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{8}$

$b_6^{15} = b_{15}^6 := \frac{-t_1e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_6^{16} = b_{16}^6 := \frac{-t_2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_6^{17} = b_{17}^6 := \frac{-e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2t_1t_2}{8}$

$b_6^{18} = b_{18}^6 := \frac{-t_1t_2e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_6^{19} = b_{19}^6 := 1$

$b_6^{20} = b_{20}^6 := \frac{-t_1(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_6^{21} = b_{21}^6 := \frac{-t_1(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$

$b_6^{22} = b_{22}^6 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$

$b_6^{23} = b_{23}^6 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{2\pi i/3})t_1}{8}$

$b_6^{24} = b_{24}^6 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})t_1}{4}$

$b_6^{25} = b_{25}^6 := \frac{-e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})t_2}{4}$

$b_6^{26} = b_{26}^6 := \frac{-e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2t_1t_2}{8}$

$b_6^{27} = b_{27}^6 := \frac{-e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_1t_2}{8}$

$b_7^7 := t_2$

$b_7^8 = b_8^7 := \frac{(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2t_2}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$

$b_7^9 = b_9^7 := \frac{(1+e^{4\pi i/3})^2t_2}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_7^{10} = b_{10}^7 := \frac{-1}{2}$

$b_7^{11} = b_{11}^7 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}}{(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_7^{12} = b_{12}^7 := \frac{-e^{4\pi i/3}}{(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$

$b_7^{13} = b_{13}^7 := \frac{-t_2}{2}$

$b_7^{14} = b_{14}^7 := \frac{-t_2e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_7^{15} = b_{15}^7 := \frac{-t_2e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_7^{16} = b_{16}^7 := \frac{-t_2}{2}$

$b_7^{17} = b_{17}^7 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})t_2}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$

$b_7^{18} = b_{18}^7 := \frac{-e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_2}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_7^{19} = b_{19}^7 := 1$

$b_7^{20} = b_{20}^7 := \frac{1}{(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_7^{21} = b_{21}^7 := \frac{1}{(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$

$b_7^{22} = b_{22}^7 := \frac{-t_2}{2}$

$b_7^{23} = b_{23}^7 := \frac{-(1+e^{\pi i/3}t_2)}{4}$

$b_7^{24} = b_{24}^7 := \frac{-(1+e^{2\pi i/3}t_2)}{4}$

$b_7^{25} = b_{25}^7 := \frac{-t_2}{2}$

$b_7^{26} = b_{26}^7 := \frac{-(1+e^{2\pi i/3})t_2}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$

$b_7^{27} = b_{27}^7 := \frac{-(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_2}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_8^8 := \frac{e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})t_2}{2}$

$b_8^9 = b_9^8 := \frac{(e^{\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})^2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_1t_2}{8}$

$b_8^{10} = b_{10}^8 := \frac{-1}{2}$

$b_8^{11} = b_{11}^8 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$

$b_8^{12} = b_{12}^8 := \frac{-e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})t_1}{4}$

$b_8^{13} = b_{13}^8 := \frac{-t_2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_8^{14} = b_{14}^8 := \frac{-t_2e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{8}$

$b_8^{15} = b_{15}^8 := \frac{-t_1t_2e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2}{8}$

$b_8^{16} = b_{16}^8 := \frac{-t_2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$

$b_8^{17} = b_{17}^8 := \frac{-t_2e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_8^{18} = b_{18}^8 := \frac{-e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_1t_2}{8}$

$b_8^{19} = b_{19}^8 := 1$

$b_8^{20} = b_{20}^8 := \frac{1+e^{2\pi i/3}}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$

$b_8^{21} = b_{21}^8 := \frac{-t_1(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$ $\quad b_8^{22} = b_{22}^8 := \frac{-t_2e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_8^{23} = b_{23}^8 := \frac{-t_2e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(e^{2\pi i/3})t_2}{8}$ $\quad b_8^{24} = b_{24}^8 := \frac{-e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2t_1t_2}{8}$

$b_8^{25} = b_{25}^8 := \frac{-t_2e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$ $\quad b_8^{26} = b_{26}^8 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})t_2}{4}$

$b_8^{27} = b_{27}^8 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_1t_2}{8}$ $\quad b_9^9 := \frac{e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})^2t_1t_2}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$

$b_9^{10} = b_{10}^9 := \frac{-1}{2}$ $\quad b_9^{11} = b_{11}^9 := \frac{-t_1e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_9^{12} = b_{12}^9 := \frac{-e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_1}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$ $\quad b_9^{13} = b_{13}^9 := \frac{-t_2(1+e^{4\pi i/3}))}{4}$

$b_9^{14} = b_{14}^9 := \frac{-t_1t_2e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3}))}{8}$ $\quad b_9^{15} = b_{15}^9 := \frac{-t_1t_2e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3}))}{8}$

$b_9^{16} = b_{16}^9 := \frac{-t_2(1+e^{4\pi i/3}))}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3}))}$ $\quad b_9^{17} = b_{17}^9 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_1t_2}{8}$

$b_9^{18} = b_{18}^9 := \frac{-e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})^2t_1t_2}{4(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$ $\quad b_9^{19} = b_{19}^9 := 1$

$b_9^{20} = b_{20}^9 := \frac{-t_1(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{4}$ $\quad b_9^{21} = b_{21}^9 := \frac{(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_1}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_9^{22} = b_{22}^9 := \frac{-t_2e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{4}$ $\quad b_9^{23} = b_{23}^9 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_1t_2)}{8}$

$b_9^{24} = b_{24}^9 := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_1t_2)}{8}$ $\quad b_9^{25} = b_{25}^9 := \frac{-t_2e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_9^{26} = b_{26}^9 := \frac{-e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_1t_2}{8}$ $\quad b_9^{27} = b_{27}^9 := \frac{-e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})^2t_1t_2}{4(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$

$b_{10}^{10} := \frac{-t_3}{2}$ $\quad b_{10}^{11} = b_{11}^{10} := t_3$

$b_{10}^{12} = b_{12}^{10} := t_3$ $\quad b_{10}^{13} = b_{13}^{10} := t_3$

$b_{10}^{14} = b_{14}^{10} := t_3$ $\quad b_{10}^{15} = b_{15}^{10} := t_3$

$b_{10}^{16} = b_{16}^{10} := t_3$ $\quad b_{10}^{17} = b_{17}^{10} := t_3$

$b_{10}^{18} = b_{18}^{10} := t_3$ $\quad b_{10}^{19} = b_{19}^{10} := 1$

$b_{10}^{20} = b_{20}^{10} := \frac{-1}{2}$ $\quad b_{10}^{21} = b_{21}^{10} := \frac{-1}{2}$

$b_{10}^{22} = b_{22}^{10} := \frac{-1}{2}$ $\quad b_{10}^{23} = b_{23}^{10} := \frac{-1}{2}$

$b_{10}^{24} = b_{24}^{10} := \frac{-1}{2}$ $\quad b_{10}^{25} = b_{25}^{10} := \frac{-1}{2}$

$b_{10}^{26} = b_{26}^{10} := \frac{-1}{2}$ $\quad b_{10}^{27} = b_{27}^{10} := \frac{-1}{2}$

$b_{11}^{11} := t_3$ $\quad b_{11}^{12} = b_{12}^{11} := \frac{-t_1t_3}{2}$

$b_{11}^{13} = b_{13}^{11} := t_3$ $\quad b_{11}^{14} = b_{14}^{11} := \frac{t_3(1+e^{\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_{11}^{15} = b_{15}^{11} := \frac{t_1t_2(1+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i}))}{4}$ $\quad b_{11}^{16} = b_{16}^{11} := t_3$

$b_{11}^{17} = b_{17}^{11} := \frac{(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2t_3)}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$ $\quad b_{11}^{18} = b_{18}^{11} := \frac{(1+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{4\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i})t_1t_2}{4}$

$b_{11}^{19} = b_{19}^{11} := \frac{-1}{2}$ $\quad b_{11}^{20} = b_{20}^{11} := \frac{-1}{2}$

$b_{11}^{21} = b_{21}^{11} := t_1$ $\quad b_{11}^{22} = b_{22}^{11} := \frac{1}{(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$

$b_{11}^{23} = b_{23}^{11} := \frac{-(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$ $\quad b_{11}^{24} = b_{24}^{11} := \frac{-t_1(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_{11}^{25} = b_{25}^{11} := \frac{1}{1+e^{2\pi i/3}}$ $\quad b_{11}^{26} = b_{26}^{11} := \frac{-(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$

$b_{11}^{27} = b_{27}^{11} := \frac{-t_1(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{4}$ $\quad b_{12}^{12} := t_1t_3$

$b_{12}^{13} = b_{13}^{12} := t_3$ $\quad b_{12}^{14} = b_{14}^{12} := \frac{t_1t_3(1+e^{\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})}{4}$

$b_{12}^{15} = b_{15}^{12} := \frac{t_1t_3(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$ $\quad b_{12}^{16} = b_{16}^{12} := t_3$

$b_{12}^{17} = b_{17}^{12} := \frac{t_1t_3(1+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{4\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i})}{4}$ $\quad b_{12}^{18} = b_{18}^{12} := \frac{(1+e^{4\pi i/3})^2t_1t_3}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_{12}^{19} = b_{19}^{12} := \frac{-1}{2}$ $\quad b_{12}^{20} = b_{20}^{12} := t_1$

$b_{12}^{21} = b_{21}^{12} := \frac{-t_1}{2}$ $\quad b_{12}^{22} = b_{22}^{12} := \frac{-1}{(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_{12}^{23} = b_{23}^{12} := \frac{-t_1(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_{12}^{24} = b_{24}^{12} := \frac{-(1+e^{2\pi i/3})t_1}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$

$b_{12}^{25} = b_{25}^{12} := \frac{1}{1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$

$b_{12}^{26} = b_{26}^{12} := \frac{-t_1(1+e^{2\pi i/3}}{4}$

$b_{12}^{27} = b_{27}^{12} := \frac{-t_1(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_{13}^{13} := t_3$

$b_{13}^{14} = b_{14}^{13} := \frac{t_3(1+e^{\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_{13}^{15} = b_{15}^{13} := \frac{t_3(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$

$b_{13}^{16} = b_{16}^{13} := \frac{-t_2t_3}{2}$

$b_{13}^{17} = b_{17}^{13} := \frac{t_2t_3(1+e^{\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})}{4}$

$b_{13}^{18} = b_{18}^{13} := \frac{t_2t_3(1+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{4\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i})}{4}$

$b_{13}^{19} = b_{19}^{13} := \frac{-1}{2}$

$b_{13}^{20} = b_{20}^{13} := \frac{e^{\pi i/3}}{1+e^{\pi i/3}}$

$b_{13}^{21} = b_{21}^{13} := \frac{e^{2\pi i/3}}{1+e^{2\pi i/3}}$

$b_{13}^{22} = b_{22}^{13} := \frac{-1}{2}$

$b_{13}^{23} = b_{23}^{13} := \frac{-e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_{13}^{24} = b_{24}^{13} : \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$

$b_{13}^{25} = b_{25}^{13} := t_2$

$b_{13}^{26} = b_{26}^{13} := \frac{-t_2e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_{13}^{27} = b_{27}^{13} := \frac{-t_2e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_{14}^{14} := \frac{t_3e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$

$b_{14}^{15} = b_{15}^{14} := \frac{t_1t_3(1+e^{\pi i/3})^2(e^{\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})}{8}$

$b_{14}^{16} = b_{16}^{14} := \frac{t_2t_3(1+e^{\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})}{4}$

$b_{14}^{17} = b_{17}^{14} := \frac{t_2t_3(1+e^{\pi i/3})^2(e^{\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})}{8}$

$b_{14}^{18} = b_{18}^{14} := \frac{-t_1t_2t_3e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{8}$

$b_{14}^{19} = b_{19}^{14} := \frac{-1}{2}$

$b_{14}^{20} = b_{20}^{14} := \frac{-e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_{14}^{21} = b_{21}^{14} := \frac{-t_1e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_{14}^{22} = b_{22}^{14} := \frac{-(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_{14}^{23} = b_{23}^{14} := \frac{-e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})^2}{4(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$

$b_{14}^{24} = b_{24}^{14} := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{2\pi i/3})t_1}{8}$

$b_{14}^{25} = b_{25}^{14} := \frac{-t_2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_{14}^{26} = b_{26}^{14} := \frac{-t_2e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{8}$

$b_{14}^{27} = b_{27}^{14} := \frac{e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_1t_2}{4}$

$b_{15}^{15} := \frac{t_1t_3e^{2\pi i/3}(1++e^{2\pi i/3})}{2}$

$b_{15}^{16} = b_{16}^{15} := \frac{t_2t_3(1+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{4\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i})}{4}$

$b_{15}^{17} = b_{17}^{15} := \frac{-t_1t_2t_3e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2(1+e^{\pi i})}{16}$

$b_{15}^{18} = b_{18}^{15} := \frac{t_1t_2t_3(e^{\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})^2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{8}$

$b_{15}^{19} = b_{19}^{15} := \frac{-1}{2}$

$b_{15}^{20} = b_{20}^{15} := \frac{-t_1e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_{15}^{21} = b_{21}^{15} := \frac{-t_1e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$

$b_{15}^{22} = b_{22}^{15} := \frac{-(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$

$b_{15}^{23} = b_{23}^{15} := \frac{-t_1e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{8}$

$b_{15}^{24} = b_{24}^{15} := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})t_1}{4}$

$b_{15}^{25} = b_{25}^{15} := \frac{-t_2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_{15}^{26} = b_{26}^{15} := \frac{t_1t_2e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_{15}^{27} = b_{27}^{15} := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_1t_2}{8}$

$b_{16}^{16} := t_2t_3$

$b_{16}^{17} = b_{17}^{16} := \frac{t_2t_3(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{\pi/3})}$

$b_{16}^{18} = b_{18}^{16} := \frac{t_2t_3(1+e^{4\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{2\pi/3})}$

$b_{16}^{19} = b_{19}^{16} := \frac{-1}{2}$

$b_{16}^{20} = b_{20}^{16} := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}}{1+e^{2\pi i/3}}$

$b_{16}^{21} = b_{21}^{16} := \frac{-e^{4\pi i/3}}{1+e^{4\pi i/3}}$

$b_{16}^{22} = b_{22}^{16} := t_2$

$b_{16}^{23} = b_{23}^{16} := \frac{-t_2e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_{16}^{24} = b_{24}^{16} := \frac{-t_2e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_{16}^{25} = b_{25}^{16} := \frac{-t_2}{2}$

$b_{16}^{26} = b_{26}^{16} := \frac{-t_2e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$

$b_{16}^{27} = b_{27}^{16} := \frac{-t_2e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$

$b_{17}^{17} := \frac{t_2t_3e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2}$

$b_{17}^{18} = b_{18}^{17} := \frac{t_1t_2t_3(e^{\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})^2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{8}$

$b_{17}^{19} = b_{19}^{17} := \frac{-1}{2}$

$b_{17}^{20} = b_{20}^{17} := \frac{-e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$

$b_{17}^{21} = b_{21}^{17} := \frac{-t_1e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_{17}^{22} = b_{22}^{17} := \frac{-t_2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_{17}^{23} = b_{23}^{17} := \frac{-t_2e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{8}$

$b_{17}^{24} = b_{24}^{17} := \frac{t_1t_2e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2}{4}$

$b_{17}^{25} = b_{25}^{17} := \frac{-t_2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$

$b_{17}^{26} = b_{26}^{17} := \frac{-t_2e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{4}$

$b_{17}^{27} = b_{27}^{17} := \frac{-e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})t_1t_2}{8}$

$$b^{18}_{18} := \frac{t_1t_2t_3e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3}}$$

$$b^{19}_{18} = b^{18}_{19} := \frac{-1}{2}$$

$$b^{20}_{18} = b^{18}_{20} := \frac{-t_1e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{4}$$

$$b^{21}_{18} = b^{18}_{21} := \frac{-t_1e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$$

$$b^{22}_{18} = b^{18}_{22} := \frac{-t_2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{4}$$

$$b^{23}_{18} = b^{18}_{23} := \frac{-t_1t_2e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{8}$$

$$b^{24}_{18} = b^{18}_{24} := \frac{-t_1t_2e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{8}$$

$$b^{25}_{18} = b^{18}_{25} := \frac{-t_2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$$

$$b^{26}_{18} = b^{18}_{26} := \frac{-t_1t_2e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{8}$$

$$b^{27}_{18} = b^{18}_{27} := \frac{-e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})^2t_1t_2}{4(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$$

$$b^{19}_{19} := \frac{-t_3^{-1}}{2}$$

$$b^{20}_{19} = b^{19}_{20} := t_3^{-1}$$

$$b^{21}_{19} = b^{19}_{21}t_3^{-1}$$

$$b^{22}_{19} = b^{19}_{22} := t_3^{-1}$$

$$b^{23}_{19} = b^{19}_{23} := t_3^{-1}$$

$$b^{24}_{19} = b^{19}_{24} := t_3^{-1}$$

$$b^{25}_{19} = b^{19}_{25}t_3^{-1}$$

$$b^{26}_{19} = b^{19}_{26} := t_3^{-1}$$

$$b^{27}_{19} = b^{19}_{27} := t_3^{-1}$$

$$b^{20}_{20} := t_3^{-1}$$

$$b^{21}_{20} = b^{20}_{21} := \frac{-t_1t_3^{-1}}{2}$$

$$b^{22}_{20} = b^{20}_{22} := t_3^{-1}$$

$$b^{23}_{20} = b^{20}_{23} := \frac{t_3^{-1}(1+e^{\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$$

$$b^{24}_{20} = b^{20}_{24} := \frac{t_1t_3^{-1}(1+e^{\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})}{4}$$

$$b^{25}_{20} = b^{20}_{25} := t_3^{-1}$$

$$b^{26}_{20} = b^{20}_{26} := \frac{t_3^{-1}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$$

$$b^{27}_{20} = b^{20}_{27} := \frac{t_1t_3^{-1}(1+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{4\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i})}{4}$$

$$b^{21}_{21} := t_1t_3^{-1}$$

$$b^{22}_{21} = b^{21}_{22} := t_3^{-1}$$

$$b^{23}_{21} = b^{21}_{23} := \frac{t_1t_3^{-1}(1+e^{\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})}{4}$$

$$b^{24}_{21} = b^{21}_{24} := \frac{-t_1t_3^{-1}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$$

$$b^{25}_{21} = b^{21}_{25} := t_3^{-1}$$

$$b^{26}_{21} = b^{21}_{26} := \frac{t_1t_3^{-1}(1+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{4\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i})}{4}$$

$$b^{27}_{21} = b^{21}_{27} := \frac{t_1t_3^{-1}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$$

$$b^{22}_{22} := t_3^{-1}$$

$$b^{23}_{22} = b^{22}_{23} := \frac{t_3^{-1}(1+e^{\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$$

$$b^{24}_{22} = b^{22}_{24}\frac{t_3^{-1}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$$

$$b^{25}_{22} = b^{22}_{25} := \frac{t_2t_3^{-1}}{2}$$

$$b^{26}_{22} = b^{22}_{26} := \frac{t_2t_3^{-1}(1+e^{\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})}{4}$$

$$b^{27}_{22} = b^{22}_{27} := \frac{t_2t_3^{-1}(1+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{4\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i})}{4}$$

$$b^{23}_{23} := \frac{t_3^{-1}e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}$$

$$b^{24}_{23} = b^{23}_{24} := \frac{t_1t_3^{-1}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2(e^{\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})}{8}$$

$$b^{25}_{23} = b^{23}_{25} := \frac{t_2t_3^{-1}(1+e^{\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})}{4}$$

$$b^{26}_{23} = b^{23}_{26} := \frac{t_2t_3^{-1}(1+e^{\pi i/3})^2(e^{\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})}{8}$$

$$b^{27}_{23} = b^{23}_{27} := \frac{-t_1t_2t_3^{-1}e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{\pi i/3})(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{8}$$

$$b^{24}_{24} := \frac{t_1t_3^{-1}e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2}$$

$$b^{25}_{24} = b^{24}_{25} := \frac{t_2t_3^{-1}(1+e^{2\pi i/3}+e^{4\pi i/3}+e^{2\pi i})}{4}$$

$$b^{26}_{24} = b^{24}_{26} := \frac{-t_1t_2t_3^{-1}e^{\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2(1+e^{\pi i})}{16}$$

$$b^{27}_{24} = b^{24}_{27} := \frac{-t_1t_2t_3^{-1}(e^{\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})^2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{8}$$

$$b^{25}_{25} := t_2t_3^{-1}$$

$$b^{26}_{25} = b^{25}_{26} := \frac{t_2t_3^{-1}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$$

$$b^{27}_{25} = b^{25}_{27} := \frac{t_2t_3^{-1}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}$$

$$b^{26}_{26} := \frac{t_2t_3^{-1}e^{2\pi i/3}(1+e^{2\pi i/3})}{2}$$

$$b^{27}_{26} = b^{26}_{27} := \frac{t_1t_2t_3^{-1}(e^{\pi i/3}+e^{\pi i})^2(1+e^{4\pi i/3})}{8}$$

$$b^{27}_{27} := \frac{t_1t_2t_3^{-1}e^{4\pi i/3}(1+e^{4\pi i/3})^2}{2(1+e^{\pi i/3})}$$

TABLE 4. the table of the products (for $\mathfrak{J}_p$)

	w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	w_6	w_7	w_8	w_9
w_1	b_1^1	$b_1^2w_2$	$b_1^3w_3$	$b_1^4w_4$	$b_1^5w_5$	$b_1^6w_6$	$b_1^7w_7$	$b_1^8w_8$	$b_1^9w_9$
w_2	$b_2^1w_2$	$b_2^2w_3$	b_2^3	$b_2^4w_5$	$b_2^5w_6$	$b_2^6w_4$	$b_2^7w_8$	$b_2^8w_9$	$b_2^9w_7$
w_3	$b_3^1w_3$	b_3^2	$b_3^3w_2$	$b_3^4w_6$	$b_3^5w_4$	$b_3^6w_5$	$b_3^7w_9$	$b_3^8w_7$	$b_3^9w_8$
w_4	$b_4^1w_4$	$b_4^2w_5$	$b_4^3w_6$	$b_4^4w_7$	$b_4^5w_8$	$b_4^6w_9$	b_4^7	$b_4^8w_2$	$b_4^9w_3$
w_5	$b_5^1w_5$	$b_5^2w_6$	$b_5^3w_4$	$b_5^4w_8$	$b_5^5w_9$	$b_5^6w_7$	$b_5^7w_2$	$b_5^8w_3$	b_5^9
w_6	$b_6^1w_6$	$b_6^2w_4$	$b_6^3w_5$	$b_6^4w_9$	$b_6^5w_7$	$b_6^6w_8$	$b_6^7w_3$	b_6^8	$b_6^9w_2$
w_7	$b_7^1w_7$	$b_7^2w_8$	$b_7^3w_9$	b_7^4	$b_7^5w_2$	$b_7^6w_3$	$b_7^7w_4$	$b_7^8w_5$	$b_7^9w_6$
w_8	$b_8^1w_8$	$b_8^2w_9$	$b_8^3w_7$	$b_8^4w_2$	$b_8^5w_3$	b_8^6	$b_8^7w_5$	$b_8^8w_6$	$b_8^9w_4$
w_9	$b_9^1w_9$	$b_9^2w_7$	$b_9^3w_8$	$b_9^4w_3$	b_9^5	$b_9^6w_2$	$b_9^7w_6$	$b_9^8w_4$	$b_9^9w_5$
w_{10}	$b_{10}^1w_{10}$	$b_{10}^2w_2$	$b_{10}^3w_3$	$b_{10}^4w_4$	$b_{10}^5w_5$	$b_{10}^6w_6$	$b_{10}^7w_7$	$b_{10}^8w_8$	$b_{10}^9w_9$
w_{11}	$b_{11}^1w_{11}$	$b_{11}^2w_2$	$b_{11}^3w_3$	$b_{11}^4w_4$	$b_{11}^5w_5$	$b_{11}^6w_6$	$b_{11}^7w_7$	$b_{11}^8w_8$	$b_{11}^9w_9$
w_{12}	$b_{12}^1w_{12}$	$b_{12}^2w_2$	$b_{12}^3w_3$	$b_{12}^4w_4$	$b_{12}^5w_5$	$b_{12}^6w_6$	$b_{12}^7w_7$	$b_{12}^8w_8$	$b_{12}^9w_9$
w_{13}	$b_{13}^1w_{13}$	$b_{13}^2w_2$	$b_{13}^3w_3$	$b_{13}^4w_4$	$b_{13}^5w_5$	$b_{13}^6w_6$	$b_{13}^7w_7$	$b_{13}^8w_8$	$b_{13}^9w_9$
w_{14}	$b_{14}^1w_{14}$	$b_{14}^2w_2$	$b_{14}^3w_3$	$b_{14}^4w_4$	$b_{14}^5w_5$	$b_{14}^6w_6$	$b_{14}^7w_7$	$b_{14}^8w_8$	$b_{14}^9w_9$
w_{15}	$b_{15}^1w_{15}$	$b_{15}^2w_2$	$b_{15}^3w_3$	$b_{15}^4w_4$	$b_{15}^5w_5$	$b_{15}^6w_6$	$b_{15}^7w_7$	$b_{15}^8w_8$	$b_{15}^9w_9$
w_{16}	$b_{16}^1w_{16}$	$b_{16}^2w_2$	$b_{16}^3w_3$	$b_{16}^4w_4$	$b_{16}^5w_5$	$b_{16}^6w_6$	$b_{16}^7w_7$	$b_{16}^8w_8$	$b_{16}^9w_9$
w_{17}	$b_{17}^1w_{17}$	$b_{17}^2w_2$	$b_{17}^3w_3$	$b_{17}^4w_4$	$b_{17}^5w_5$	$b_{17}^6w_6$	$b_{17}^7w_7$	$b_{17}^8w_8$	$b_{17}^9w_9$
w_{18}	$b_{18}^1w_{18}$	$b_{18}^2w_2$	$b_{18}^3w_3$	$b_{18}^4w_4$	$b_{18}^5w_5$	$b_{18}^6w_6$	$b_{18}^7w_7$	$b_{18}^8w_8$	$b_{18}^9w_9$
w_{19}	$b_{19}^1w_{19}$	$b_{19}^2w_2$	$b_{19}^3w_3$	$b_{19}^4w_4$	$b_{19}^5w_5$	$b_{19}^6w_6$	$b_{19}^7w_7$	$b_{19}^8w_8$	$b_{19}^9w_9$
w_{20}	$b_{20}^1w_{20}$	$b_{20}^2w_2$	$b_{20}^3w_3$	$b_{20}^4w_4$	$b_{20}^5w_5$	$b_{20}^6w_6$	$b_{20}^7w_7$	$b_{20}^8w_8$	$b_{20}^9w_9$
w_{21}	$b_{21}^1w_{21}$	$b_{21}^2w_2$	$b_{21}^3w_3$	$b_{21}^4w_4$	$b_{21}^5w_5$	$b_{21}^6w_6$	$b_{21}^7w_7$	$b_{21}^8w_8$	$b_{21}^9w_9$
w_{22}	$b_{22}^1w_{22}$	$b_{22}^2w_2$	$b_{22}^3w_3$	$b_{22}^4w_4$	$b_{22}^5w_5$	$b_{22}^6w_6$	$b_{22}^7w_7$	$b_{22}^8w_8$	$b_{22}^9w_9$
w_{23}	$b_{23}^1w_{23}$	$b_{23}^2w_2$	$b_{23}^3w_3$	$b_{23}^4w_4$	$b_{23}^5w_5$	$b_{23}^6w_6$	$b_{23}^7w_7$	$b_{23}^8w_8$	$b_{23}^9w_9$
w_{24}	$b_{24}^1w_{24}$	$b_{24}^2w_2$	$b_{24}^3w_3$	$b_{24}^4w_4$	$b_{24}^5w_5$	$b_{24}^6w_6$	$b_{24}^7w_7$	$b_{24}^8w_8$	$b_{24}^9w_9$
w_{25}	$b_{25}^1w_{25}$	$b_{25}^2w_2$	$b_{25}^3w_3$	$b_{25}^4w_4$	$b_{25}^5w_5$	$b_{25}^6w_6$	$b_{25}^7w_7$	$b_{25}^8w_8$	$b_{25}^9w_9$
w_{27}	$b_{26}^1w_{26}$	$b_{26}^2w_2$	$b_{26}^3w_3$	$b_{26}^4w_4$	$b_{26}^5w_5$	$b_{26}^6w_6$	$b_{26}^7w_7$	$b_{26}^8w_8$	$b_{26}^9w_9$
w_{27}	$b_{27}^1w_{27}$	$b_{27}^2w_2$	$b_{27}^3w_3$	$b_{27}^4w_4$	$b_{27}^5w_5$	$b_{27}^6w_6$	$b_{27}^7w_7$	$b_{27}^8w_8$	$b_{27}^9w_9$

TABLE 5. the table of the products (for $\mathfrak{J}_p$)

	w_{10}	w_{11}	w_{12}	w_{13}	w_{14}	w_{15}	w_{16}	w_{17}	w_{18}
w_1	b_1^{10}	$b_1^{11}w_2$	$b_1^{12}w_2$	$b_1^{13}w_3$	$b_1^{14}w_4$	$b_1^{15}w_5$	$b_1^{16}w_6$	$b_1^{17}w_7$	$b_1^{18}w_8$
w_2	$b_2^{10}w_2$	$b_2^{11}w_3$	b_2^{12}	$b_2^{13}w_5$	$b_2^{14}w_6$	$b_2^{15}w_4$	$b_2^{16}w_8$	$b_2^{17}w_9$	$b_2^{18}w_7$
w_3	$b_3^{10}w_3$	b_3^{11}	$b_3^{12}w_2$	$b_3^{13}w_6$	$b_3^{14}w_4$	$b_3^{15}w_5$	$b_3^{16}w_9$	$b_3^{17}w_7$	$b_3^{18}w_8$
w_4	b_4^{10}	$b_4^{11}w_4$	$b_4^{12}w_5$	$b_4^{13}w_6$	$b_4^{14}w_7$	$b_4^{15}w_8$	$b_4^{16}w_9$	$b_4^{17}w_2$	$b_4^{18}w_3$
w_5	$b_5^{10}w_5$	$b_5^{11}w_5$	$b_5^{12}w_6$	$b_5^{13}w_4$	$b_5^{14}w_8$	$b_5^{15}w_9$	$b_5^{16}w_7$	$b_5^{17}w_2$	$b_5^{18}w_3$
w_6	$b_6^{10}w_2$	$b_6^{11}w_6$	$b_6^{12}w_4$	$b_6^{13}w_5$	$b_6^{14}w_9$	$b_6^{15}w_7$	$b_6^{16}w_8$	$b_6^{17}w_3$	b_6^{18}
w_7	$b_7^{10}w_6$	$b_7^{11}w_7$	$b_7^{12}w_8$	$b_7^{13}w_9$	b_7^{14}	$b_7^{15}w_2$	$b_7^{16}w_3$	$b_7^{17}w_4$	$b_7^{18}w_5$
w_8	$b_8^{10}w_4$	$b_8^{11}w_8$	$b_8^{12}w_9$	$b_8^{13}w_7$	$b_8^{14}w_2$	$b_8^{15}w_3$	b_8^{16}	$b_8^{17}w_5$	$b_8^{18}w_6$
w_9	$b_9^{10}w_5$	$b_9^{11}w_9$	$b_9^{12}w_7$	$b_9^{13}w_8$	$b_9^{14}w_3$	b_9^{15}	$b_9^{16}w_2$	$b_9^{17}w_6$	$b_9^{18}w_4$
w_{10}	$b_{10}^{10}w_9$	$b_{10}^{11}w_{10}$	$b_{10}^{12}w_2$	$b_{10}^{13}w_3$	$b_{10}^{14}w_4$	$b_{10}^{15}w_5$	$b_{10}^{16}w_6$	$b_{10}^{17}w_7$	$b_{10}^{18}w_8$
w_{11}	$b_{11}^{10}w_9$	$b_{11}^{11}w_{11}$	$b_{11}^{12}w_2$	$b_{11}^{13}w_3$	$b_{11}^{14}w_4$	$b_{11}^{15}w_5$	$b_{11}^{16}w_6$	$b_{11}^{17}w_7$	$b_{11}^{18}w_8$
w_{12}	$b_{12}^{10}w_9$	$b_{12}^{11}w_{12}$	$b_{12}^{12}w_2$	$b_{12}^{13}w_3$	$b_{12}^{14}w_4$	$b_{12}^{15}w_5$	$b_{12}^{16}w_6$	$b_{12}^{17}w_7$	$b_{12}^{18}w_8$
w_{13}	$b_{13}^{10}w_9$	$b_{13}^{11}w_{13}$	$b_{13}^{12}w_2$	$b_{13}^{13}w_3$	$b_{13}^{14}w_4$	$b_{13}^{15}w_5$	$b_{13}^{16}w_6$	$b_{13}^{17}w_7$	$b_{13}^{18}w_8$
w_{14}	$b_{14}^{10}w_9$	$b_{14}^{11}w_{14}$	$b_{14}^{12}w_2$	$b_{14}^{13}w_3$	$b_{14}^{14}w_4$	$b_{14}^{15}w_5$	$b_{14}^{16}w_6$	$b_{14}^{17}w_7$	$b_{14}^{18}w_8$
w_{15}	$b_{15}^{10}w_9$	$b_{15}^{11}w_{15}$	$b_{15}^{12}w_2$	$b_{15}^{13}w_3$	$b_{15}^{14}w_4$	$b_{15}^{15}w_5$	$b_{15}^{16}w_6$	$b_{15}^{17}w_7$	$b_{15}^{18}w_8$
w_{16}	$b_{16}^{10}w_9$	$b_{16}^{11}w_{16}$	$b_{16}^{12}w_2$	$b_{16}^{13}w_3$	$b_{16}^{14}w_4$	$b_{16}^{15}w_5$	$b_{16}^{16}w_6$	$b_{16}^{17}w_7$	$b_{16}^{18}w_8$
w_{17}	$b_{17}^{10}w_9$	$b_{17}^{11}w_{17}$	$b_{17}^{12}w_2$	$b_{17}^{13}w_3$	$b_{17}^{14}w_4$	$b_{17}^{15}w_5$	$b_{17}^{16}w_6$	$b_{17}^{17}w_7$	$b_{17}^{18}w_8$
w_{18}	$b_{18}^{10}w_9$	$b_{18}^{11}w_{18}$	$b_{18}^{12}w_2$	$b_{18}^{13}w_3$	$b_{18}^{14}w_4$	$b_{18}^{15}w_5$	$b_{18}^{16}w_6$	$b_{18}^{17}w_7$	$b_{18}^{18}w_8$
w_{19}	$b_{19}^{10}w_9$	$b_{19}^{11}w_{19}$	$b_{19}^{12}w_2$	$b_{19}^{13}w_3$	$b_{19}^{14}w_4$	$b_{19}^{15}w_5$	$b_{19}^{16}w_6$	$b_{19}^{17}w_7$	$b_{19}^{18}w_8$
w_{20}	$b_{20}^{10}w_9$	$b_{20}^{11}w_{20}$	$b_{20}^{12}w_2$	$b_{20}^{13}w_3$	$b_{20}^{14}w_4$	$b_{20}^{15}w_5$	$b_{20}^{16}w_6$	$b_{20}^{17}w_7$	$b_{20}^{18}w_8$
w_{21}	$b_{21}^{10}w_9$	$b_{21}^{11}w_{21}$	$b_{21}^{12}w_2$	$b_{21}^{13}w_3$	$b_{21}^{14}w_4$	$b_{21}^{15}w_5$	$b_{21}^{16}w_6$	$b_{21}^{17}w_7$	$b_{21}^{18}w_8$
w_{22}	$b_{22}^{10}w_9$	$b_{22}^{11}w_{22}$	$b_{22}^{12}w_2$	$b_{22}^{13}w_3$	$b_{22}^{14}w_4$	$b_{22}^{15}w_5$	$b_{22}^{16}w_6$	$b_{22}^{17}w_7$	$b_{22}^{18}w_8$
w_{23}	$b_{23}^{10}w_9$	$b_{23}^{11}w_{23}$	$b_{23}^{12}w_2$	$b_{23}^{13}w_3$	$b_{23}^{14}w_4$	$b_{23}^{15}w_5$	$b_{23}^{16}w_6$	$b_{23}^{17}w_7$	$b_{23}^{18}w_8$
w_{24}	$b_{24}^{10}w_9$	$b_{24}^{11}w_{24}$	$b_{24}^{12}w_2$	$b_{24}^{13}w_3$	$b_{24}^{14}w_4$	$b_{24}^{15}w_5$	$b_{24}^{16}w_6$	$b_{24}^{17}w_7$	$b_{24}^{18}w_8$
w_{25}	$b_{25}^{10}w_9$	$b_{25}^{11}w_{25}$	$b_{25}^{12}w_2$	$b_{25}^{13}w_3$	$b_{25}^{14}w_4$	$b_{25}^{15}w_5$	$b_{25}^{16}w_6$	$b_{25}^{17}w_7$	$b_{25}^{18}w_8$
w_{27}	$b_{26}^{10}w_9$	$b_{26}^{11}w_{26}$	$b_{26}^{12}w_2$	$b_{26}^{13}w_3$	$b_{26}^{14}w_4$	$b_{26}^{15}w_5$	$b_{26}^{16}w_6$	$b_{26}^{17}w_7$	$b_{26}^{18}w_8$
w_{27}	$b_{27}^{10}w_9$	$b_{27}^{11}w_{27}$	$b_{27}^{12}w_2$	$b_{27}^{13}w_3$	$b_{27}^{14}w_4$	$b_{27}^{15}w_5$	$b_{27}^{16}w_6$	$b_{27}^{17}w_7$	$b_{27}^{18}w_8$

TABLE 6. the table of the products (for $\mathfrak{J}_p$)

	w_{19}	w_{20}	w_{21}	w_{22}	w_{23}	w_{24}	w_{25}	w_{26}	w_{27}
w_1	$b_1^{19}w_{19}$	$b_1^{20}w_{20}$	$b_1^{21}w_{21}$	$b_1^{22}w_{22}$	$b_1^{23}w_{23}$	$b_1^{24}w_{24}$	$b_1^{25}w_{25}$	$b_1^{26}w_{26}$	$b_1^{27}w_{27}$
w_2	$b_2^{19}w_{20}$	$b_2^{20}w_{21}$	$b_2^{21}w_{19}$	$b_2^{22}w_{23}$	$b_2^{23}w_{24}$	$b_2^{24}w_{22}$	$b_2^{25}w_{26}$	$b_2^{26}w_{27}$	$b_2^{27}w_{25}$
w_3	$b_3^{19}w_{21}$	$b_3^{20}w_{19}$	$b_3^{21}w_{20}$	$b_3^{22}w_{24}$	$b_3^{23}w_{22}$	$b_3^{24}w_{23}$	$b_3^{25}w_{27}$	$b_3^{26}w_{25}$	$b_3^{27}w_{26}$
w_4	$b_4^{19}w_{22}$	$b_4^{20}w_{23}$	$b_4^{21}w_{24}$	$b_4^{22}w_{25}$	$b_4^{23}w_{26}$	$b_4^{24}w_{27}$	$b_4^{25}w_{19}$	$b_4^{26}w_{20}$	$b_4^{27}w_{21}$
w_5	$b_5^{19}w_{23}$	$b_5^{20}w_{24}$	$b_5^{21}w_{22}$	$b_5^{22}w_{26}$	$b_5^{23}w_{27}$	$b_5^{24}w_{25}$	$b_5^{25}w_{20}$	$b_5^{26}w_{21}$	$b_5^{27}w_{19}$
w_6	$b_6^{19}w_{24}$	$b_6^{20}w_{22}$	$b_6^{21}w_{23}$	$b_6^{22}w_{27}$	$b_6^{23}w_{25}$	$b_6^{24}w_{26}$	$b_6^{25}w_{21}$	$b_6^{26}w_{19}$	$b_6^{27}w_{20}$
w_7	$b_7^{19}w_{25}$	$b_7^{20}w_{26}$	$b_7^{21}w_{27}$	$b_7^{22}w_{19}$	$b_7^{23}w_{20}$	$b_7^{24}w_{21}$	$b_7^{25}w_{22}$	$b_7^{26}w_{23}$	$b_7^{27}w_{24}$
w_8	$b_8^{19}w_{26}$	$b_8^{20}w_{27}$	$b_8^{21}w_{25}$	$b_8^{22}w_{20}$	$b_8^{23}w_{21}$	$b_8^{24}w_{19}$	$b_8^{25}w_{23}$	$b_8^{26}w_{24}$	$b_8^{27}w_{22}$
w_9	$b_9^{19}w_{27}$	$b_9^{20}w_{25}$	$b_9^{21}w_{26}$	$b_9^{22}w_{21}$	$b_9^{23}w_{19}$	$b_9^{24}w_{20}$	$b_9^{25}w_{24}$	$b_9^{26}w_{22}$	$b_9^{27}w_{23}$
w_{10}	b_{10}^{19}	$b_{10}^{20}w_2$	$b_{10}^{21}w_3$	$b_{10}^{22}w_4$	$b_{10}^{23}w_5$	$b_{10}^{24}w_6$	$b_{10}^{25}w_8$	$b_{10}^{26}w_8$	$b_{10}^{27}w_9$
w_{11}	$b_{11}^{19}w_2$	$b_{11}^{20}w_3$	b_{11}^{21}	$b_{11}^{22}w_5$	$b_{11}^{23}w_6$	$b_{11}^{24}w_4$	$b_{11}^{25}w_8$	$b_{11}^{26}w_9$	$b_{11}^{27}w_7$
w_{12}	$b_{12}^{19}w_3$	b_{12}^{20}	$b_{12}^{21}w_2$	$b_{12}^{22}w_6$	$b_{12}^{23}w_4$	$b_{12}^{24}w_5$	$b_{12}^{25}w_9$	$b_{12}^{26}w_7$	$b_{12}^{27}w_8$
w_{13}	$b_{13}^{19}w_4$	$b_{13}^{20}w_5$	$b_{13}^{21}w_6$	$b_{13}^{22}w_7$	$b_{13}^{23}w_8$	$b_{13}^{24}w_9$	b_{13}^{25}	$b_{13}^{26}w_2$	$b_{13}^{27}w_3$
w_{14}	$b_{14}^{19}w_5$	$b_{14}^{20}w_6$	$b_{14}^{21}w_4$	$b_{14}^{22}w_8$	$b_{14}^{23}w_9$	$b_{14}^{24}w_7$	$b_{14}^{25}w_2$	$b_{14}^{26}w_3$	b_{14}^{27}
w_{15}	$b_{15}^{19}w_6$	$b_{15}^{20}w_4$	$b_{15}^{21}w_5$	$b_{15}^{22}w_9$	$b_{15}^{23}w_7$	$b_{15}^{24}w_8$	$b_{15}^{25}w_3$	b_{15}^{26}	$b_{15}^{27}w_2$
w_{16}	$b_{16}^{19}w_7$	$b_{16}^{20}w_8$	$b_{16}^{21}w_9$	b_{16}^{22}	$b_{16}^{23}w_2$	$b_{16}^{24}w_3$	$b_{16}^{25}w_4$	$b_{16}^{26}w_5$	$b_{16}^{27}w_6$
w_{17}	$b_{17}^{19}w_8$	$b_{17}^{20}w_9$	$b_{17}^{21}w_7$	$b_{17}^{22}w_2$	$b_{17}^{23}w_3$	b_{17}^{24}	$b_{17}^{25}w_5$	$b_{17}^{26}w_6$	$b_{17}^{27}w_4$
w_{18}	$b_{18}^{19}w_9$	$b_{18}^{20}w_7$	$b_{18}^{21}w_8$	$b_{18}^{22}w_3$	b_{18}^{23}	$b_{18}^{24}w_2$	$b_{18}^{25}w_6$	$b_{18}^{26}w_4$	$b_{18}^{27}w_5$
w_{19}	$b_{19}^{19}w_{10}$	$b_{19}^{20}w_{11}$	$b_{19}^{21}w_{12}$	$b_{19}^{22}w_{13}$	$b_{19}^{23}w_{14}$	$b_{19}^{24}w_{15}$	$b_{19}^{25}w_{16}$	$b_{19}^{26}w_{17}$	$b_{19}^{27}w_{18}$
w_{20}	$b_{20}^{19}w_{11}$	$b_{20}^{20}w_{12}$	$b_{20}^{21}w_{10}$	$b_{20}^{22}w_{14}$	$b_{20}^{23}w_{15}$	$b_{20}^{24}w_{13}$	$b_{20}^{25}w_{17}$	$b_{20}^{26}w_{18}$	$b_{20}^{27}w_{16}$
w_{21}	$b_{21}^{19}w_{12}$	$b_{21}^{20}w_{10}$	$b_{21}^{21}w_{11}$	$b_{21}^{22}w_{15}$	$b_{21}^{23}w_{13}$	$b_{21}^{24}w_{14}$	$b_{21}^{25}w_{18}$	$b_{21}^{26}w_{16}$	$b_{21}^{27}w_{17}$
w_{22}	$b_{22}^{19}w_{13}$	$b_{22}^{20}w_{14}$	$b_{22}^{21}w_{15}$	$b_{22}^{22}w_{15}$	$b_{22}^{23}w_{17}$	$b_{22}^{24}w_{18}$	$b_{22}^{25}w_{10}$	$b_{22}^{26}w_{11}$	$b_{22}^{27}w_{12}$
w_{23}	$b_{23}^{19}w_{14}$	$b_{23}^{20}w_{15}$	$b_{23}^{21}w_{13}$	$b_{23}^{22}w_{17}$	$b_{23}^{23}w_{18}$	$b_{23}^{24}w_{16}$	$b_{23}^{25}w_{11}$	$b_{23}^{26}w_{12}$	$b_{23}^{27}w_{10}$
w_{24}	$b_{24}^{19}w_{15}$	$b_{24}^{20}w_{13}$	$b_{24}^{21}w_{14}$	$b_{24}^{22}w_{18}$	$b_{24}^{23}w_{16}$	$b_{24}^{24}w_{17}$	$b_{24}^{25}w_{12}$	$b_{24}^{26}w_{10}$	$b_{24}^{27}w_{11}$
w_{25}	$b_{25}^{19}w_{16}$	$b_{25}^{20}w_{17}$	$b_{25}^{21}w_{18}$	$b_{25}^{22}w_{10}$	$b_{25}^{23}w_{11}$	$b_{25}^{24}w_{12}$	$b_{25}^{25}w_{13}$	$b_{25}^{26}w_{14}$	$b_{25}^{27}w_{15}$
w_{26}	$b_{26}^{19}w_{17}$	$b_{26}^{20}w_{18}$	$b_{26}^{21}w_{16}$	$b_{26}^{22}w_{11}$	$b_{26}^{23}w_{12}$	$b_{26}^{24}w_{10}$	$b_{26}^{25}w_{14}$	$b_{26}^{26}w_{15}$	$b_{26}^{27}w_{13}$
w_{27}	$b_{27}^{19}w_{18}$	$b_{27}^{20}w_{16}$	$b_{27}^{21}w_{17}$	$b_{27}^{22}w_{12}$	$b_{27}^{23}w_{10}$	$b_{27}^{24}w_{11}$	$b_{27}^{25}w_{15}$	$b_{27}^{26}w_{13}$	$b_{27}^{27}w_{14}$

REFERENCES

[AABGP] B. Allison, S. Azam, S. Berman, Y. Gao and A. Pianzola, *Extended affine Lie algebras and their root systems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **603** (1997), 1–122.

[ABGP] B. Allison, S. Berman, Y. Gao and A. Pianzola, *A characterization of affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **185** (1997), 671–688.

[ABG] B. Allison, G. Benkart and Y. Gao, *Central extensions of Lie algebras graded by finite root systems,* Math. Ann. **316**, (2000), 499-527.

[AG] B. Allison and Y. Gao, *The root system and the core of an extended affine Lie algebra*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **7** (2001), no. 2, 149–212.

[AK] S. Azam and V. Khalili, *Lie tori and their fixed point subalgebras,* preprint.

[AKY] S . Azam, V. Khalili and M. Yousofzadeh, *Extended affine root systems of type BC.* J. Lie Theory **15** (2005), no. 1, 145–181.

[BGK] S. Berman, Y. Gao and Y. Krylyuk, *Quantum tori and the structure of elliptic quasi-simple Lie algebras*, J. Funct. Anal **135** (1996), 339–386.

[BGKN] S. Berman, Y. Gao, Y. Krylyuk and E. Neher, *The alternative torus and the structure of elliptic quasi-simple Lie algebras of type* A_2, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc **347** (1995), 4315–4363.

[BM] S. Berman and R. V. Moody, *Lie algebras graded by finite root systems and the intersection matrix algebras of Slodowy,* Invent. Math. **108** (1992), no. 2, 323–347.

[BZ] G. Benkart and E. Zelmanov, *Lie algebras graded by finite root systems and intersection matrix algebras*, Invent. Math. **126** (1996), no. 1, 1–45.

[H] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Spring verlag, New york, 1972.

[J] N. Jacobson, *Structure and representations of Jordan algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. vol. 39. Amer. Math. Soc., providence, R.I., 1968.

[Ka] C. Kassel, *Kähler differentials and coverings of complex simpel Lie algebras extended over a commutative algebra*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **34** (1984), no. 2-3, 265-275.

[MRY] R. Moody, S. E. Rao and T. Yokonuma, *Toroidal Lie algebras and vertex representations*, Geome. Delicata **35** (1990), n0. 1-3, 283–307.

[SY] K. Saito and D. Yoshii, *Extended affine root systems IV (Simply-laced elliptic Lie algebras*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **36** (2000), no. 3, 385–421.

[Yam] H. Yamane, *A Serre-type theorem for the elliptic Lie algebras with rank* ≥ 2, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **40** (2004), no. 2, 441–469.

[MP] R. V. Moody and A. Pianzola, *Lie algebras with triangular decomposition,* A Wiley-Interscience Publication, New York, 1995.

[Sc] R. D. Schafer, *An introduction to nonassociative algebras*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.

[Yos] Y. Yoshii, *Lie tori—a simple characterization of Extended affine Lie algebras,* Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **42** (2006), no. 3, 739–762.

[You] M. Yousofzadeh, *A presentation of Lie tori of type* B_ℓ, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **44** (2008), no. 1, 1–44

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ISFAHAN, ISFAHAN, IRAN, P.O.BOX 81745-163

E-mail address: `azam@sci.ui.ac.ir, saeidazam@yahoo.com.`

DEPARTMENT OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA, OSAKA, 560-0043, JAPAN

E-mail address: `yamane@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp`

Department of Mathematics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran, P.O.Box 81745-163
E-mail address: `ma.yousofzadeh@sci.ui.ac.ir`.